BIL 717 Image Processing Apr. 8, 2015

Sparse Coding

Acknowledgement: The slides adapted from the ones prepared by M. Elad of the Technion - Israel Institute of Technology (general discussion) and L. Xu et al. of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (L0-smoothing)

Erkut Erdem Hacettepe University Computer Vision Lab (HUCVL)

Noise Removal?



- Important: (i) Practical application; (ii) A convenient platform (being the simplest inverse problem) for testing basic ideas in image processing, and then generalizing to more complex problems.
- Many Considered Directions: Partial differential equations, Statistical estimators, Adaptive filters, Inverse problems & regularization, Wavelets, Example-based techniques, Sparse representations, ...

Denoising By Energy Minimization

Many of the proposed image denoising algorithms are related to the minimization of an energy function of the form

$$f(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\underline{x} - \underline{y}\|_{2}^{2} + G(\underline{x})$$
ments
Relation to
Prior or regularization

 This is in-fact a Bayesian point of view, adopting the Maximum-A-posteriori Probability (MAP) estimation.

y: Given measurer

 \underline{x} : Unknown to be recovered



Thomas Bayes 1702 - 1761 an approach is within the

• Clearly, the wisdom in such an approach is within the choice of the prior – modeling the images of interest.

measurements

The Evolution of G(x)

During the past several decades we have made all sort of guesses about the prior $G(\underline{x})$ for images:



Sparse Modeling of Signals



Every column in D (dictionary) is a prototype signal (atom).

 \bullet

- The vector \underline{a} is generated randomly with few (say L) non-zeros at random locations and with random values.
- We shall refer to \bullet this model as Sparseland

Sparseland Signals are Special



Interesting Model:

- Simple: Every generated signal is built as a linear combination of <u>few</u> atoms from our dictionary D
- Rich: A general model: the obtained signals are a union of many low-dimensional Gaussians.
- Familiar: We have been using this model in other context for a while now (wavelet, JPEG, ...).

Sparse & Redundant Rep. Modeling?

Our signal model is thus: $\underline{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha}$ where $\underline{\alpha}$ is sparse



Our signal model is thus: $\underline{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha}$ where $\underline{\alpha}$ is sparse

Sparse & Redundant Rep. Modeling?



Our signal model is thus: $\underline{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha}$ where $\|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \leq \mathbf{L}$

Back to Our MAP Energy Function

- L₀ norm effectively counts the number of non-zeros in <u>a</u>.
- The vector <u>a</u> is the representation (sparse/redundant) of the desired signal x.

• The core idea: while few (L out of K) atoms can be merged to form the true signal, the noise cannot be fitted well. Thus, we obtain an effective projection of the noise onto a very low-dimensional space, thus getting denoising effect.

Wait! There are Some Issues

• Numerical Problems: How should we solve or approximate the solution of the problem

$$\begin{split} \min_{\underline{\alpha}} \|\mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha} - \underline{y}\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \leq L \quad \text{or} \quad \min_{\underline{\alpha}} \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|\mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha} - \underline{y}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \varepsilon^{2} \\ \text{or} \quad \min_{\underline{\alpha}} \lambda \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \quad + \quad \|\mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha} - \underline{y}\|_{2}^{2} \end{split}$$

- Theoretical Problems: Is there a unique sparse representation? If we are to approximate the solution somehow, how close will we get?
- Practical Problems: What dictionary D should we use, such that all this leads to effective denoising? Will all this work in applications?

To Summarize So Far ...

Image denoising (and many other problems in image processing) requires a model for the desired image



Use a model for signals/images based on sparse and redundant representations

There are some issues:

- 1. Theoretical
- 2. How to approximate?
- 3. What about D?



Lets Start with the Noiseless Problem

Suppose we build a signal by the relation

 $\mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha} = \mathbf{X}$

We aim to find the signal's representation:



 $\hat{\underline{\alpha}} = \operatorname{ArgMin}_{\underline{\alpha}} \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \underline{x} = \mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha}$

Why should we necessarily get $\hat{\underline{\alpha}} = \underline{\alpha}$?

Uniqueness

It might happen that eventually $\|\hat{\underline{\alpha}}\|_{0}^{0} < \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0}$.

Matrix "Spark"

Definition: Given a matrix D, $\sigma = \text{Spark}\{D\}$ is the smallest number of columns that are linearly dependent.

Donoho & E. ('02)

*

Example:

 In tensor decomposition, Kruskal defined something similar already in 1989.

Uniqueness Rule

Uniqueness

Donoho & E. ('02)

Suppose this problem has been solved somehow

$$\hat{\underline{\alpha}} = \operatorname{Arg}\operatorname{Min}_{\alpha} \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \underline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha}$$

If we found a representation that satisfy

$$\left\|\underline{\hat{\alpha}}\right\|_{0} < \frac{1}{2}$$

Then necessarily it is unique (the sparsest).

This result implies that if M generates signals using "sparse enough" $\underline{\alpha}$, the solution of the above will find it exactly.



Lets Approximate

$$\min_{\underline{\alpha}} \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \text{ s.t. } \|\underline{\mathbf{D}}\underline{\alpha} - \underline{y}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \varepsilon^{2}$$



Smooth the L₀ and use continuous optimization techniques



Greedy methods

Build the solution one non-zero element at a time



- This is known as the Basis-Pursuit (BP) [Chen, Donoho & Saunders ('95)].
- The newly defined problem is convex (quad. programming).
- Very efficient solvers can be deployed:
 - Interior point methods [Chen, Donoho, & Saunders ('95)] [Kim, Koh, Lustig, Boyd, & D. Gorinevsky ('07)].
 - Sequential shrinkage for union of ortho-bases [Bruce et.al. ('98)].
 - Iterative shrinkage [Figuerido & Nowak ('03)] [Daubechies, Defrise, & De-Mole ('04)]
 [E. ('05)] [E., Matalon, & Zibulevsky ('06)] [Beck & Teboulle ('09)] ...

Go Greedy: Matching Pursuit (MP)

- The MP is one of the greedy algorithms that finds one atom at a time [Mallat & Zhang ('93)].
- Step 1: find the one atom that best matches the signal.
- Next steps: given the previously found atoms, find the next <u>one</u> to best fit the residual.
- The algorithm stops when the error $\|\mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha} \underline{y}\|_2$ is below the destination threshold.
- The Orthogonal MP (OMP) is an improved version that re-evaluates the coefficients by Least-Squares after each round.



Pursuit Algorithms

$$\min_{\underline{\alpha}} \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \text{ s.t. } \|\mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha} - \underline{y}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \varepsilon^{2}$$

There are various algorithms designed for approximating the solution of this problem:

- Greedy Algorithms: Matching Pursuit, Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP), Least-Squares-OMP, Weak Matching Pursuit, Block Matching Pursuit [1993-today].
- Relaxation Algorithms: Basis Pursuit (a.k.a. LASSO), Dnatzig Selector & numerical ways to handle them [1995-today].
- Hybrid Algorithms: StOMP, CoSaMP, Subspace Pursuit, Iterative Hard-Thresholding [2007-today].
- ...

BP and MP Equivalence (No Noise)

$\underline{\hat{\alpha}} = \operatorname{Arg\,Min}_{\underline{\alpha}} \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \underline{x} = \mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha}$

BP and MP Equivalence (No Noise)

Equivalence Donoho & E. ('02) Gribonval & Nielsen ('03) Tropp ('03) Temlyakov ('03)
Given a signal \underline{x} with a representation $\underline{X} = \mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha}$, assuming that $\|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} < 0.5(1 + 1/\mu)$, BP and MP are guaranteed to find the sparsest solution.

- MP and BP are different in general (hard to say which is better).
- The above result corresponds to the worst-case, and as such, it is too pessimistic.
- Average performance results are available too, showing much better bounds [Donoho ('04)] [Candes et.al. ('04)] [Tanner et.al. ('05)]
 [E. ('06)] [Tropp et.al. ('06)] ... [Candes et. al. ('09)].

BP Stability for the Noisy Case

 $\min_{\underline{\alpha}} \lambda \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{1} + \|\mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha} - \underline{y}\|_{2}^{2}$

BP Stability for the Noisy Case

Stability

Given a signal $\underline{y} = \mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha} + \underline{v}$ with a representation satisfying $\|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} < 1 / 3\mu$ and a white Gaussian noise $\underline{v} \sim N(0, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})$, BP will show stability,^{*} i.e., $\|\underline{\hat{\alpha}}_{BP} - \underline{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} < \text{Const}(\lambda) \cdot \log K \cdot \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \cdot \sigma^{2}$

Ben-Haim, Eldar & E. ('09)

* With very high probability

- For $\sigma=0$ we get a weaker version of the previous result.
- This result is the oracle's error, multuiplied by C· logK.
- Similar results exist for other pursuit algorithms (Dantzig Selector, Orthogonal Matching Pursuit, CoSaMP, Subspace Pursuit, ...)

To Summarize So Far ...

Image denoising (and many other problems in image processing) requires a model for the desired image



Use a model for signals/images based on sparse and redundant representations

Problems?

The Dictionary D should be found somehow !!!



We have seen that there are approximation methods to find the sparsest solution, and there are theoretical results that guarantee their success.

What Should D Be?

$$\underline{\hat{\alpha}} = \underset{\underline{\alpha}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0}^{0} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{D}\underline{\alpha} - \underline{y}\|_{2}^{2} \le \varepsilon^{2} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \hat{\underline{x}} = \mathbf{D}\underline{\hat{\alpha}}$$

Our Assumption: Good-behaved Images have a sparse representation

D should be chosen such that it sparsifies the representations

One approach to choose D is from a known set of transforms (Steerable wavelet, Curvelet, Contourlets, Bandlets, Shearlets ...) The approach we will take for building D is training it, based on Learning from Image Examples

Measure of Quality for D



[Aharon, E. & Bruckstein ('05)]



The K–SVD Algorithm – General

[Aharon, E. & Bruckstein ('04,'05)]



K-SVD: Sparse Coding Stage



K–SVD: Dictionary Update Stage



We should solve:



Refer only to the examples that use the column <u>d</u>_k

Fixing all A and D apart from the kth column, and seek both <u>d</u>_k and the kth column in A to better fit the residual!

K–SVD: Dictionary Update Stage



We should solve:



Refer only to the examples that use the column <u>d</u>_k

Fixing all A and D apart from the kth column, and seek both <u>d</u>_k and the kth column in A to better fit the residual!

K–SVD: Algorithm

Task: Find the best dictionary to represent the data samples $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^N$ as sparse compositions, by solving

```
\min_{\mathbf{D},\mathbf{X}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}\|_F^2 \right\} \text{ subject to } \forall i, \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_0 \leq T_0.
```

Initialization : Set the dictionary matrix $\mathbf{D}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$ with ℓ^2 normalized columns. Set J = 1.

Repeat until convergence (stopping rule):

• Sparse Coding Stage: Use any pursuit algorithm to compute the representation vectors \mathbf{x}_i for each example \mathbf{y}_i , by approximating the solution of

 $i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad \min_{\mathbf{x}_i} \left\{ \|\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x}_i\|_2^2 \right\} \text{ subject to } \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_0 \le T_0.$

- Codebook Update Stage: For each column k = 1, 2, ..., K in $\mathbf{D}^{(J-1)}$, update it by
 - Define the group of examples that use this atom, $\omega_k = \{i | 1 \le i \le N, \mathbf{x}_T^k(i) \ne 0\}.$
 - Compute the overall representation error matrix, \mathbf{E}_k , by

$$\mathbf{E}_k = \mathbf{Y} - \sum_{j
eq k} \mathbf{d}_j \mathbf{x}_T^j.$$

- Restrict \mathbf{E}_k by choosing only the columns corresponding to ω_k , and obtain \mathbf{E}_k^R .
- Apply SVD decomposition $\mathbf{E}_k^R = \mathbf{U} \Delta \mathbf{V}^T$. Choose the updated dictionary column $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_k$ to be the first column of U. Update the coefficient vector \mathbf{x}_R^k to be the first column of V multiplied by $\Delta(1,1)$.

• Set
$$J = J + 1$$
.

To Summarize So Far ...

Image denoising (and many other problems in image processing) requires a model for the desired image



What

next?

Use a model for signals/images based on sparse and redundant representations

Problems?

Will it all work in applications? We have seen that there are approximation methods to find the sparsest solution, and there are theoretical results that guarantee their success.

From Local to Global Treatment

 The K-SVD algorithm is reasonable for lowdimension signals (N in the range 10-400). As N grows, the complexity and the memory requirements of the K-SVD become prohibitive.



- So, how should large images be handled?
- The solution: Force shift-invariant sparsity on each patch of size N-by-N (N=8) in the image, including overlaps.

$$\hat{\underline{x}} = \underset{\underline{x}, {\underline{\alpha}_{ij}}_{ij}}{\operatorname{ArgMin}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \underline{x} - \underline{y} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \underset{ij}{\mu \sum_{j}} \left\| \underline{R}_{ij} \underline{x} - \underline{D}\underline{\alpha}_{ij} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
Extracts a pate in the ij location in the ij location in the ij location in the ij location in the line of the line is located by the set of the line of the l

What Data to Train On?

Option 1:

- Use a database of images,
- We tried that, and it works fine (~0.5-1dB below the state-of-the-art).

Option 2:

- Use the corrupted image itself !!
- Simply sweep through all patches of size N-by-N (overlapping blocks),
- Image of size 1000^2 pixels $\rightarrow \sim 10^6$ examples to use more than enough.
- This works much better!






Image Denoising (Gray) [E. & Aharon ('06)]



Source



Result 30.829dB

Noisy image $\sigma = 20$



The obtained dictionary after 10 iterations

Image Denoising (Gray) [E. & Aharon ('06)]

Source

• The results of the K-SVD algorithm compete favorably with the state-of-the-art.

In a recent work that extended this algorithm to use joint sparse representation on the patches, the best published denoising performance are obtained [Mairal,

Bach, Ponce, Sapiro & Zisserman ('09)].



Result 30.829dB

Noisy image $\sigma = 20$

The obtained dictionary after

10 iterations

Denoising (Color) [Mairal, E. & Sapiro ('08)]

- When turning to handle color images, the main difficulty is in defining the relation between the color layers – R, G, and B.
- The solution with the above algorithm is simple – consider 3D patches or 8-by-8 with the 3 color layers, and the dictionary will detect the proper relations.



Denoising (Color) [Mairal, E. & Sapiro ('08)]



Original



Noisy (20.43dB)



Result (30.75dB)

Denoising (Color) [Mairal, E. & Sapiro ('08)]

The K-SVD algorithm leads to state-of-the-art denoising results, giving ~1dB better results compared to [Mcauley et. al. ('06)] which implements a learned MRF model (Field-of-Experts)



Original





Noisy (12.77dB) Result (29.87dB)

Image Inpainting – The Basics

- Assume: the signal <u>x</u> has been created by <u>x</u>=D<u>a</u>₀ with very sparse <u>a</u>₀.
- Missing values in <u>x</u> imply missing rows in this linear system.
- By removing these rows, we get

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}\underline{\alpha} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$$

• Now solve

 $\underset{\underline{\alpha}}{\operatorname{Min}} \|\underline{\alpha}\|_{0} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \underline{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}} = \mathbf{\tilde{\mathbf{D}}}\underline{\alpha}$

If <u>a</u>₀ was sparse enough, it will be the solution of the above problem! Thus, computing D<u>a</u>₀ recovers <u>x</u> perfectly.



Side Note: Compressed-Sensing

- Compressed Sensing is leaning on the very same principal, leading to alternative sampling theorems.
- Assume: the signal <u>x</u> has been created by $\underline{x}=D\underline{a}_0$ with very sparse \underline{a}_0 .
- Multiply this set of equations by the matrix Q which reduces the number of rows.

guaranteeing such recovery.

The new, smaller, system of equations is

QD<u>α</u> = Q<u>x</u> → D<u>α</u> = x
X

If <u>a</u>₀ was sparse enough, it will be the sparsest solution of the new system, thus, computing D<u>α</u>₀ recovers <u>x</u> perfectly.
Compressed sensing focuses on conditions for this to happen,

Inpainting [Mairal, E. & Sapiro ('08)]

Experiments lead to state-of-the-art inpainting results.



Original 80% missing Result

Inpainting [Mairal, E. & Sapiro ('08)]

Experiments lead to state-of-the-art inpainting results.



Original

80% missing

Result

Inpainting [Mairal, E. & Sapiro ('08)]

Experiments lead to state-of-the-art inpainting results.





Image Compression [Bryt and E. ('08)]

- The problem: Compressing photo-ID images.
- General purpose methods (JPEG, JPEG2000) do not take into account the specific family.
- By adapting to the image-content (PCA/K-SVD), better results could be obtained.
- For these techniques to operate well, train dictionaries locally (per patch) using a training set of images is required.
- In PCA, only the (quantized) coefficients are stored, whereas the K-SVD requires storage of the indices as well.
- Geometric alignment of the image is very helpful and should be done [Goldenberg, Kimmel, & E. ('05)].



Image Compression



Image Compression Results

Original JPEG JPEG-2000 Local-PCA

K-SVD















Results for 820 Bytes per each file







200









Image Compression Results

Original JPEG JPEG-2000 Local-PCA

K-SVD















Results for 550 Bytes per each file













Image Compression Results

Original JPEG JPEG-2000 Local-PCA

K-SVD



























Deblocking the Results [Bryt and E. (09)]

550 bytes K-SVD results with and without deblocking



K-SVD (6.60)



K-SVD (5.49)



K-SVD (6.45)



K-SVD (11.67)



Deblock (6.24)



Deblock (5.27)



Deblock (6.03)



Deblock (11.32)

Super-Resolution [Zeyde, Protter, & E. ('11)]

- Given a low-resolution image, we desire to enlarge it while producing a sharp looking result. This problem is referred to as "Single-Image Super-Resolution".
- Image scale-up using bicubic interpolation is far from being satisfactory for this task.
- Recently, a sparse and redundant representation technique was proposed [Yang, Wright, Huang, and Ma ('08)] for solving this problem, by training a coupleddictionaries for the low- and high res. images.
- We extended and improved their algorithms and results.

Super-Resolution – Results (1)

This book is about *convex optimization*, a special class of mathematical optimization problems, which includes least-squares and linear programming problems. It is well known that least-squares and linear programming problems have a fairly complete theory, arise in a variety of applications, and can be solved numerically very efficiently. The basic point of this book is that the same can be said for the larger class of convex optimization problems.

While the mathematics of convex optimization has been studied for about a century, several related recent developments have stimulated new interest in the topic. The first is the recognition that interior-point methods, developed in the 1980s to solve linear programming problems, can be used to solve convex optimization problems as well. These new methods allow us to solve certain new classes of convex optimization problems, such as semidefinite programs and second-order cone programs, almost as easily as linear programs.

The second development is the discovery that convex optimization problems (beyond least-squares and linear programs) are more prevalent in practice than was previously thought. Since 1990 many applications have been discovered in areas such as automatic control systems, estimation and signal processing, communications and networks, electronic circuit design, data analysis and modeling statistics, and finance. Convex optimization has also found wide application in combinatorial optimization and global optimization, where it is used to find bounds or the optimal value, as well as approximate solutions. We believe that many other applications of convex optimization are still waiting to be discovered.

There are great advantages to recognizing or formulating a problem as a convex optimization problem. The most basic advantage is that the problem can then be solved, very reliably and efficiently, using interior-point methods or other special methods for convex optimization. These solution methods are reliable enough to be embedded in a computer-aided design or analysis tool, or even a real-time reactive or automatic control system. There are also theoretical or conceptual advantages of formulating a problem as a convex optimization problem. The associated dua The training image: 717×717 pixels, providing a set of 54,289 training patch-pairs.

Super-Resolution – Results (1)

An amazing variety of practical proble design, analysis, and operation) can be mization problem, or some variation such indeed, mathematical optimization has It is widely used in engineering, in elect titol systems, and optimal design proble and aerospace engineering. Optimization design and operation, finance, supply d other areas. The list of applications is st

For most of these applications, mathe a human decision maker, system designer process, checks the results, and modifies when necessary. This human decision ma by the optimization problem, e.g., buyin portfolio.

Bicubic interpolation PSNR=14.68dB

SR Result PSNR=16.95dB

An amazing variety of practical proble design, analysis, and operation) can be mization problem, or some variation such Indeed, mathematical optimization has It is widely used in engineering, in elect trol systems, and optimal design probler and aerospace engineering. Optimization design and operation, finance, supply cl other areas. The list of applications is sti

For most of these applications, mathe a human decision maker, system designer process, checks the results, and modifies when necessary. This human decision ma by the optimization problem, e.g., buyin

Image

An amazing variety of practical problem An amazing variety of proceeding design, analysis, and operation) can be mization problem, or some variation such Indeed, mathematical optimization has It is widely used in engineering, in elect trol systems, and optimal design probler and aerospace engineering. Optimization design and operation, finance, supply cl other areas. The list of applications is st

> For most of these applications, mathe a human decision maker, system designer process, checks the results, and modifies when necessary. This human decision ma by the optimization problem, *e.q.*, buyin portfolio.



Given Image

Super-Resolution – Results (2)



Scaled-Up (factor 2:1) using the proposed algorithm, PSNR=29.32dB (3.32dB improvement over bicubic)

Super-Resolution – Results (2)



The Original

Bicubic Interpolation

SR result

Super-Resolution – Results (2)



The Original

Bicubic Interpolation

SR result

LO-Image Smoothing





- Suppress insignificant details
 - Maintain major edges



LO-Smoothing Method

A general and effective global smoothing strategy based on a sparsity measure

 $c(f) \coloneqq \#\{p \mid \left| \nabla f_p \right| \neq 0\}$



which corresponds to the LO-norm of gradient

Two Features



- 1. Flattening insignificant details
- By removing small non-zero gradients



Two Features



2. Enhancing prominent edges

Because large gradients receive the same penalty as small ones



- Constrain # of non-zero gradients $c(f) = \#\{p \mid |f_p - f_{p+1}| \neq 0\} = k$
- Make the result similar to the input $\min_{f} \sum_{p} (f_p g_p)^2$
- Objective function $\min_{f} \sum_{p} (f_p - g_p)^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c(f) = k$

$$\min_{f} \sum_{p} (f_{p} - g_{p})^{2} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c(f) = 1$$





$$\underset{f}{\underset{p}{\underbrace{\text{min}}}} \sum_{p} (f_{p} - g_{p})^{2} \quad s.t. \quad c(f) = 200$$

Transformation

$$\min_{f} \sum_{p} (f_{p} - g_{p})^{2} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c(f) = k$$

$$\lim_{f} \sum_{p} (f_{p} - g_{p})^{2} + \lambda \cdot c(f) \quad 10 \quad k$$

$$= 6$$



2D Image

$$\min_{f} \sum_{p} (f_{p} - g_{p})^{2} + \lambda \cdot c(\partial_{x} f, \partial_{y} f)$$
$$c(\partial_{x} f, \partial_{y} f) = \#\{p \mid |\partial_{x} f_{p}| + |\partial_{y} f_{p}| \neq 0\}$$

Finding the global optimum is NP hard

Approximation

$$\min_{f} \sum_{p} (f_{p} - g_{p})^{2} + \lambda \cdot c(h, v)$$
$$+ \beta \cdot \sum_{p} ((\partial_{x} f_{p} - h_{p})^{2} + (\partial_{y} f_{p} - v_{p})^{2})$$

Separately estimate f and (h, v)

Iterative Optimization

Both the sub-problems are with closed-form solutions
One Example



Iteration #88



Input









