BBM 205 - Discrete Structures: Midterm 1 Solutions Date: 5.11.2019, Time: 16:00 - 17:30

Name:

Student ID:

Question:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
Points:	12	12	10	9	15	10	12	10	10	100
Score:										

- 1. Determine by using a truth table whether the following statements are a tautology, a contradiction or neither.
 - (a) (6 points) $x \wedge (x \implies y) \wedge (\neg y)$

Solution: Contradiction:

x	y	$\neg y$	$x \implies y$	$x \wedge (x \implies y) \wedge (\neg y)$
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	1	0

(b) (6 points) $x \implies (x \lor y)$

Solution: Tautology:

x	y	$x \lor y$	$x \implies (x \lor y)$
0	0	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1

- 2. Build from the statements below logical statements by letting the domain be five people $D = \{Berk, Melda, Kaan, Alara, Ceyda\}$. Let R(x) be a predicate that is true if x is a rude person. Let F(x,y) be a predicate that is true if x is a good friend of y. Let H(x,y) be a predicate that is true if x helps y.
 - (a) (4 points) If neither Melda nor Alara is rude, then Berk and Melda are good friends of each other.

```
Solution: (\neg(R(Melda) \lor R(Alara))) \implies (F(Berk, Melda) \land F(Melda, Berk))
```

(b) (4 points) If Kaan is not rude, then Berk helps Melda, and Melda helps to someone.

```
Solution: \neg R(Kaan) \implies (H(Berk, Melda) \land \exists x H(Melda, x))
```

(c) (4 points) Everyone is a good friend of someone.

```
Solution: \forall x \exists y F(x,y)
```

3. (10 points) Show that $\sqrt[5]{2}$ is an irrational number.

Solution: We use proof by contradiction. Assume that $\sqrt[5]{2} = \frac{a}{b}$ such that a and b have no common divisor other than 1. This implies $2b^5 = a^5$ and therefore 2|a. If we replace a = 2k for some integer k, we obtain from above $2b^5 = 2^5k^5$. However, we get $b^5 = 2^4k^5$ and for this to hold, we must have 2|b as well. This is a contradiction, because we got that 2 divides both a and b, but they are assumed to have no common divisor.

4. (9 points) Prove by contradiction that if you put n + 1 balls into n bins, however you want, always there is one bin that contains at least two balls.

Solution: Assume that all of the bins have at most one ball. If we add up the balls in all the bins, we have a total of at most n balls, which is a contradiction.

5. (15 points) Prove by induction that for any integer $n \ge 0$, $(2n)! \le 2^{2n} (n!)^2$ (Note that 0! = 1).

Solution: Base case: For n = 0:

 $(2 \cdot 0)! = 0! = 1$, which is not more than $2^{2 \cdot 0}(0!)^2 = 2^0 = 1$.

Inductive case:

We use the inductive hypothesis (I.H.) that $(2n)! \le 2^{2n}(n!)^2$ is true to show that $(2(n+1))! \le 2^{2(n+1)}((n+1)!)^2$.

Note that (2(n+1))! = (2n+2)(2n+1)(2n)! and by I.H., we have $(2(n+1))! \le (2n+2)(2n+1)2^{2n}(n!)^2$.

We can rewrite the righ side as $(2n+2)(2n+1)2^{2n}(n!)^2 = (2n+1)2^{2n+1}(n+1)!n!$. Since $2n+1 \le 2n+2$, we observe that this is also at most $2 \cdot 2^{2n+1}(n+1)!(n+1)n!$, done.

6. (10 points) Prove or disprove that for any real numbers a and b, if $a+b \le 15$, then either $a \le 11$ or $b \le 4$.

Solution: We prove that this is true by contradiction:

Say for any real numbers a and b, $a+b \le 15$, but also a > 11 and b > 4. If we add up these inequalities, we get a+b > 15 and this is a contradiction with the premise $a+b \le 15$.

7. (12 points) Use induction to prove that for any positive integer n,

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2$$
.

Solution: Base case: $1^3 = 1$ and $\left(\frac{1 \cdot (1+1)}{2}\right)^2 = 1$.

Inductive case:

By the inductive hypothesis, we can assume that $1^3 + 2^3 + \cdots + n^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2$. Therefore,

$$1^{3} + 2^{3} + \dots + n^{3} + (n+1)^{3} = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^{2} + (n+1)^{3} =$$

$$= \frac{(n+1)^{2}(n^{2} + 4(n+1))}{4} = \frac{(n+1)^{2}(n+2)^{2}}{4}.$$

Done.

- 8. Write down the premises and conclusions of the following deductions by translating them into propositional logic using logical operators.
 - (a) (5 points) Jale and Arif won't both win the math prize. Arif will win either the math prize or the chemistry prize. Jale will win the math prize. Thus, Arif will win the chemistry prize.

J := "Jale will win the math prize."

M := "Arif will win the math prize."

C := "Arif will win the chemistry prize."

Solution: $\begin{array}{c} \neg (J \wedge M) \\ M \vee C \\ J \\ \hline C \end{array}$

(b) (5 points) The main course will be döner or piliç-şiş. The vegetable will be green pepper or potato. We will not have both piliç-şiş as a main course and potato as a vegetable. Therefore, we will not have both döner as a main course and green pepper as a vegetable.

D := The main course will be döner.

 $\S :=$ The main course will be piliç-şiş.

P := The vegetable will be potato.

G := The vegetable will be green pepper.

Solution: $\begin{array}{c} D \vee \S \\ G \vee P \\ \neg (\S \wedge P) \\ \hline \neg (D \wedge G) \end{array}$

9. (10 points) Prove or disprove that for any positive integer, $5n^3 > n!$.

Solution: This is false, because, say for n=7, $5 \cdot 7^3 = 1715$, which is smaller than 7! = 5040.

Reference Sheet for Logic and Program Proofs

Logical Equivalences

Definition of \land	Idempotent Laws	DeMorgan's Laws	Distributive Laws
$P \wedge \neg P \equiv False$	$p \lor p \equiv p$	$\neg(p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$	$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$
$P \wedge False \equiv False$	$p \wedge p \equiv p$	$\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$	$p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$
$P \wedge True \equiv P$			
Definition of ∨	Double Negation	Absorption Laws	Associative Laws
$P \lor \neg P \equiv True$	$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$	$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$
$P \vee False \equiv P$		$p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$	$(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$
$P \lor True \equiv True$			
	Commutative Laws	Implication Laws	Biconditional Laws
	$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$	$p \to q \equiv \neg p \vee q$	$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \to q) \land (q \to p)$
	$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	$p \to q \equiv \neg q \to \neg p$	$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg q \leftrightarrow \neg p$

Inference Rules

Simplification	Modus Ponens	Modus Tollens	Hypothetical Syllogism
$p \wedge q$	p	$\neg q$	p o q
	$p \rightarrow q$	p o q	$q \rightarrow r$
Therefore, p	Therefore, q	Therefore, $\neg p$	Therefore, $p \to r$
Conjunction	Addition	Resolution	Disjunctive Syllogism
p	p	$p \lor q$	$p \lor q$
q		$\neg p \lor r$	$\neg p$
Therefore, $p \wedge q$	Therefore, $p \lor q$	Therefore, $q \vee r$	Therefore, q
Universal Instantiation	Universal Generalization	Existential Instantiation	Existential Generalization
$\forall x P(x)$	P(c)	$\exists x P(x)$	P(c)
Therefore, $P(c)$	Therefore, $\forall x P(x)$	Therefore, $P(c)$	Therefore, $\exists x P(x)$

Inference Rules For Program Proofs

Composition Rule	Conditional Rule	Conditional with Else Rule
$p\{S_1\}q$	$(p \land condition)\{S\}q$	$(p \land condition)\{S_1\}q$
$q\{S_2\}r$	$(p \land \neg condition) \rightarrow q$	$(p \land \neg condition)\{S_2\}q$
$p\{S_1; S_2\}r$	$p\{ \text{ if } condition } S\}q$	$p\{ \text{ if } condition } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \} q$