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## String similarity

Q. How similar are two strings?

Ex. ocurrance and occurrence.


## Edit distance

## Edit distance. [Levenshtein 1966, Needleman-Wunsch 1970]

- Gap penalty $\delta$; mismatch penalty $\alpha_{p q}$.
- Cost = sum of gap and mismatch penalties.


Applications. Bioinformatics, spell correction, machine translation, speech recognition, information extraction, ...
Spokesperson confirms senior government adviser was found Spokesperson said the senior adviser was found

## BLOSUM matrix for proteins

|  | A | R | N | D | C | Q | E | G | H | I | L | K | M | F | P | S | T | W | Y | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 7 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -1 | -2 | -4 | -1 | 2 | 0 | -5 | -4 | -1 |
| R | -3 | 9 | -1 | -3 | -6 | 1 | -1 | -4 | 0 | -5 | -4 | 3 | -3 | -5 | -3 | -2 | -2 | -5 | -4 | -4 |
| N | -3 | -1 | 9 | 2 | -5 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -6 | -6 | 0 | -4 | -6 | -4 | 1 | 0 | -7 | -4 | -5 |
| D | -3 | -3 | 2 | 10 | -7 | -1 | 2 | -3 | -2 | -7 | -7 | -2 | -6 | -6 | -3 | -1 | -2 | -8 | -6 | -6 |
| C | -1 | -6 | -5 | -7 | 13 | -5 | -7 | -6 | -7 | -2 | -3 | -6 | -3 | -4 | -6 | -2 | -2 | -5 | -5 | -2 |
| Q | -2 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -5 | 9 | 3 | -4 | 1 | -5 | -4 | 2 | -1 | -5 | -3 | -1 | -1 | -4 | -3 | -4 |
| E | -2 | -1 | -1 | 2 | -7 | 3 | 8 | -4 | 0 | -6 | -6 | 1 | -4 | -6 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -6 | -5 | -4 |
| G | 0 | -4 | -1 | -3 | -6 | -4 | -4 | 9 | -4 | -7 | -7 | -3 | -5 | -6 | -5 | -1 | -3 | -6 | -6 | -6 |
| H | -3 | 0 | 1 | -2 | -7 | 1 | 0 | -4 | 12 | -6 | -5 | -1 | -4 | -2 | -4 | -2 | -3 | -4 | 3 | -5 |
| I | -3 | -5 | -6 | -7 | -2 | -5 | -6 | -7 | -6 | 7 | 2 | -5 | 2 | -1 | -5 | -4 | -2 | -5 | -3 | 4 |
| L | -3 | -4 | -6 | -7 | -3 | -4 | -6 | -7 | -5 | 2 | 6 | -4 | 3 | 0 | -5 | -4 | -3 | -4 | -2 | 1 |
| K | -1 | 3 | 0 | -2 | -6 | 2 | 1 | -3 | -1 | -5 | -4 | 8 | -3 | -5 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -6 | -4 | -4 |
| M | -2 | -3 | -4 | -6 | -3 | -1 | -4 | -5 | -4 | 2 | 3 | -3 | 9 | 0 | -4 | -3 | -1 | -3 | -3 | 1 |
| F | -4 | -5 | -6 | -6 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -6 | -2 | -1 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 10 | -6 | -4 | -4 | 0 | 4 | -2 |
| P | -1 | -3 | -4 | -3 | -6 | -3 | -2 | -5 | -4 | -5 | -5 | -2 | -4 | -6 | 12 | -2 | -3 | -7 | -6 | -4 |
| S | 2 | -2 | 1 | -1 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -4 | -4 | -1 | -3 | -4 | -2 | 7 | 2 | -6 | -3 | -3 |
| T | 0 | -2 | 0 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -3 | -2 | -3 | -1 | -1 | -4 | -3 | 2 | 8 | -5 | -3 | 0 |
| W | -5 | -5 | -7 | -8 | -5 | -4 | -6 | -6 | -4 | -5 | -4 | -6 | -3 | 0 | -7 | -6 | -5 | 16 | 3 | -5 |
| Y | -4 | -4 | -4 | -6 | -5 | -3 | -5 | -6 | 3 | -3 | -2 | -4 | -3 | 4 | -6 | -3 | -3 | 3 | 11 | -3 |
| V | -1 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -2 | -4 | -4 | -6 | -5 | 4 | 1 | -4 | 1 | -2 | -4 | -3 | 0 | -5 | -3 | 7 |

Dynamic programming: quiz 1
What is edit distance between these two strings?
PALETTE PALATE

Assume gap penalty $=\mathbf{2}$ and mismatch penalty $=1$.
A. 1
B. 2
C. 3
D. 4
E. 5

## Sequence alignment

Goal. Given two strings $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{m}$ and $y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{n}$, find a min-cost alignment.

Def. An alignment $M$ is a set of ordered pairs $x_{i}-y_{j}$ such that each character appears in at most one pair and no crossings.


Def. The cost of an alignment $M$ is:

$$
\operatorname{cost}(M)=\underbrace{\sum_{\left(x_{i}, y_{j} \in M\right.} \alpha_{x_{i} y_{j}}}_{\text {mismatch }}+\underbrace{\sum_{i: x_{i} \text { unmatched }} \delta+\sum_{j: y_{j} \text { unmatched }} \delta}_{\text {gap }}
$$

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ |  | $x_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | T | A | C | C | - | C |
| - | T | A | C | A | T | C |
|  | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ | $y_{3}$ | $y_{4}$ | $y_{5}$ | $y_{6}$ |

an alignment of CTACCG and TACATG
$M=\left\{x_{2}-y_{1}, x_{3}-y_{2}, x_{4}-y_{3}, x_{5}-y_{4}, x_{6}-y_{6}\right\}$

## Sequence alignment: problem structure

Def. $\operatorname{OPT}(i, j)=m$ min cost of aligning prefix strings $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{i}$ and $y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{j}$. Goal. $\operatorname{OPT}(m, n)$.

Case 1. $O P T(i, j)$ matches $x_{i}-y_{j}$.
Pay mismatch for $x_{i}-y_{j}+\min$ cost of aligning $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{i-1}$ and $y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{j-1}$.

Case 2a. $O P T(i, j)$ leaves $x_{i}$ unmatched.
Pay gap for $x_{i}+\min$ cost of aligning $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{i-1}$ and $y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{j}$.
Case 2b. $O P T(i, j)$ leaves $y_{j}$ unmatched.
Pay gap for $y_{j}+\min$ cost of aligning $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{i}$ and $y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{j-1}$.

Bellman equation.

$$
\operatorname{tion.} \begin{array}{ll}
j \delta & \text { if } i=0 \\
i \delta & \text { if } j=0 \\
\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{x_{i} y_{j}}+O P T(i-1, j-1) \\
\delta+O P T(i-1, j) \\
\delta+O P T(i, j-1)
\end{array}\right. & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}
$$

## Sequence alignment: bottom-up algorithm

$\operatorname{Sequence-Alignment}\left(m, n, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, \delta, \alpha\right)$

FOR $i=0$ TO $m$ $M[i, 0] \leftarrow i \delta$.
FOR $j=0$ Tо $n$ $M[0, j] \leftarrow j \delta$.

FOR $\mathrm{i}=1$ TO $m$
FOR $\mathrm{j}=1$ TO $n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M[i, j] \leftarrow \min \{ & \alpha_{x_{i} y_{j}}+M[i-1, j-1], \\
& \delta+M[i-1, j], \\
& \delta+M[i, j-1]\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Return $M[m, n]$.

Sequence alignment: traceback

|  |  | S | I | M | 1 | L | A | R | 1 | T | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20 |
| I | 2 | 4 |  | 3 |  | 4 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 11 |
| D | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| E | 6 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| $N$ | 8 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 13 |
| T | 10 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 11 |
| I | 12 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 11 |
| T | 14 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 8 | 11 |
| Y | 16 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 10 |  |

## Sequence alignment: analysis

Theorem. The DP algorithm computes the edit distance (and an optimal alignment) of two strings of lengths $m$ and $n$ in $\Theta(m n)$ time and space. Pf.

- Algorithm computes edit distance.
- Can trace back to extract optimal alignment itself. -

Theorem. [Backurs-Indyk 2015] If can compute edit distance of two strings of length $n$ in $O\left(n^{2-\varepsilon}\right)$ time for some constant $\varepsilon>0$, then can solve SAT with $n$ variables and $m$ clauses in poly $(m) 2^{(1-\delta) n}$ time for some constant $\delta>0$.

[^0]Dynamic programming: quiz 3

It is easy to modify the DP algorithm for edit distance to...
A. Compute edit distance in $O(m n)$ time and $O(m+n)$ space.
B. Compute an optimal alignment in $O(m n)$ time and $O(m+n)$ space.
C. Both A and B.
D. Neither A nor B.

$$
O P T(i, j)= \begin{cases}j \delta & \text { if } i=0 \\
i \delta & \text { if } j=0 \\
\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{x_{i} y_{j}}+\operatorname{OPT}(i-1, j-1) \\
\delta+\operatorname{OPT}(i-1, j) \\
\delta+\operatorname{OPT}(i, j-1)
\end{array}\right. & \end{cases}
$$
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Section 6.7

## Sequence alignment in linear space

Theorem. [Hirschberg] There exists an algorithm to find an optimal alignment in $O(m n)$ time and $O(m+n)$ space.

- Clever combination of divide-and-conquer and dynamic programming.
- Inspired by idea of Savitch from complexity theory.

|  |
| :---: |
| A Linear Space Algorithm for Computing Maxim CommonSubsequ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

D.S. Hirschberg

Princeton University

The problem of finding a longest common subse-
 quence of two strings has been solved in quadratic time and space. An algorithm is presented which will solve this problem in quadratic time and in linear space.

Key Words and Phrases: subsequence, longest common subsequence, string correction, editing

CR Categories: 3.63, 3.73, 3.79, 4.22, 5.25

## Hirschberg's algorithm

Edit distance graph.

- Let $f(i, j)$ denote length of shortest path from $(0,0)$ to $(i, j)$.
- Lemma: $f(i, j)=O P T(i, j)$ for all $i$ and $j$.



## Hirschberg's algorithm

Edit distance graph.

- Let $f(i, j)$ denote length of shortest path from $(0,0)$ to $(i, j)$.
- Lemma: $f(i, j)=O P T(i, j)$ for all $i$ and $j$.


## Pf of Lemma. [ by strong induction on $i+j$ ]

- Base case: $f(0,0)=O P T(0,0)=0$.
- Inductive hypothesis: assume true for all $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ with $i^{\prime}+j^{\prime}<i+j$.
- Last edge on shortest path to $(i, j)$ is from $(i-1, j-1)$, $(i-1, j)$, or $(i, j-1)$.
- Thus,

$$
f(i, j)=\min \left\{\alpha_{x_{i} y_{j}}+f(i-1, j-1), \delta+f(i-1, j), \delta+f(i, j-1)\right\}
$$



## Hirschberg's algorithm

Edit distance graph.

- Let $f(i, j)$ denote length of shortest path from $(0,0)$ to $(i, j)$.
- Lemma: $f(i, j)=O P T(i, j)$ for all $i$ and $j$.
- Can compute $f(\cdot, j)$ for any $j$ in $O(m n)$ time and $O(m+n)$ space.



## Hirschberg's algorithm

Edit distance graph.

- Let $g(i, j)$ denote length of shortest path from $(i, j)$ to $(m, n)$.



## Hirschberg's algorithm

Edit distance graph.

- Let $g(i, j)$ denote length of shortest path from $(i, j)$ to $(m, n)$.
- Can compute $g(i, j)$ by reversing the edge orientations and inverting the roles of $(0,0)$ and $(m, n)$.



## Hirschberg's algorithm

Edit distance graph.

- Let $g(i, j)$ denote length of shortest path from $(i, j)$ to $(m, n)$.
- Can compute $g(\cdot, j)$ for any $j$ in $O(m n)$ time and $O(m+n)$ space.



## Hirschberg's algorithm

Observation 1. The length of a shortest path that uses $(i, j)$ is $f(i, j)+g(i, j)$.


## Hirschberg's algorithm

Observation 2. let $q$ be an index that minimizes $f(q, n / 2)+g(q, n / 2)$. Then, there exists a shortest path from $(0,0)$ to $(m, n)$ that uses $(q, n / 2)$.


## Hirschberg's algorithm

Divide. Find index $q$ that minimizes $f(q, n / 2)+g(q, n / 2)$; save node $i-j$ as part of solution.

Conquer. Recursively compute optimal alignment in each piece.

$$
n / 2
$$



## Hirschberg's algorithm: space analysis

Theorem. Hirschberg's algorithm uses $\Theta(m+n)$ space.

Pf.

- Each recursive call uses $\Theta(m)$ space to compute $f(\cdot, n / 2)$ and $g(\cdot, n / 2)$.
- Only $\Theta(1)$ space needs to be maintained per recursive call.
- Number of recursive calls $\leq n$. -

Dynamic programming: quiz 4

What is the worst-case running time of Hirschberg's algorithm?
A. $O(m n)$
B. $O(m n \log m)$
C. $O(m n \log n)$
D. $\quad O(m n \log m \log n)$

## Hirschberg's algorithm: running time analysis warmup

Theorem. Let $T(m, n)=$ max running time of Hirschberg's algorithm on strings of lengths at most $m$ and $n$. Then, $T(m, n)=O(m n \log n)$.

Pf.

- $T(m, n)$ is monotone nondecreasing in both $m$ and $n$.
- $T(m, n) \leq 2 T(m, n / 2)+O(m n)$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad T(m, n)=O(m n \log n) .
$$

Remark. Analysis is not tight because two subproblems are of size $(q, n / 2)$ and $(m-q, n / 2)$. Next, we prove $T(m, n)=O(m n)$.

## Hirschberg's algorithm: running time analysis

Theorem. Let $T(m, n)=$ max running time of Hirschberg's algorithm on strings of lengths at most $m$ and $n$. Then, $T(m, n)=O(m n)$.

## Pf. [ by strong induction on $m+n$ ]

- $O(m n)$ time to compute $f(\cdot, n / 2)$ and $g(\cdot, n / 2)$ and find index $q$.
- $T(q, n / 2)+T(m-q, n / 2)$ time for two recursive calls.
- Choose constant $c$ so that: $T(m, 2) \leq c m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(2, n) \leq c n \\
& T(m, n) \leq c m n+T(q, n / 2)+T(m-q, n / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Claim. $T(m, n) \leq 2 \mathrm{cmn}$.
- Base cases: $m=2$ and $n=2$.
- Inductive hypothesis: $T(m, n) \leq 2 c m n$ for all ( $m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}$ ) with $m^{\prime}+n^{\prime}<m+n$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(m, n) & \leq T(q, n / 2)+T(m-q, n / 2)+c m n \\
& \leq 2 c q n / 2+2 c(m-q) n / 2+c m n \\
& =c q n+c m n-c q n+c m n \\
& =2 c m n
\end{aligned}
$$

## LONGEST COMMON SUBSEQUENCE

Problem. Given two strings $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{m}$ and $y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{n}$, find a common subsequence that is as long as possible.

Alternative viewpoint. Delete some characters from $x$; delete some character from $y$; a common subsequence if it results in the same string.

Ex. LCS(GGCACCACG, ACGGCGGATACG ) = GGCAACG.

Applications. Unix diff, git, bioinformatics.
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## Shortest paths with negative weights

Shortest-path problem. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$, with arbitrary edge lengths $\ell_{v w}$, find shortest path from source node $s$ to destination node $t$.

length of shortest path from stot=9-3-6+11=11

## Shortest paths with negative weights: failed attempts

Dijkstra. May not produce shortest paths when edge lengths are negative.


Dijkstra selects the vertices in the order $s, t, w, v$
But shortest path from $s$ to $t$ is $s \rightarrow v \rightarrow w \rightarrow t$.

Reweighting. Adding a constant to every edge length does not necessarily make Dijkstra's algorithm produce shortest paths.


Adding 8 to each edge weight changes the shortest path from $s \rightarrow v \rightarrow w \rightarrow t$ to $s \rightarrow t$.

## Negative cycles

Def. A negative cycle is a directed cycle for which the sum of its edge lengths is negative.

a negative cycle w: $\quad \ell(W)=\sum_{e \in W} \ell_{e}<0$

## Shortest paths and negative cycles

Lemma 1. If some $v \rightarrow t$ path contains a negative cycle, then there does not exist a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path.

Pf. If there exists such a cycle $W$, then can build a $v \rightarrow t$ path of arbitrarily negative length by detouring around $W$ as many times as desired. •


## Shortest paths and negative cycles

Lemma 2. If $G$ has no negative cycles, then there exists a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path that is simple (and has $\leq n-1$ edges).

Pf.

- Among all shortest $v \rightarrow t$ paths, consider one that uses the fewest edges.
- If that path $P$ contains a directed cycle $W$, can remove the portion of $P$ corresponding to $W$ without increasing its length. -



## Shortest-paths and negative-cycle problems

Single-destination shortest-paths problem. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ with edge lengths $\ell_{v w}$ (but no negative cycles) and a distinguished node $t$, find a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path for every node $v$.

Negative-cycle problem. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ with edge lengths $\ell_{v w}$, find a negative cycle (if one exists).

shortest-paths tree

negative cycle

Dynamic programming: quiz 5
Which subproblems to find shortest $v \rightarrow t$ paths for every node $v$ ?
A. $\quad O P T(i, v)=$ length of shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path that uses exactly $i$ edges.
B. $\quad O P T(i, v)=$ length of shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path that uses at most edges.
C. Neither A nor B.

## Shortest paths with negative weights: dynamic programming

Def. $\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)=$ length of shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path that uses $\leq i$ edges.

Goal. $\operatorname{OPT}(n-1, v)$ for each $v$.

Case 1. Shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path uses $\leq i-1$ edges.

- $O P T(i, v)=\operatorname{OPT}(i-1, v)$.
optimal substructure property
(proof via exchange argument)

Case 2. Shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path uses exactly $i$ edges.

- if $(v, w)$ is first edge in shortest such $v \rightarrow t$ path, incur a cost of $\ell_{v w}$.
- Then, select best $w \rightarrow t$ path using $\leq i-1$ edges.

Bellman equation.

$$
O P T(i, v)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i=0 \text { and } v=t \\ \infty & \text { if } i=0 \text { and } v \neq t \\ \min \left\{O P T(i-1, v), \min _{(v, w) \in E}\left\{O P T(i-1, w)+\ell_{v w}\right\}\right\} & \text { if } i>0\end{cases}
$$

## Shortest paths with negative weights: implementation

Shortest-Paths ( $V, E, \ell, t$ )
Foreach node $v \in V$ :
$M[0, \nu] \leftarrow \infty$.
$M[0, t] \leftarrow 0$.
FOR $\mathrm{i}=1$ TO $n-1$
Foreach node $v \in V$ :
$M[i, v] \leftarrow M[i-1, \nu]$.
FOREACH edge $(v, w) \in E$ :

$$
M[i, v] \leftarrow \min \left\{M[i, v], M[i-1, w]+\ell_{v w}\right\} .
$$

## Shortest paths with negative weights: implementation

Theorem 1. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ with no negative cycles, the DP algorithm computes the length of a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path for every node $v$ in $\Theta(m n)$ time and $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ space.

Pf.

- Table requires $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ space.
- Each iteration $i$ takes $\Theta(m)$ time since we examine each edge once. -


## Finding the shortest paths.

- Approach 1: Maintain successor $[i, v]$ that points to next node on a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path using $\leq i$ edges.
- Approach 2: Compute optimal lengths $M[i, v]$ and consider only edges with $M[i, v]=M[i-1, w]+\ell_{v w}$. Any directed path in this subgraph is a shortest path.


## Dynamic programming: quiz 6

It is easy to modify the DP algorithm for shortest paths to...
A. Compute lengths of shortest paths in $O(m n)$ time and $O(m+n)$ space.
B. Compute shortest paths in $O(m n)$ time and $O(m+n)$ space.
C. Both A and B.
D. Neither A nor B.

## Shortest paths with negative weights: practical improvements

Space optimization. Maintain two 1D arrays (instead of 2D array).

- $d[v]=$ length of a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path that we have found so far.
- successor $[v]=$ next node on a $v \rightarrow t$ path.

Performance optimization. If $d[w]$ was not updated in iteration $i-1$, then no reason to consider edges entering $w$ in iteration $i$.

## Bellman-Ford-Moore: efficient implementation

Bellman-Ford-Moore $(V, E, c, t)$
Foreach node $v \in V$ :
$d[\nu] \leftarrow \infty$.
successor $[v] \leftarrow$ null.
$d[t] \leftarrow 0$.
FOR $i=1$ TO $n-1$
Foreach node $w \in V$ :
IF ( $d[w]$ was updated in previous pass)
FOREACH edge $(v, w) \in E$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { IF }\left(d[v]>d[w]+\ell_{v w}\right) \\
d[v] \leftarrow d[w]+\ell_{v w} . \\
\text { successor }[v] \leftarrow w .
\end{gathered}
$$

pass $i$
$O(m)$ time

IF (no $d[\cdot]$ value changed in pass $i$ ) Stop.

Dynamic programming: quiz 7

## Which properties must hold after pass $i$ of Bellman-Ford-Moore?

A. $d[v]=$ length of a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path using $\leq i$ edges.
B. $d[v]=$ length of a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path using exactly $i$ edges.
C. Both A and B.
D. Neither A nor B.

## Bellman-Ford-Moore: analysis

Lemma 3. For each node $v: d[v]$ is the length of some $v \rightarrow t$ path.
Lemma 4. For each node $v: d[v]$ is monotone non-increasing.

Lemma 5. After pass $i, d[v] \leq$ length of a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path using $\leq i$ edges.
Pf. [ by induction on $i$ ]

- Base case: $i=0$.
- Assume true after pass $i$.
- Let $P$ be any $v \rightarrow t$ path with $\leq i+1$ edges.
- Let $(v, w)$ be first edge in $P$ and let $P^{\prime}$ be subpath from $w$ to $t$.
- By inductive hypothesis, at the end of pass $i, d[w] \leq c\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ because $P^{\prime}$ is a $w \rightarrow t$ path with $\leq i$ edges.
- After considering edge $(v, w)$ in pass $i+1$ :
and by Lemma 4,
$d[w]$ does not increase

$$
\begin{aligned}
d[v] & \leq \ell_{v w}+d[w] \\
\text { and by Lemma 4, } & \leq \ell_{v w}+c\left(P^{\prime}\right) \\
d[v] \text { does not increase } & =\ell(P)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bellman-Ford-Moore: analysis

Theorem 2. Assuming no negative cycles, Bellman-Ford-Moore computes the lengths of the shortest $v \rightarrow t$ paths in $O(m n)$ time and $\Theta(n)$ extra space. Pf. Lemma 2 + Lemma 5. -


Remark. Bellman-Ford-Moore is typically faster in practice.

- Edge $(v, w)$ considered in pass $i+1$ only if $d[w]$ updated in pass $i$.
- If shortest path has $k$ edges, then algorithm finds it after $\leq k$ passes.


## Dynamic programming: quiz 8

Assuming no negative cycles, which properties must hold throughout Bellman-Ford-Moore?
A. Following successor[v] pointers gives a directed $v \rightarrow t$ path.
B. If following successor $[v]$ pointers gives a directed $v \rightarrow t$ path, then the length of that $v \rightarrow t$ path is $d[v]$.
C. Both A and B.
D. Neither A nor B.

## Bellman-Ford-Moore: analysis

Claim. Throughout Bellman-Ford-Moore, following the successor[v] pointers gives a directed path from $v$ to $t$ of length $d[v]$.

Counterexample. Claim is false!

- Length of successor $v \rightarrow t$ path may be strictly shorter than $d[v]$.
consider nodes in order: $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{1 , 2 , 3}$



## Bellman-Ford-Moore: analysis

Claim. Throughout Bellman-Ford-Moore, following the successor[v] pointers gives a directed path from $v$ to $t$ of length $d[v]$.
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## Bellman-Ford-Moore: finding the shortest paths

Lemma 6. Any directed cycle $W$ in the successor graph is a negative cycle. Pf.

- If $\operatorname{successor}[v]=w$, we must have $d[v] \geq d[w]+\ell_{v w}$.
(LHS and RHS are equal when successor $[v]$ is set; $d[w]$ can only decrease; $d[v]$ decreases only when successor[ $v]$ is reset)
- Let $v_{1} \rightarrow v_{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow v_{k} \rightarrow v_{1}$ be the sequence of nodes in a directed cycle $W$.
- Assume that $\left(v_{k}, v_{1}\right)$ is the last edge in $W$ added to the successor graph.
- Just prior to that: $d\left[v_{1}\right] \geq d\left[v_{2}\right]+\ell\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
d\left[v_{2}\right] & \geq d\left[v_{3}\right] & +\ell\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
d\left[v_{k-1}\right] & \geq d\left[v_{k}\right] & +\ell\left(v_{k-1}, v_{k}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
d\left[v_{k}\right] \quad>d\left[v_{1}\right]+\ell\left(v_{k}, v_{1}\right) \longleftarrow \begin{aligned}
& \text { holds with strict inequality } \\
& \text { since we are updating } d\left[v_{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Adding inequalities yields $\ell\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+\ell\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)+\ldots+\ell\left(v_{k-1}, v_{k}\right)+\ell\left(v_{k}, v_{1}\right)<0 . \cdot$
$W$ is a negative cycle


## Bellman-Ford-Moore: finding the shortest paths

Theorem 3. Assuming no negative cycles, Bellman-Ford-Moore finds shortest $v \rightarrow t$ paths for every node $v$ in $O(m n)$ time and $\Theta(n)$ extra space. Pf.

- The successor graph cannot have a directed cycle. [Lemma 6]
- Thus, following the successor pointers from $v$ yields a directed path to $t$.
- Let $v=v_{1} \rightarrow v_{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow v_{k}=t$ be the nodes along this path $P$.
- Upon termination, if successor $[v]=w$, we must have $d[v]=d[w]+\ell_{v w}$. (LHS and RHS are equal when successor[v] is set; $d[\cdot]$ did not change)
- Thus, $d\left[v_{1}\right]=d\left[v_{2}\right]+\ell\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$

$$
d\left[v_{2}\right]=d\left[v_{3}\right]+\ell\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)
$$

since algorithm terminated

$$
d\left[v_{k-1}\right]=d\left[v_{k}\right]+\ell\left(v_{k-1}, v_{k}\right)
$$

- Adding equations yields $d[v]=d[t]+\ell\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)+\ell\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right)+\ldots+\ell\left(v_{k-1}, v_{k}\right)$.



## Single-source shortest paths with negative weights

| year | worst case | discovered by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955 | $O\left(n^{4}\right)$ | Shimbel |
| 1956 | $O\left(m n^{2} W\right)$ | Ford |
| 1958 | $O(m n)$ | Bellman, Moore |
| 1983 | $O\left(n^{3 / 4} m \log W\right)$ | Gabow |
| 1989 | $O\left(m n^{1 / 2} \log (n W)\right)$ | Gabow-Tarjan |
| 1993 | $O\left(m n^{1 / 2} \log W\right)$ | Goldberg |
| 2005 | $O\left(n^{2.38} W\right)$ | Cohen-Mądry-Sankowski-Vladu |
| 2016 | $\tilde{O}\left(n^{10 / 7} \log W\right)$ |  |
| $20 x x$ | $? ? ? ?$ |  |



## 6. Dynamic Programming II

, sequence alignment

- Hirschberg's algorithm
- Ballman-Ford-A1oore algorithm
- distance-vector protocols
b negative cycles


## Distance-vector routing protocols

Communication network.

- Node $\approx$ router.
- Edge $\approx$ direct communication link.
- Length of edge $\approx$ latency of link. $\longleftarrow \begin{gathered}\text { non-negative, but } \\ \text { Bellman-Ford-Moore used anyway! }\end{gathered}$

Dijkstra's algorithm. Requires global information of network.

Bellman-Ford-Moore. Uses only local knowledge of neighboring nodes.

Synchronization. We don't expect routers to run in lockstep. The order in which each edges are processed in Bellman-Ford-Moore is not important. Moreover, algorithm converges even if updates are asynchronous.

## Distance-vector routing protocols

## Distance-vector routing protocols. [ "routing by rumor" ]

- Each router maintains a vector of shortest-path lengths to every other node (distances) and the first hop on each path (directions).
- Algorithm: each router performs $n$ separate computations, one for each potential destination node.

Ex. RIP, Xerox XNS RIP, Novell's IPX RIP, Cisco's IGRP, DEC's DNA Phase IV, AppleTalk's RTMP.

Caveat. Edge lengths may change during algorithm (or fail completely).


## Path-vector routing protocols

Link-state routing protocols.

- Each router stores the whole network topology.
- Based on Dijkstra's algorithm.
- Avoids "counting-to-infinity" problem and related difficulties.
- Requires significantly more storage.

Ex. Border Gateway Protocol (BGP), Open Shortest Path First (OSPF).


## 6. Dynamic Programming II

, sequence alignment

- Hirschberg's algorithm
- Ballman-Ford-A1oore algorithm
, distance vector protocol
- negative cycles


## Detecting negative cycles

Negative cycle detection problem. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$, with edge lengths $\ell_{v w}$, find a negative cycle (if one exists).


## Detecting negative cycles: application

Currency conversion. Given $n$ currencies and exchange rates between pairs of currencies, is there an arbitrage opportunity?

Remark. Fastest algorithm very valuable!


## Detecting negative cycles

Lemma 7. If $O P T(n, v)=\operatorname{OPT}(n-1, v)$ for every node $v$, then no negative cycles. Pf. The $O P T(n, v)$ values have converged $\Rightarrow$ shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path exists. •

Lemma 8. If $\operatorname{OPT}(n, v)<\operatorname{OPT}(n-1, v)$ for some node $v$, then (any) shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path of length $\leq n$ contains a cycle $W$. Moreover $W$ is a negative cycle.

## Pf. [by contradiction]

- Since $\operatorname{OPT}(n, v)<\operatorname{OPT}(n-1, v)$, we know that shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path $P$ has exactly $n$ edges.
- By pigeonhole principle, the path $P$ must contain a repeated node $x$.
- Let $W$ be any cycle in $P$.
- Deleting $W$ yields a $v \rightarrow t$ path with $<n$ edges $\Rightarrow W$ is a negative cycle. •



## Detecting negative cycles

Theorem 4. Can find a negative cycle in $\Theta(m n)$ time and $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ space. Pf.

- Add new sink node $t$ and connect all nodes to $t$ with 0 -length edge.
- $G$ has a negative cycle iff $G^{\prime}$ has a negative cycle.
- Case 1. [ $\operatorname{OPT}(n, v)=\operatorname{OPT}(n-1, v)$ for every node $v$ ] By Lemma 7, no negative cycles.
- Case 2. [ OPT( $n, v)<\operatorname{OPT}(n-1, v)$ for some node $v$ ] Using proof of Lemma 8, can extract negative cycle from $v \rightarrow t$ path. (cycle cannot contain $t$ since no edge leaves $t$ ) •



## Detecting negative cycles

Theorem 5. Can find a negative cycle in $O(m n)$ time and $O(n)$ extra space. Pf.

- Run Bellman-Ford-Moore on $G^{\prime}$ for $n^{\prime}=n+1$ passes (instead of $n^{\prime}-1$ ).
- If no $d[v]$ values updated in pass $n^{\prime}$, then no negative cycles.
- Otherwise, suppose $d[s]$ updated in pass $n^{\prime}$.
- Define $\operatorname{pass}(v)=$ last pass in which $d[v]$ was updated.
- Observe $\operatorname{pass}(s)=n^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{pass}(\operatorname{successor}[v]) \geq \operatorname{pass}(v)-1$ for each $v$.
- Following successor pointers, we must eventually repeat a node.
- Lemma $6 \Rightarrow$ the corresponding cycle is a negative cycle.

Remark. See p. 304 for improved version and early termination rule. (Tarjan's subtree disassembly trick)

Dynamic programming: quiz 9
How difficult to find a negative cycle in an undirected graph?
A. $O(m \log n)$
B. $O(m n)$
C. $O\left(m n+n^{2} \log n\right)$
D. $O\left(n^{2.38}\right)$
E. No poly-time algorithm is known.
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