

# BBM205 Midterm Exam I

Time: 9:30-11:30

6 November 2023

Question:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
Points:	10	7	10	6	18	16	10	8	15	100
Score:										

Name, Surname, ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Instructor: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Write each of these statements in the form “if  $p$ , then  $q$ ” in English.

(a) (2 points) I will remember to send you a request only if you remind me to do so.

**Solution:** If I remember to send you a request, then (because) you remind me to do so.

(b) (2 points) To be a member of this club it is sufficient that you attend weekly meetings.

**Solution:** If you attend weekly meetings, then you will be a member of this club.

(c) (2 points) There is a traffic jam whenever it rains in Ankara.

**Solution:** If it rains in Ankara, then there is a traffic jam.

- (d) (2 points) That you get this award implies that you had the best credentials.

**Solution:** If you get this award then you have the best credentials.

- (e) (2 points) It is necessary to have a valid password to log on to the server.

**Solution:** If you log on to this server then you have a valid password.

Name:

2. (7 points) Prove that  $\sqrt[n]{2}$  is an irrational number for all  $n \geq 2$ .

**Solution:** Let  $n$  be an arbitrary integer  $n \geq 2$ . Below we prove the statement for this arbitrary  $n$  and use existential generalization.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\sqrt[n]{2} = \frac{a}{b}$ . Update  $a$  and  $b$  using cancellation such that they do not have any common divisor ( $a, b$  become relatively prime after the necessary cancellations).

Then, we observe taking the  $n$ th power of  $\sqrt[n]{2} = \frac{a}{b}$  gives  $2b^n = a^n$ , implying that  $2|a$ . However, if  $2|a$ , then the RHS has  $2^n$  as a factor implying also  $2|b$ . This means that 2 divides both  $a$  and  $b$ , which is a contradiction with the choice of  $a$  and  $b$ .

3. (10 points) Prove that for any two real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $|x+y| \leq |x|+|y|$ . ( $|x|$  indicates the absolute value of  $x$ , that is  $|x| = x$  if  $x \geq 0$ , and  $|x| = -x$  if  $x < 0$ .)

**Solution:** We consider the following cases:

- $x, y \geq 0$ : Then  $|x+y| = x+y \leq |x|+|y| = x+y$ .
- $x, y \leq 0$ : Then  $|x+y| = -(x+y) \leq |x|+|y| = -(x+y)$ .
- $x \geq 0, y < 0$ : We have two subcases:
  - If  $|x| \geq |y|$ , then  $|x+y| = (x+y) \leq |x|+|y| = x-y$ .
  - If  $|x| < |y|$ , then  $|x+y| = -(x+y) \leq |x|+|y| = x-y$ .

4. (6 points) Prove that if there are 40 students in the class then at least two students have names that begin with the same letter. (Note: Turkish alphabet has 29 letters.)

**Solution:** Assume for the sake of contradiction that no two students' names start with the same letter. This means at most one student for each letter, hence at most 29 students are in the class, a contradiction with the fact that there are 40 students.

5. For primitive statements  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $r$ ,

(a) (4 points) Verify that  $p \rightarrow [q \rightarrow (p \wedge q)]$  is a tautology using a truth table.

<b>Solution:</b>	$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$	$q \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$	$p \rightarrow [q \rightarrow (p \wedge q)]$
	0	0	0	1	1
	0	1	0	0	1
	1	0	0	1	1
	1	1	1	1	1

Name:

- (b) (7 points) Verify that  $((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow q$  is a tautology without using a truth table. Clearly state your steps.

**Solution:**

$$((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow q \equiv \neg((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \vee q \quad (1)$$

$$\equiv \neg(p \vee q) \vee \neg\neg p \vee q \quad (2)$$

$$\equiv \neg(p \vee q) \vee (p \vee q) \quad (3)$$

$$\equiv T \quad (4)$$

- (c) (7 points) Show that  $p \vee q \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow p \vee q \vee r$  without using a truth table. Clearly state your steps and reasons.

**Solution:**

$$p \vee q \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \vee [\neg(p \vee q) \wedge r]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [(p \vee q) \vee \neg(p \vee q)] \wedge (p \vee q \vee r) \quad \text{Distributive Law}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow T \wedge (p \vee q \vee r) \quad \text{Inverse}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p \vee q \vee r \quad \text{Identity Law}$$

**Reasons**

DeMorgan's Laws

Distributive Law

Inverse

Identity Law

Name:

6. Prove each of the following.

- (a) (8 points) Let  $a$ ,  $b$  be two positive integers. Prove that if  $a$  and  $b$  are perfect squares, then the product of  $ab$  is also a perfect square ( $x^2$  is a perfect square, where  $x$  is an integer).

**Solution:**

Here, we are using direct proof. Since  $a$  and  $b$  are perfect squares, it can be written as  $a = x^2$  and  $b = y^2$  where  $a$ ,  $b$  are positive integers. Using the associative and communicative laws of multiplication for integers, we find that  $ab = (x^2)(y^2) = (xx)(yy) = ((xx)y)y = ((x(xy))y = ((xy)x)y = (xy)(xy) = (xy)^2$ . Thus  $ab$  is also a perfect square.

- (b) (8 points) For all real numbers  $x$ ,  $y$ , if  $x + y \geq 100$ , then  $x \geq 50$  or  $y \geq 50$

**Solution:** Here, we will prove it by establishing the truth of its contrapositive. Since we will be use the negation of the conclusion, let  $x < 50$  and  $y < 50$ , then  $x + y < 50 + 50 = 100$ . We have the negation of the hypothesis. Therefore, by contrapositive, this result proves that for all real numbers  $x$ ,  $y$ , if  $x + y \geq 100$ , then  $x \geq 50$  or  $y \geq 50$ .

Name:

7. (10 points) Show that the following is a tautology, without using a truth table. Clearly indicate the applied rule at each line of your deduction:

$$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow ((p \vee r) \rightarrow q)$$

**Solution:**

$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow ((p \vee r) \rightarrow q)$	
$((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \rightarrow ((p \vee r) \rightarrow q)$	implication
$((\neg p \wedge \neg r) \vee q) \rightarrow ((p \vee r) \rightarrow q)$	distributive
$\neg((\neg p \wedge \neg r) \vee q) \vee ((p \vee r) \rightarrow q)$	implication
$\neg((\neg p \wedge \neg r) \vee q) \vee (\neg(p \vee r) \vee q)$	implication
$(\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg r) \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg(p \vee r) \vee q)$	de Morgan
$((p \vee r) \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg(p \vee r) \vee q)$	de Morgan
$t \vee \neg t$	$t = (p \vee r) \wedge \neg q$ and $\neg t = \neg(p \vee r) \vee q$
$T$	

8. (8 points) Do the following inference. You MUST provide name of the rule used in each step along with the numbers of the existing or derived premises:

- (1)  $p \vee q$
- (2)  $q \vee \neg r$
- (3)  $p \rightarrow r$

---

$\therefore q$

**Solution:**

(4) $\neg p \rightarrow q$	(1), Implication
(5) $\neg r \vee q$	(2), Commutative
(6) $r \rightarrow q$	(5), Implication
(7) $p \rightarrow q$	(3), (6), Hyp. Syllogism
(8) $(\neg p \vee p) \rightarrow (q \vee q)$	(4), (7), Resolution
(9) $(\neg p \vee p)$	Tautology
(10) $q$	(8), (9), Modus Ponens

Name:

9. (a) (7 points) Express the following as a mathematical logic argument. Clearly indicate the propositions and predicates used:

“If someone is a superhero, then he must be very powerful. It is known that power corrupts everyone. A corrupt superhero is called a villain. Therefore if superheroes exist, then villains also exists.”

**Solution:**

$P(X)$ : X is a superhero.

$Q(X)$ : X is powerful.

$R(X)$ : X is corrupt.

$S(X)$ : X is a villain.

Solution a)

- (1)  $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$
- (2)  $\forall x(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))$
- (3)  $\forall x((P(x) \wedge R(x)) \rightarrow S(x))$
- (4)  $\exists xP(x)$

---

$\therefore \exists xS(x)$

Solution b)

- (1)  $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$
- (2)  $\forall x(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))$
- (3)  $\forall x((P(x) \wedge R(x)) \rightarrow S(x))$

---

$\therefore \exists x(P(x) \rightarrow S(x))$

- (b) (8 points) Validate the argument you obtained in the previous step. You MUST provide name of the rule used in each step along with the numbers of the existing or derived premises:

**Solution:**

Based on solution a)

- |  |                                  |
|--|----------------------------------|
| (5) $P(c)$                                 | (4) Existential Instantiation EI |
| (6) $P(c) \rightarrow Q(c)$                | (1) Universal Instantiation UI   |
| (7) $Q(c) \rightarrow R(c)$                | (2) UI                           |
| (8) $P(c) \rightarrow R(c)$                | (6), (7) Hypothetical Syllogism  |
| (9) $R(c)$                                 | (5), (8) Modus Ponens            |
| (10) $P(c) \wedge R(c)$                    | (5), (9) Conjunction             |
| (11) $(P(c) \wedge R(c)) \rightarrow S(c)$ | (3) UI                           |
| (12) $S(c)$                                | (10), (11) Modus Ponens          |

Name:

(13)  $\exists xS(X)$

Based on solution b)

(4)  $P(c) \rightarrow Q(c)$

(5)  $Q(c) \rightarrow R(c)$

(6)  $P(c) \rightarrow R(c)$

(7)  $(P(c) \wedge R(c)) \rightarrow S(c)$

(8)  $\neg P(c) \vee \neg R(c) \vee S(c)$

(9)  $\neg P(c) \vee R(c)$

(10)  $\neg P(c) \vee R(c) \vee S(c)$

(11)  $\neg R(c) \vee (\neg P(c) \vee S(c))$

(12)  $R(c) \vee (\neg P(c) \vee S(c))$

(13)  $\neg P(c) \vee S(c)$

(14)  $P(c) \rightarrow S(c)$

(15)  $\exists x(P(x) \rightarrow S(x))$

(12) Existential Generalization

(1) Universal Instantiation UI

(2) UI

(4), (5) Hypothetical Syllogism

(3) UI

(7) Implication and de Morgan

(6) Implication

(9) Addition

(8) Commutative and Associative

(10) Commutative and Associative

(11), (12) Resolution

(13) Implication

(14) Existential Generalization

Name:

# Reference Sheet for Logic and Program Proofs

## Logical Equivalences

Definition of $\wedge$ $P \wedge \neg P \equiv \text{False}$ $P \wedge \text{False} \equiv \text{False}$ $P \wedge \text{True} \equiv P$	Idempotent Laws $p \vee p \equiv p$ $p \wedge p \equiv p$	DeMorgan's Laws $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	Distributive Laws $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
Definition of $\vee$ $P \vee \neg P \equiv \text{True}$ $P \vee \text{False} \equiv P$ $P \vee \text{True} \equiv \text{True}$	Double Negation $\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Absorption Laws $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	Associative Laws $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
	Commutative Laws $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	Implication Laws $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$ $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	Biconditional Laws $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$ $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg q \leftrightarrow \neg p$

## Inference Rules

Simplification $p \wedge q$ <hr/> Therefore, $p$	Modus Ponens $p$ $p \rightarrow q$ <hr/> Therefore, $q$	Modus Tollens $\neg q$ $p \rightarrow q$ <hr/> Therefore, $\neg p$	Hypothetical Syllogism $p \rightarrow q$ $q \rightarrow r$ <hr/> Therefore, $p \rightarrow r$
Conjunction $p$ $q$ <hr/> Therefore, $p \wedge q$	Addition $p$ <hr/> Therefore, $p \vee q$	Resolution $p \vee q$ $\neg p \vee r$ <hr/> Therefore, $q \vee r$	Disjunctive Syllogism $p \vee q$ $\neg p$ <hr/> Therefore, $q$
Universal Instantiation $\forall x P(x)$ <hr/> Therefore, $P(c)$	Universal Generalization $P(c)$ <hr/> Therefore, $\forall x P(x)$	Existential Instantiation $\exists x P(x)$ <hr/> Therefore, $P(c)$	Existential Generalization $P(c)$ <hr/> Therefore, $\exists x P(x)$