BBM 205 - Discrete Structures: Midterm 1 Solutions Date: 5.11.2019, Time: 16:00 - 17:30

Name: Student ID:

Question:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
Points:	12	12	10	9	15	10	12	10	10	100
Score:										

- 1. Determine by using a truth table whether the following statements are a tautology, a contradiction or neither.
 - (a) (6 points) $x \wedge (x \implies y) \wedge (\neg y)$

(b) (6 points) $x \implies (x \lor y)$

- 2. Build from the statements below logical statements by letting the domain be five people $D = \{Berk, Melda, Kaan, Alara, Ceyda\}$. Let R(x) be a predicate that is true if x is a rude person. Let F(x, y) be a predicate that is true if x is a good friend of y. Let H(x, y) be a predicate that is true if x helps y.
 - (a) (4 points) If neither Melda nor Alara is rude, then Berk and Melda are good friends of each other.
 - (b) (4 points) If Kaan is not rude, then Berk helps Melda, and Melda helps to someone.
 - (c) (4 points) Everyone is a good friend of someone.
- 3. (10 points) Show that $\sqrt[5]{2}$ is an irrational number.

4. (9 points) Prove by contradiction that if you put n + 1 balls into n bins, however you want, always there is one bin that contains at least two balls.

5. (15 points) Prove by induction that for any integer $n \ge 0$, $(2n)! \le 2^{2n} (n!)^2$ (Note that 0! = 1).

6. (10 points) Prove or disprove that for any real numbers a and b, if $a + b \le 15$, then either $a \le 11$ or $b \le 4$.

7. (12 points) Use induction to prove that for any positive integer n,

$$1^{3} + 2^{3} + \dots + n^{3} = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^{2}.$$

- 8. Write down the premises and conclusions of the following deductions by translating them into propositional logic using logical operators.
 - (a) (5 points) Jale and Arif won't both win the math prize. Arif will win either the math prize or the chemistry prize. Jale will win the math prize. Thus, Arif will win the chemistry prize.

J := "Jale will win the math prize."

M := "Arif will win the math prize."

C := "Arif will win the chemistry prize."

- (b) (5 points) The main course will be döner or piliç-şiş. The vegetable will be green pepper or potato. We will not have both piliç-şiş as a main course and potato as a vegetable. Therefore, we will not have both döner as a main course and green pepper as a vegetable.
 - D := The main course will be döner.
 - S := The main course will be pilic-sis.
 - P := The vegetable will be potato.
 - G := The vegetable will be green pepper.

9. (10 points) Prove or disprove that for any positive integer, $5n^3 > n!$.

Reference Sheet for Logic and Program Proofs

Definition of \land	Idempotent Laws	DeMorgan's Laws	Distributive Laws
$P \wedge \neg P \equiv False$	$p \lor p \equiv p$	$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$	$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$
$P \wedge False \equiv False$	$p \wedge p \equiv p$	$\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$	$p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$
$P \wedge True \equiv P$			
Definition of \lor	Double Negation	Absorption Laws	Associative Laws
$P \vee \neg P \equiv True$	$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$	$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$
$P \lor False \equiv P$		$p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
$P \lor True \equiv True$			
	Commutative Laws	Implication Laws	Biconditional Laws
	$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$	$p \to q \equiv \neg p \lor q$	$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p)$
	$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	$p \to q \equiv \neg q \to \neg p$	$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg q \leftrightarrow \neg p$

Logical Equivalences

Inference Rules

Simplification	Modus Ponens	Modus Tollens	Hypothetical Syllogism
$p \wedge q$	p	$\neg q$	p ightarrow q
	$p \rightarrow q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow r$
Therefore, p			
	Therefore, q	Therefore, $\neg p$	Therefore, $p \to r$
	A 11:02		
Conjunction	Addition	Resolution	Disjunctive Syllogism
p	p	$p \lor q$	$p \lor q$
q		$\neg p \lor r$	$\neg p$
	Therefore, $p \lor q$		
Therefore, $p \wedge q$		Therefore, $q \vee r$	Therefore, q
Universal Instantiation	Universal Generalization	Existential Instantiation	Existential Generalization
$\forall x P(x)$	P(c)	$\exists x P(x)$	P(c)
Therefore, $P(c)$	Therefore, $\forall x P(x)$	Therefore, $P(c)$	Therefore, $\exists x P(x)$

Inference Rules For Program Proofs

Composition Rule	Conditional Rule	Conditional with Else Rule
$p\{S_1\}q$	$(p \land condition) \{S\}q$	$(p \land condition)\{S_1\}q$
$q\{S_2\}r$	$(p \land \neg condition) \to q$	$(p \land \neg condition) \{S_2\}q$
$p\{S_1; S_2\}r$	$p\{ \text{ if condition } S\}q$	$p\{ \text{ if condition } S_1 \text{ else } S_2\}q$