# Bayesian Learning 

## Bayesian Learning

## Features of Bayesian learning methods:

- Each observed training example can incrementally decrease or increase the estimated probability of the correctness of a hypothesis.
- This provides a more flexible approach to learning than algorithms that completely eliminate a hypothesis if it is found to be inconsistent with any single example.
- Prior knowledge can be combined with observed data to determine the final probability of a hypothesis.
- In Bayesian learning, prior knowledge is provided by asserting
- a prior probability for each candidate hypothesis, and
- a probability distribution over observed data for each possible hypothesis.


## Bayesian Learning

## Features of Bayesian learning methods:

- Bayesian methods can accommodate hypotheses that make probabilistic predictions.
- New instances can be classified by combining the predictions of multiple hypotheses, weighted by their probabilities.
- Even in cases where Bayesian methods prove computationally intractable, they can provide a standard of optimal decision making against which other practical methods can be measured.


## Difficulties with Bayesian Methods

- Require initial knowledge of many probabilities
- When these probabilities are not known in advance they are often estimated based on background knowledge, previously available data, and assumptions about the form of the underlying distributions.
- Significant computational cost is required to determine the Bayes optimal hypothesis in the general case (linear in the number of candidate hypotheses).
- In certain specialized situations, this computational cost can be significantly reduced.


## Bayes Theorem

- In machine learning, we try to determine the best hypothesis from some hypothesis space $H$, given the observed training data $D$.
- In Bayesian learning, the best hypothesis means the most probable hypothesis, given the data D plus any initial knowledge about the prior probabilities of the various hypotheses in H .
- Bayes theorem provides a way to calculate the probability of a hypothesis based on its prior probability, the probabilities of observing various data given the hypothesis, and the observed data itself.


## Bayes Theorem

$\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{h})$ is prior probability of hypothesis $h$

- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$ to denote the initial probability that hypothesis $h$ holds, before observing training data.
- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$ may reflect any background knowledge we have about the chance that h is correct.
- If we have no such prior knowledge, then each candidate hypothesis might simply get the same prior probability.
$\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{D})$ is prior probability of training data $D$
- The probability of D given no knowledge about which hypothesis holds
$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{h} \mid \mathrm{D})$ is posterior probability of h given $D$
- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h} \mid \mathrm{D})$ is called the posterior probability of $\boldsymbol{h}$, because it reflects our confidence that $\boldsymbol{h}$ holds after we have seen the training data $\boldsymbol{D}$.
- The posterior probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h} \mid \mathrm{D})$ reflects the influence of the training data $\boldsymbol{D}$, in contrast to the prior probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$, which is independent of D .
$\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$ is posterior probability of $D$ given $h$
- The probability of observing data $\boldsymbol{D}$ given some world in which hypothesis $\boldsymbol{h}$ holds.
- Generally, we write $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})$ to denote the probability of event $\mathbf{x}$ given event $\mathbf{y}$.


## Bayes Theorem

- In ML problems, we are interested in the probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h} \mid \mathrm{D})$ that h holds given the observed training data D .
- Bayes theorem provides a way to calculate the posterior probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h} \mid \mathrm{D})$, from the prior probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$, together with $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$.

Bayes Theorem: $\quad P(h \mid D)=\frac{P(D \mid h) P(h)}{P(D)}$

- $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h} \mid \mathrm{D})$ increases with $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$ according to Bayes theorem.
- $P(h \mid D)$ decreases as $P(D)$ increases, because the more probable it is that D will be observed independent of $h$, the less evidence $D$ provides in support of $h$.


## Bayes Theorem

- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$ is prior probability (unconditional probability) of event A .
- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B})$ is posterior probability (conditional probability) of event A given that event $B$ holds.
- $P(A, B)$ is the joint probability of two events $A$ and $B$.
- The (unconditional) probability of the events A and B occurring together.
$-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A})$


## Bayes Theorem

$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}) \\ \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})\end{array}$

Since $P(A, B)=P(B, A)$, we have $P(A \mid B) * P(B)=P(B \mid A) * P(A)$

Thus, we have Bayes Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{B})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{A}) * \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B}) \\
& \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{A})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{B}) * \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B}) / \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bayes Theorem - Example

Bayes Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{B})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{A}) * \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B}) \\
& \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{A})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{B}) * \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B}) / \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sample Space for events A and B

| A holds | T | T | F | F | T | F | T |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B holds | T | F | T | F | T | F | F |

$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=4 / 7 \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=3 / 7 \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A})=2 / 7$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})=2 / 4 \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B})=2 / 3$

Is Bayes Theorem correct?
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B}) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=(2 / 3 * 3 / 7) / 4 / 7=2 / 4$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=(2 / 4 * 4 / 7) / 3 / 7=2 / 3$
$\rightarrow$ CORRECT
$\rightarrow$ CORRECT

## Bayes Theorem - Example

- Given:
- A doctor knows that meningitis causes stiff neck $50 \%$ of the time

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S} \mid \mathrm{M})=0.5
$$

- Prior probability of any patient having meningitis is $1 / 50,000$

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M})=1 / 50,000
$$

- Prior probability of any patient having stiff neck is $1 / 20$

$$
P(S)=1 / 20
$$

- If a patient has stiff neck, what's the probability he/she has meningitis? $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{M} \mid \mathbf{S})$ ?

$$
P(M \mid S)=\frac{P(S \mid M) P(M)}{P(S)}=\frac{0.5 \times 1 / 50000}{1 / 20}=0.0002
$$

## Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Hypothesis, $\mathbf{h}_{\text {MAP }}$

- The learner considers some set of candidate hypotheses H and it is interested in finding the most probable hypothesis $\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}$ given the observed data D
- Any such maximally probable hypothesis is called a maximum a posteriori (MAP) hypothesis $\boldsymbol{h}_{\text {MaP }}$.
- We can determine the MAP hypotheses by using Bayes theorem to calculate the posterior probability of each candidate hypothesis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{M A P}}=\underset{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{h} \mid \mathbf{D}) \\
& \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{MAP}}=\underset{\mathbf{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~h})}{P(\mathrm{D})} \\
& \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{M A P}}=\underset{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{h}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{h})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Maximum Likelihood (ML) Hypothesis, $\mathbf{h}_{\text {ML }}$

- If we assume that every hypothesis in H is equally probable
i.e. $P\left(h_{i}\right)=P\left(h_{j}\right)$ for all $h_{i}$ and $h_{j}$ in $H$

We can only consider $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$ to find the most probable hypothesis.

- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$ is often called the likelihood of the data $\boldsymbol{D}$ given $\boldsymbol{h}$
- Any hypothesis that maximizes $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$ is called a maximum likelihood (ML) hypothesis, $\boldsymbol{h}_{M L}$.

$$
\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}=\underset{\mathbf{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{h})
$$

## Example - Does patient have cancer or not?

- The test returns
- a correct positive result in only $98 \%$ of the cases in which the disease is actually present,
- a correct negative result in only $97 \%$ of the cases in which the disease is not present.
- Furthermore, .008 of the entire population have cancer.
$\mathrm{P}($ cancer $)=.008 \quad \mathrm{P}($ notcancer $)=.992$
$\mathrm{P}(+$ cancer $)=.98 \quad \mathrm{P}(-$ |cancer $)=.02$
$\mathrm{P}(+$ notcancer $)=.03 \quad \mathrm{P}(-\mid$ notcancer $)=.97$
- A patient takes a lab test and the result comes back positive.
$\mathrm{P}(+\mid$ cancer $) \mathrm{P}($ cancer $)=.98 * .008=.0078$
$\mathrm{P}(+\mid$ notcancer $) \mathrm{P}($ notcancer $)=.03 * .992=.0298$
$\rightarrow h_{M A P}$ is notcancer
- $\quad$ Since $\mathrm{P}($ cancer + + $)+\mathrm{P}($ notcancer + ) must be 1
$\mathrm{P}($ cancer $\mid+)=.0078 /(.0078+.0298)=.21$
$\mathrm{P}($ notcancer $\mid+)=.0298 /(.0078+.0298)=.79$


## Basic Formulas for Probabilities

Product Rule: Probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \wedge \mathrm{B})$ of a conjunction of two events A and B

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \wedge \mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \mid \mathrm{B}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} \mid \mathrm{A}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})
$$

Sum Rule: Probability $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \vee \mathrm{B})$ of a disjunction of two events A and B

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \vee \mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~A} \wedge \mathrm{~B})
$$

Theorem of Total Probability:
If events $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are mutually exclusive with $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=1$

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B} \mid \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

## Brute-Force Bayes Concept Learning

- A Concept-Learning algorithm considers a finite hypothesis space $\mathbf{H}$ defined over an instance space $\mathbf{X}$
- The task is to learn the target concept (a function) $\mathbf{c}: \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow 0 , 1 \}}$.
- The learner gets a set of training examples ( $\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{l}}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\rangle$ ) where $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is an instance from $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is its target value (i.e. $\left.c\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)=\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$.
- Brute-Force Bayes Concept Learning Algorithm finds the maximum a posteriori hypothesis ( $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{MAP}}$ ), based on Bayes theorem.


## Brute-Force MAP Learning Algorithm

1. For each hypothesis h in H , calculate the posterior probability

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~h} \mid \mathrm{D})=\frac{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~h})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})}
$$

2. Output the hypothesis $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{MAP}}$ with the highest posterior probability

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{MAP}}=\underset{\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~h} \mid \mathrm{D})
$$

- This algorithm may require significant computation, because it applies Bayes theorem to each hypothesis in H to calculate $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h} \mid \mathrm{D})$.
- While this is impractical for large hypothesis spaces,
- The algorithm is still of interest because it provides a standard against which we may judge the performance of other concept learning algorithms.


## Brute-Force MAP Learning Algorithm

- Brute-Force MAP learning algorithm must specify values for $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$.
- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$ must be chosen to be consistent with the assumptions:

1. The training data $D$ is noise free (i.e., $d_{i}=c\left(x_{i}\right)$ ).
2. The target concept c is contained in the hypothesis space H
3. We have no a priori reason to believe that any hypothesis is more probable than any other.

- With these assumptions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~h})=\frac{1}{|H|} \text { for all } \mathrm{h} \text { in } \mathrm{H} \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } d_{i}=h\left(x_{i}\right) \text { for all } d_{i} \text { in } D \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Brute-Force MAP Learning Algorithm

- So, the values of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h} \mid \mathrm{D})$ will be:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~h} \mid \mathrm{D})=\frac{0 \cdot \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~h})}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})}=0 & \text { if } \mathrm{h} \text { is inconsistent with } \mathrm{D} \\
P(h \mid D)=\frac{1 \cdot \frac{1}{|H|}}{P(D)}=\frac{1 \cdot \frac{1}{|H|}}{\frac{\left|V S_{H, D}\right|}{|H|}}=\frac{1}{\left|V S_{H, D}\right|} & \text { if } \mathrm{h} \text { is consistent with } \mathrm{D}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathrm{VS}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}}$ is the version space of H with respect to D .

- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})=\left|\mathrm{VS}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}}\right| /|\mathrm{H}|$ because
- the sum over all hypotheses of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h} \mid \mathrm{D})$ must be one and the number of hypotheses from H consistent with D is $\left|\mathrm{VS}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}}\right|$, or
- we can derive $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D})$ from the theorem of total probability and the fact that the hypotheses are mutually exclusive (i.e., $(\forall \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j})\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}} \wedge \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=0\right)$

$$
P(D)=\sum_{h_{i}} P\left(D \mid h_{i}\right) P\left(h_{i}\right)=\sum_{h_{i} \in V S_{H, D}} 1 \cdot \frac{1}{|H|}+\sum_{h_{i} \notin V S_{H, D}} 0 \cdot \frac{0}{|H|}=\sum_{h_{i} \in V S_{H, D}} 1 \cdot \frac{1}{|H|}=\frac{\left|V S_{H, D}\right|}{|H|}
$$

## Evolution of posterior probabilities $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{h} \mid \mathbf{D})$ with increasing training data.

- Uniform prior probabilities assign equal probability to each hypothesis without considering any example in the data set.
- As training data increases to D 1 , then to $\mathrm{D} 1 \wedge \mathrm{D} 2$,
- the posterior probability of inconsistent hypotheses becomes zero,
- while posterior probabilities increase for hypotheses remaining in the version space.


## MAP Hypotheses and Consistent Learners

- A learning algorithm is a consistent learner if it outputs a hypothesis that commits zero errors over the training examples.
- Every consistent learner outputs a MAP hypothesis, if we assume
- a uniform prior probability distribution over H (i.e., $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ for all $\left.\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}\right)$, and
- deterministic, noise free training data (i.e., $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})=1$ if D and h are consistent, and 0 otherwise).
- Because FIND-S outputs a consistent hypothesis, it will output a MAP hypothesis under the probability distributions $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$ defined above.
- Are there other probability distributions for $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$ under which FIND-S outputs MAP hypotheses? Yes.
- Because FIND-S outputs a maximally specific hypothesis from the version space, its output hypothesis will be a MAP hypothesis relative to any prior probability distribution that favors more specific hypotheses.
- More precisely, suppose we have a probability distribution $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{h})$ over H that assigns $P\left(h_{1}\right) \geq P\left(h_{2}\right)$ if $h_{1}$ is more specific than $h_{2}$.


## Maximum Likelihood and Least-Squared Error Hypotheses

- Many learning approaches such as neural network learning, linear regression, and polynomial curve fitting try to learn a continuous-valued target function.
- Under certain assumptions any learning algorithm that minimizes the squared error between the output hypothesis predictions and the training data will output a Maximum Likelihood Hypothesis.
- Any hypothesis that maximizes $\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})$ is called a maximum likelihood (ML) hypothesis, $\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}$.
$-\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}=\underset{\mathbf{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{h})$
- The significance of this result is that it provides a Bayesian justification (under certain assumptions) for many neural network and other curve fitting methods that attempt to minimize the sum of squared errors over the training data.


## Learning A Continuous-Valued Target Function

- Learner $L$ considers an instance space $X$ and a hypothesis space $H$ consisting of some class of real-valued functions defined over X.
- The problem faced by L is to learn an unknown target function f drawn from H .
- A set of $m$ training examples is provided, where the target value of each example is corrupted by random noise drawn according to a Normal probability distribution
- Each training example is a pair of the form $\left(x_{i}, d_{i}\right)$ where $d_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)+e_{i}$.
- Here $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the noise-free value of the target function and $e_{i}$ is a random variable representing the noise.
- It is assumed that the values of the $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are drawn independently and that they are distributed according to a Normal distribution with zero mean.
- The task of the learner is to output a maximum likelihood hypothesis, or, equivalently, a MAP hypothesis assuming all hypotheses are equally probable a priori.


## Learning A Linear Function

- The target function f corresponds to the solid line.
- The training examples $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ are assumed to have Normally distributed noise $e_{i}$ with zero mean added to the true target value $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.
- The dashed line corresponds to the hypothesis $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}$ with least-squared training error, hence the maximum likelihood hypothesis.
- Notice that the maximum likelihood hypothesis is not necessarily identical to the correct hypothesis, f , because it is inferred from only a limited sample of noisy training data.


## Basic Concepts from Probability Theory

- Before showing why a hypothesis that minimizes the sum of squared errors in this setting is also a maximum likelihood hypothesis, let us quickly review basic concepts from probability theory
- A random variable can be viewed as the name of an experiment with a probabilistic outcome. Its value is the outcome of the experiment.
- A probability distribution for a random variable $Y$ specifies the probability $\operatorname{Pr}(Y=y)$ that $Y$ will take on the value $y_{i}$, for each possible value $y_{i}$.
- The expected value, or mean, of a random variable $Y$ is $E[Y]=\sum_{i} y_{i} \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y=y_{i}\right)$. The symbol $\mu_{Y}$ is commonly used to represent $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}]$.
- The variance of a random variable is $\operatorname{Var}(Y)=E\left[\left(Y-\mu_{Y}\right)^{2}\right]$. The variance characterizes the width or dispersion of the distribution about its mean.
- The standard deviation of $Y$ is $\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}$. The symbol $\sigma_{Y}$ is often used used to represent the standard deviation of $Y$.
- The Normal distribution is a bell-shaped probability distribution that covers many natural phenomena.
- The Central Limit Theorem is a theorem stating that the sum of a large number of independent, identically distributed random variables approximately follows a Normal distribution.


## Basic Concepts from Probability Theory



A Normal Distribution (Gaussian Distribution) is a bell-shaped distribution defined by the probability density function

$$
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}
$$

- A Normal distribution is fully determined by two parameters in the formula: $\mu$ and $\sigma$.
- If the random variable X follows a normal distribution:
- The probability that X will fall into the interval $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ is
- The expected, or mean value of $\boldsymbol{X}, \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{X}]=\mu$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) d(x)
$$

- The variance of $X, \operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X})=\sigma^{2}$
- The standard deviation of $X, \sigma_{x}=\sigma$
- The Central Limit Theorem states that the sum of a large number of independent, identically distributed random variables follows a distribution that is approximately Normal.


## Maximum Likelihood and

## Least-Squared Error Hypotheses - Deriving $\mathbf{h}_{\text {ML }}$

- In order to find the maximum likelihood hypothesis, we start with our earlier definition but using lower case $\boldsymbol{p}$ to refer to the probability density function.

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}=\underset{\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{D} \mid \mathrm{h})
$$

- We assume a fixed set of training instances $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ and therefore consider the data $D$ to be the corresponding sequence of target values $D=\left(d_{1} \ldots d_{m}\right)$.
- Here $d_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)+e_{i}$. Assuming the training examples are mutually independent given $h$, we can write $p(D \mid h)$ as the product of the various $p\left(d_{i} \mid h\right)$

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}=\underset{\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{h}\right)
$$

## Maximum Likelihood and

## Least-Squared Error Hypotheses - Deriving $\mathbf{h}_{\text {ML }}$

- Given that the noise $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ obeys a Normal distribution with zero mean and unknown variance $\sigma^{2}$, each $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ must also obey a Normal distribution with variance $\sigma^{2}$ centered around the true target value $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ rather than zero.
- $p\left(d_{i} \mid h\right)$ can be written as a Normal distribution with variance $\sigma^{2}$ and mean $\mu=f\left(x_{i}\right)$.
- Let us write the formula for this Normal distribution to describe $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{h}\right)$, beginning with the general formula for a Normal distribution and substituting appropriate $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$.
- Because we are writing the expression for the probability of $d_{i}$ given that $h$ is the correct description of the target function f , we will also substitute $\mu=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}=\underset{\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{h}\right) \quad \quad \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}=\underset{\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(d_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}} \\
& \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{M L}}=\underset{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{1}}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{2 \pi \boldsymbol { \sigma } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } }}}} \boldsymbol{e}^{-\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { \sigma } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } }}}\left(\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\mathbf{h}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)\right)^{\mathbf{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Maximum Likelihood and

## Least-Squared Error Hypotheses - Deriving $\mathbf{h}_{\text {ML }}$

- Maximizing $\ln \mathbf{p}$ also maximizes $\mathbf{p}$.

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}=\underset{\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}}-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

- First term is constant, discard it.

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}=\underset{\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}}-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

- Maximizing the negative quantity is equivalent to minimizing the corresponding positive quantity.

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}=\underset{\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

- Finally, we can again discard constants that are independent of $\boldsymbol{h}$.

$$
\mathbf{h}_{M L}=\underset{h \in H}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{i}-h\left(x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

## Maximum Likelihood and Least-Squared Error Hypotheses

- The maximum likelihood hypothesis $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ML}}$ is the one that minimizes the sum of the squared errors between observed training values $d_{i}$ and hypothesis predictions $h\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.
- This holds under the assumption that the observed training values $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are generated by adding random noise to the true target value, where this random noise is drawn independently for each example from a Normal distribution with zero mean.
- Similar derivations can be performed starting with other assumed noise distributions, producing different results.
- Why is it reasonable to choose the Normal distribution to characterize noise?
- One reason, is that it allows for a mathematically straightforward analysis.
- A second reason is that the smooth, bell-shaped distribution is a good approximation to many types of noise in physical systems.
- Minimizing the sum of squared errors is a common approach in many neural network, curve fitting, and other approaches to approximating real-valued functions.


## Bayes Optimal Classifier

- Normally we consider:
- What is the most probable hypothesis given the training data?
- We can also consider:
- What is the most probable classification of the new instance given the training data?
- Consider a hypothesis space containing three hypotheses, $\mathrm{hl}, \mathrm{h} 2$, and h 3 .
- Suppose that the posterior probabilities of these hypotheses given the training data are $.4, .3$, and .3 respectively.
- Thus, hl is the MAP hypothesis.
- Suppose a new instance x is encountered, which is classified positive by $h l$, but negative by $h 2$ and h 3 .
- Taking all hypotheses into account, the probability that x is positive is .4 (the probability associated with $h 1$ ), and the probability that it is negative is .6 .
- The most probable classification (negative) in this case is different from the classification generated by the MAP hypothesis.


## Bayes Optimal Classifier

- The most probable classification of the new instance is obtained by combining the predictions of all hypotheses, weighted by their posterior probabilities.
- If the possible classification of the new example can take on any value $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ from some set $\mathbf{V}$, then the probability $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \mathbf{D}\right)$ that the correct classification for the new instance is $\boldsymbol{v}_{j}$ :

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \mathbf{D}\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{H}} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{D}\right)
$$

- Bayes optimal classification:

$$
\underset{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbf{V}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{H}} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{D}\right)
$$

## Bayes Optimal Classifier - Example

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{1} \mid \mathrm{D}\right)=.4 & \mathrm{P}\left(-\mid \mathrm{h}_{1}\right)=0 & \mathrm{P}\left(+\mid \mathrm{h}_{1}\right)=1 \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{2} \mid \mathrm{D}\right)=.3 & \mathrm{P}\left(-\mid \mathrm{h}_{2}\right)=1 & \mathrm{P}\left(+\mid \mathrm{h}_{2}\right)=0 \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{3} \mid \mathrm{D}\right)=.3 & \mathrm{P}\left(-\mid \mathrm{h}_{3}\right)=1 & \mathrm{P}\left(+\mid \mathrm{h}_{3}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

Probabilities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{h_{i} \in H} P\left(+\mid h_{i}\right) P\left(h_{i} \mid D\right)=.4 \\
& \sum_{h_{i} \in H} P\left(-\mid h_{i}\right) P\left(h_{i} \mid D\right)=.6
\end{aligned}
$$

Result:

$$
\underset{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in\{+,-\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbf{H}} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{D}\right) \Rightarrow-
$$

## Bayes Optimal Classifier

- Although the Bayes optimal classifier obtains the best performance that can be achieved from the given training data, it can be quite costly to apply.
- The expense is due to the fact that it computes the posterior probability for every hypothesis in H and then combines the predictions of each hypothesis to classify each new instance.
- An alternative, less optimal method is the Gibbs algorithm:

1. Choose a hypothesis $\boldsymbol{h}$ from H at random, according to the posterior probability distribution over H .
2. Use $\boldsymbol{h}$ to predict the classification of the next instance $\boldsymbol{x}$.

## Naive Bayes Classifier

- One highly practical Bayesian learning method is Naive Bayes Learner (Naive Bayes Classifier).
- The naive Bayes classifier applies to learning tasks where each instance $\boldsymbol{x}$ is described by a conjunction of attribute values and where the target function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ can take on any value from some finite set V .
- A set of training examples is provided, and a new instance is presented, described by the tuple of attribute values $\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2} \ldots \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$.
- The learner is asked to predict the target value (classification), for this new instance.


## Naive Bayes Classifier

- The Bayesian approach to classifying the new instance is to assign the most probable target value $v_{M A P}$, given the attribute values $\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)$ that describe the instance.

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{M A P}}=\underset{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbf{V}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)
$$

- By Bayes theorem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{M A P}}=\underset{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbf{V}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{P\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} \mid \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)}{P\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{M A P}}=\underset{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbf{V}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} \mid \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) P\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive Bayes Classifier

- It is easy to estimate each of the $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$ simply by counting the frequency with which each target value $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$ occurs in the training data.
- However, estimating the different $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2} \ldots \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} \mid \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$ terms is not feasible unless we have a very, very large set of training data.
- The problem is that the number of these terms is equal to the number of possible instances times the number of possible target values.
- Therefore, we need to see every instance in the instance space many times in order to obtain reliable estimates.
- The naive Bayes classifier is based on the simplifying assumption that the attribute values are conditionally independent given the target value.
- For a given the target value of the instance, the probability of observing conjunction $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2} \ldots \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}$, is just the product of the probabilities for the individual attributes:

$$
P\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \mid v_{j}\right)=\prod_{i} P\left(a_{i} \mid v_{j}\right)
$$

Naive Bayes classifier: $\quad \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{N B}}=\underset{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbf{V}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \prod_{\mathbf{i}} P\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$

## Naive Bayes Classifier: Independence of Events

The events A and B are INDEPENDENT

$$
\text { if and only if } \quad \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}) * \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B})
$$

Example: Bit strings of length 3 is $\{000,001,010,011,100,101,110,111\}$
Event A: A randomly generated bit string of length three begins with a 1.
Event B: A randomly generated bit string of length three ends with a 1.
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=4 / 8 \quad 100,101,110,111 \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=4 / 8 \quad 001,011,101,111$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})=2 / 8 \quad 101,111 \quad$ Are A and B independent?
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=(4 / 8) *(4 / 8)=16 / 64=2 / 8=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$
$\quad \rightarrow$ A and B are independent.

Event C: A randomly generated bit string of length three contains with two 1s.
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})=3 / 8 \quad 011,101,110$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C})=2 / 8 \quad 101,110 \quad$ Are A and C independent $?$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C})=(4 / 8)^{*}(3 / 8)=12 / 64=3 / 16 \neq 2 / 8$
$\quad \rightarrow$ A and C are NOT independent.

## Naive Bayes Classifier - Example

| Day | Outlook | Temp. | Humidity | Wind | Play Tennis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | Sunny | Hot | High | Weak | No |
| D2 | Sunny | Hot | High | Strong | No |
| D3 | Overcast | Hot | High | Weak | Yes |
| D4 | Rain | Mild | High | Weak | Yes |
| D5 | Rain | Cool | Normal | Weak | Yes |
| D6 | Rain | Cool | Normal | Strong | No |
| D7 | Overcast | Cool | Normal | Weak | Yes |
| D8 | Sunny | Mild | High | Weak | No |
| D9 | Sunny | Cool | Normal | Weak | Yes |
| D10 | Rain | Mild | Normal | Strong | Yes |
| D11 | Sunny | Mild | Normal | Strong | Yes |
| D12 | Overcast | Mild | High | Strong | Yes |
| D13 | Overcast | Hot | Normal | Weak | Yes |
| D14 | Rain | Mild | High | Strong | No |

## Naive Bayes Classifier - Example

- New instance to classify:
(Outlook=sunny, Temperature=cool, Humidity=high, Wind=strong)
- Our task is to predict the target value (yes or no) of the target concept PlayTennis for this new instance.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{NB}}= \underset{\mathrm{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in\{\text { yes,no\}}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \quad \prod_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathrm{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{NB}}=\underset{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in\{\text { yes,no }\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \mathbf{P}(\text { Outlook }=\mathbf{\text { sunny}} \mid \mathbf{v j}) P(\text { Temperature }=\mathbf{c o o l} \mid \mathbf{v j}) \\
& \mathbf{P}\left(\text { Humidity }=\mathbf{h i g h} \mid \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(\text { Wind }=\text { strong } \mid \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive Bayes Classifier - Example

- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{P} 1$ ayTennis $=$ yes $)=9 / 14=.64$
- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{P} 1$ ayTennis $=\mathrm{no})=5 / 14=.36$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\text { yes }) P(\text { sunny } \mid \text { yes }) P(\text { cool } \mid \text { yes }) P(\text { high } \mid \text { yes }) P(\text { strong } \mid \text { yes })=.0053 \\
& P(\text { no }) P(\text { sunny } \mid \text { no }) P(\text { cool } \mid \text { no }) P(\text { high } \mid \text { no }) P(\text { strong } \mid \text { no })=.0206
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Thus, the naive Bayes classifier assigns the target value PlayTennis $=\boldsymbol{n o}$ to this new instance, based on the probability estimates learned from the training data.

- Furthermore, by normalizing the above quantities to sum to one we can calculate the conditional probability that the target value is $\boldsymbol{n o}$, given the observed attribute values.

$$
.0206 /(.0206+.0053)=.795
$$

## Estimating Probabilities

- $\mathbf{P}\left(\right.$ Wind $=$ strong | PlayTennis=no) by the fraction $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{c}} / \mathbf{n}$ where $\boldsymbol{n}=5$ is the total number of training examples for which PlayTennis=no, and $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{c}}=3$ is the number of these for which Wind=strong.
- When $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ is zero
- $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{c}} / \mathbf{n}$ will be zero too
- this probability term will dominate
- To avoid this difficulty we can adopt a Bayesian approach to estimating the probability, using the m-estimate defined as follows.
m-estimate of probability: $\left(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{c}}+\mathbf{m} * \mathbf{p}\right) /(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m})$
- if an attribute has $\boldsymbol{k}$ possible values we set $\mathrm{p}=1 / \mathrm{k}$.
- $\mathrm{p}=0.5$ because Wind has two possible values.
- $m$ is called the equivalent sample size
- augmenting the $\boldsymbol{n}$ actual observations by an additional $m$ virtual samples distributed according to p .


## Avoiding the Zero-Probability Problem

- Naïve Bayesian prediction requires each conditional probability to be a non-zero value. Otherwise, the predicted probability will be zero

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) * \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) * \ldots * \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

- In order to avoid zero probability values, we apply smoothing techniques.
- One of these smoothing techniques is add-one smoothing.
- $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{v} 1 \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v} 1 \mathrm{Ci}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ci}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{v} 1 \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v} 1 \mathrm{Ci}}+1\right) /\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ci}}+3\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{v} 2 \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{Ci}}+1\right) /\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ci}}+3\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{v} 3 \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v} 3 \mathrm{Ci}}+1\right) /\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ci}}+3\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{v} 2 \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{Ci}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ci}}$
- $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{v} 3 \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v} 3 \mathrm{Ci}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ci}}$


## Naive Bayes Classifier - Example

Dataset has 14 tuples.

Two classes:
buyscomputer=yes
buyscomputer=no
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes})=9 / 14$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=5 / 14$

| age | income | student | creditrating | buyscomputer |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $<=30$ | high | no | fair | no |
| $<=30$ | high | no | excellent | no |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | high | no | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | medium | no | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | low | yes | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | low | yes | excellent | no |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | low | yes | excellent | yes |
| $<=30$ | medium | no | fair | no |
| $<=30$ | low | yes | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | medium | yes | fair | yes |
| $<=30$ | medium | yes | excellent | yes |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | medium | no | excellent | yes |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | high | yes | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | medium | no | excellent | no |

## Naive Bayes Classifier - Example

## Computing Probabilities from Training Dataset

$\mathrm{P}($ age $=\mathrm{b} 31 \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes})=2 / 9$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{age}=\mathrm{i} 31 \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes})=4 / 9$
$\mathrm{P}($ age $=\mathrm{g} 40 \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes})=3 / 9$

P(inc=high $\mid b c=y e s)=2 / 9$
$\mathrm{P}($ inc $=$ med $\mid b c=y e s)=4 / 9$
$\mathrm{P}($ inc $=\operatorname{low} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes})=3 / 9$
$\mathrm{P}($ std $=y e s \mid b c=y e s)=6 / 9$
P ( $\mathrm{std}=\mathrm{no} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes}$ ) $=3 / 9$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{cr}=\mathrm{exc} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes})=3 / 9$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{cr}=$ fair|bc=yes $)=6 / 9$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{age}=\mathrm{b} 31 \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=3 / 5$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{age}=\mathrm{i} 31 \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=0$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{age}=\mathrm{g} 40 \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=2 / 5$

P (inc=high|bc=no) $=2 / 5$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{inc}=\mathrm{med} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=2 / 5$
$\mathrm{P}($ inc $=$ low $\mid \mathrm{bc}=$ no $)=1 / 5$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{std}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=1 / 5$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{std}=\mathrm{no} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=4 / 5$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{cr}=\mathrm{exc} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=3 / 5$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{cr}=$ fair $\mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=2 / 5$

| age | income | student | creditrating | buyscomputer |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $<=30$ | high | no | fair | no |
| $<=30$ | high | no | excellent | no |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | high | no | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | medium | no | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | low | yes | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | low | yes | excellent | no |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | low | yes | excellent | yes |
| $<=30$ | medium | no | fair | no |
| $<=30$ | low | yes | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | medium | yes | fair | yes |
| $<=30$ | medium | yes | excellent | yes |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | medium | no | excellent | yes |
| $31 \ldots 40$ | high | yes | fair | yes |
| $>40$ | medium | no | excellent | no |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes})=9 / 14 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=5 / 14
\end{aligned}
$$

## Naive Bayes Classifier - Example

## Finding Classification

$\mathrm{X}:($ age $<=30$, income $=$ medium, student $=$ yes, creditrating $=$ fair $)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes})=\mathrm{P}(\text { age }=\mathrm{b} 30 \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes}) * \mathrm{P}(\text { inc }=\mathrm{med} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes}) * \mathrm{P}(\text { std=yes } \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes}) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{cr}=\mathrm{fair} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{yes}) \\
& \quad=2 / 9 * 4 / 9 * 6 / 9 * 6 / 9=0.044 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no})=\mathrm{P}(\text { age }=\mathrm{b} 30 \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no}) * \mathrm{P}(\text { inc=med } \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no}) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{std}=\mathrm{yes} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no}) * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{cr}=\mathrm{fair\mid} \mid \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{no}) \\
& \quad=3 / 5 * 2 / 5 * 1 / 5 * 2 / 5=0.019
\end{aligned}
$$

$P(X \mid b c=y e s) * P(b c=y e s)=0.044 * 9 / 14=0.028$
$\mathbf{P}(X \mid b c=n o) * P(b c=n o)=0.019 * 5 / 14=0.007$
$\rightarrow$ Therefore, $X$ belongs to class "buyscomputer $=$ yes"

Confidence of the classification: $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 8} /(0.028+0.007)=0.80 \quad \mathbf{8 0 \%}$

## Learning To Classify Text

## LEARN_NAIVE_BAYES_TEXT(Examples,V)

- Examples is a set of text documents along with their target values. V is the set of all possible target values.
- This function learns the probability terms $P\left(w_{k} \mid v_{j}\right)$, describing the probability that a randomly drawn word from a document in class $v_{j}$ will be the English word $w_{k}$.
- It also learns the class prior probabilities $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$.

1. Collect all words, punctuation, and other tokens that occur in Examples

- Vocabulary $\leftarrow$ the set of all distinct words and other tokens occurring in any text document from Examples


## LEARN_NAIVE_BAYES_TEXT(Examples,V)

2. Calculate the required $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$ and $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}} \mid \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$ probability terms

For each target value $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ in $\mathbf{V}$ do

- docs $_{\mathbf{j}} \leftarrow$ the subset of documents from Examples for which the target value is $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$
$-\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \leftarrow \mid$ docs $_{\mathbf{j}}|/|$ Examples $\mid$
$-\mathbf{T e x t}_{\mathbf{j}} \leftarrow$ a single document created by concatenating all members of docs $_{\mathbf{j}}$
$-\mathbf{n} \leftarrow$ total number of distinct word positions in $\mathbf{T e x t}_{\mathbf{j}}$
- for each word $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}}$ in Vocabulary
- $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{k}} \leftarrow$ number of times word $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}}$ occurs in Text $_{\mathbf{j}}$
- $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{k}} \mid \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \leftarrow\left(\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathbf{1}\right) /(\mathrm{n}+\mid$ Vocabulary $\mid)$


## CLASSIFY_NAIVE_BAYES_TEXT(Doc)

- Return the estimated target value for the document Doc.
- $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ denotes the word found in the $i^{\text {th }}$ position within Doc.
- positions $\leftarrow$ all word positions in Doc that contain tokens found in Vocabulary
- Return $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N B}}$, where

$$
v_{N B}=\underset{v_{j} \in V}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(v_{j}\right) \prod_{i \in \text { positions }} P\left(a_{i} \mid v_{j}\right)
$$

## Naïve Bayes Classifier: Comments

- Advantages
- Easy to implement
- Good results obtained in most of the cases
- Disadvantages
- Assumption: class conditional independence, therefore loss of accuracy
- Practically, dependencies exist among variables
- E.g., hospitals: patients: Profile: age, family history, etc.

Symptoms: fever, cough etc., Disease: lung cancer, diabetes, etc.

- Dependencies among these cannot be modeled by Naïve Bayes Classifier
- How to deal with these dependencies? Bayesian Belief Networks

