

BBM205 Midterm Exam I

Time: 14:00-16:00

11 November 2022

Question:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
Points:	12	15	14	15	15	15	14	100
Score:								

1. Convert the following English sentences into propositional logic, using propositions, predicates and quantifiers as necessary. You should match the domain when given.

(a) (3 points) There is a real number which is not rational.

Solution: $\exists((x \in \mathbb{R}) \wedge \neg(x \in \mathbb{Q}))$

(b) (3 points) (domain: all people) Everyone in your class with an Internet connection has chatted over the Internet with at least one other student in your class.

Solution:

$Q(x)$: "x is in your class"

$I(x)$: "x has internet connection"

$C(x,y)$: "x has chatted with y over the internet"

$\forall x((Q(x) \wedge I(x)) \rightarrow \exists y(Q(y) \wedge x \neq y \wedge C(x,y)))$

(c) (3 points) (domain: all people) Someone in your class has a phone but has not chatted with anyone else in your class.

Solution:

$Q(x)$: "x is in your class"

$P(x)$: "x has a phone"

$C(x,y)$: "x has chatted with y"

$\exists x(Q(x) \wedge P(x) \wedge \forall y((Q(y) \wedge x \neq y) \rightarrow \neg C(x,y)))$

- (d) (3 points) (domain: all people) There are at least two students in your class who have not chatted with the same person in your class.

Solution:

$Q(x)$: "x is in your class"

$C(x,y)$: "x has chatted with y"

$$\exists x \exists y (Q(x) \wedge Q(y) \wedge x \neq y \wedge \forall z (Q(z) \rightarrow \neg (C(x,z) \wedge C(y,z))))$$

2. (a) (8 points) Convert the following propositions into English. State whether or not each statement is true *with brief justification*.

- $(\forall x \in \mathbb{Q})(x \in \mathbb{R})$

Solution: Every rational number is a real number: True.

- $(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z})((x > 5) \rightarrow ((\exists a, b \in \mathbb{N})(a^2 + b^2 = x)))$

Solution: For every integer x greater than 5, there exist natural numbers a and b such that $a^2 + b^2 = x$: False, say $x = 6$. We can partition 6 as $\{\{1, 5\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 3\}\}$, and in none of the pairs both are squares of natural numbers.

- (b) (7 points) Simplify the following compound statement:

$$(p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (p \wedge q))$$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} &\neg(p \vee q) \vee (\neg q \vee (p \wedge q)) \\ &(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg q \vee (p \wedge q)) \\ &(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee ((\neg q \vee p) \wedge (\neg q \vee q)) \\ &(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee ((\neg q \vee p) \wedge T) \\ &(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg q \vee p) \\ &((\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee p) \vee \neg q \\ &((\neg p \vee p) \wedge (\neg q \vee p)) \vee \neg q \\ &(T \wedge (\neg q \vee p)) \vee \neg q \\ &(\neg q \vee p) \vee \neg q \\ &\neg q \vee p \vee \neg q \\ &(\neg q \vee \neg q) \vee p \\ &\neg q \vee p \end{aligned}$$

3. Given the following system specifications,

(a) (10 points) Express each specification using propositions and logical connectives after defining the related propositions.

(b) (4 points) Are these system specifications consistent? Validate your answer.

i) The router can not send packets to the server unless it supports the new protocol.

ii) The necessary condition for the router to send packets to the server is that the latest software release be installed and there is a connection.

iii) There is a connection between the router and the server, but the latest software release is not installed.

iv) The router does not support the new protocol.

Solution:

(a) (10 points) For the given propositions:

q: "The router supports the new protocol"

p: "The router can send packets to the server"

r: "The latest software release is installed"

s: "There is a connection between router and the server"

the system specifications can be defined as follows:

i) $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

ii) $p \rightarrow (r \wedge s)$

iii) $s \wedge \neg r$

iv) $\neg q$

(b) (4 points) System specs are consistent since all the specs can be made true with the following assignment of the values to the propositions: q=F, p=F, s=T, r=F.

4. (15 points) Show the following inference. Indicate at each step the rule and the premises used to make the inference.

$$(1) \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge R(x)))$$

$$(2) \forall x(R(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(x))$$

$$(3) \exists x(P(x) \vee S(x))$$

$$\therefore \exists x(S(x))$$

Solution:

(4) $P(c) \vee S(c)$	(3), <i>EI</i>
(5) $P(c) \rightarrow Q(c) \wedge R(c)$	(1), <i>UI</i>
(6) $R(c) \rightarrow \neg Q(c)$	(2), <i>UI</i>
(7) $\neg R(c) \vee \neg Q(c)$	(6), <i>Implication</i>
(8) $\neg(R(c) \wedge Q(c))$	(7), <i>deMorgan</i>
(9) $\neg P(c)$	(5) and (8), <i>Modus Tollens</i>
(10) $\neg P(c) \rightarrow S(c)$	(4), <i>Implication</i>
(11) $S(c)$	(9) and (10), <i>Modus Ponens</i>
(12) $\exists x(S(x))$	(11), <i>EG</i>

5. Do the following proofs:

- (a) (5 points) There is no positive integer n such that $n^3 + n + 7 = 115$.

Solution:

We prove this by case analysis: Let $n=1,2,3,4$, as possible guesses with $n^3 < 115$. Let us first try $n=4$, which yields $4^3 + 4 + 7 = 75$. Since $n^3 + n + 7$ is increasing with n , the remaining cases also do not work.

- (b) (5 points) For any positive integers a and b with $ab \geq 36$, show that either $a \geq 4$ or $b \geq 9$.

Solution:

Assume on the contrary that $a < 4$ and $b < 9$. Then, we have $ab < 36$, a contradiction.

- (c) (5 points) Show that at least 4 of *any* 37 people chosen must have birthdays in the same month of the year. Which proof technique did you use?

Solution:

Assume on the contrary that there are at most 3 birthdays corresponding to each month. This gives at most 36 birthdays, a contradiction. (Proof by contradiction)

6. (15 points) Use a proof by contradiction to show that the product of a nonzero rational number and an irrational number is irrational.

Solution: Suppose that a/b is a nonzero rational number and that c is an irrational number. We must prove that the product $c \cdot a/b$ is also irrational.

Suppose that $c \cdot a/b$ were rational. Since $a/b \neq 0$, we know that $a \neq 0$, so b/a is also a rational number. Let us multiply this rational number b/a by the assumed rational number $c \cdot a/b$; the product is also rational. But the product is $b/a \cdot c \cdot a/b = c$, which is irrational by hypothesis. This is a contradiction. So, in fact $c \cdot a/b$ must be irrational.

7. Identify the premises and conclusions of each of the following deductions and translate them into propositional logic notation. Identify which of the deductions are correct and justify your answer briefly without specifying the rules of inference. (Note: "Either...or" has the same meaning as "Or")

(a) (7 points) Either Tom or Bill is telling the truth. Either Dan or Bill is lying. Thus, either Tom is telling the truth or Dan is lying.

Solution:

The deduction is

$$\frac{T \vee B, \neg D \vee \neg B}{T \vee \neg D}$$

Here,

T:= "Tom is telling the truth"

B:= "Bill is telling the truth."

D:= "Dan is telling the truth." The deduction is correct by Resolution rule.

(b) (7 points) Either sales will go up and the boss will be happy, or expenses will go up and the boss won't be happy. Therefore, sales and expenses will not both go up.

Solution:

The deduction is

$$\frac{(S \wedge H) \vee (E \wedge \neg H)}{\neg(S \wedge E)}$$

Here,

S:= "Sales will go up."

H:= "The boss will be happy."

E:= "Expenses will go up."

The deduction is false. For example, $S \wedge E \wedge H$ is consistent with the premises but not consistent with the conclusion.

Reference Sheet for Logic and Program Proofs

Logical Equivalences

Definition of \wedge $P \wedge \neg P \equiv \text{False}$ $P \wedge \text{False} \equiv \text{False}$ $P \wedge \text{True} \equiv P$	Idempotent Laws $p \vee p \equiv p$ $p \wedge p \equiv p$	DeMorgan's Laws $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	Distributive Laws $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
Definition of \vee $P \vee \neg P \equiv \text{True}$ $P \vee \text{False} \equiv P$ $P \vee \text{True} \equiv \text{True}$	Double Negation $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Absorption Laws $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	Associative Laws $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
	Commutative Laws $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	Implication Laws $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$ $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	Biconditional Laws $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$ $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg q \leftrightarrow \neg p$

Inference Rules

Simplification $p \wedge q$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, p	Modus Ponens p $p \rightarrow q$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, q	Modus Tollens $\neg q$ $p \rightarrow q$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, $\neg p$	Hypothetical Syllogism $p \rightarrow q$ $q \rightarrow r$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, $p \rightarrow r$
Conjunction p q <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, $p \wedge q$	Addition p <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, $p \vee q$	Resolution $p \vee q$ $\neg p \vee r$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, $q \vee r$	Disjunctive Syllogism $p \vee q$ $\neg p$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, q
Universal Instantiation $\forall x P(x)$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, $P(c)$	Universal Generalization $P(c)$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, $\forall x P(x)$	Existential Instantiation $\exists x P(x)$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, $P(c)$	Existential Generalization $P(c)$ <hr style="width: 50%; margin: 0 auto;"/> Therefore, $\exists x P(x)$