

# BIL694-Lecture 3: Connectivity

Lecturer: Lale Özkahya

Resources for the presentation:

“Introduction to Graph Theory” by Douglas B. West

# Outline

1 Cuts and Connectivity

2  $k$ -connected Graphs

1 Cuts and Connectivity

2  $k$ -connected Graphs

A non-empty graph  $G$  is called **connected** if any two of its vertices are connected by a path. Instead of saying a graph is not connected, we say a graph is **disconnected**.

A non-empty graph  $G$  is called **connected** if any two of its vertices are connected by a path. Instead of saying a graph is not connected, we say a graph is **disconnected**.

A vertex-set whose removal makes a connected  $G$  disconnected, is called a **cut-set** (or **separating set** or **vertex cut**) of  $G$ .

# Vertex-connectivity

A non-empty graph  $G$  is called **connected** if any two of its vertices are connected by a path. Instead of saying a graph is not connected, we say a graph is **disconnected**.

A vertex-set whose removal makes a connected  $G$  disconnected, is called a **cut-set** (or **separating set** or **vertex cut**) of  $G$ .

For a set  $S \subset V(G)$ , the subgraph  $G[S]$  is the subgraph of  $G$  **induced by  $S$** . In other words, the vertex set of the subgraph  $G[S]$  is  $S$  and each edge in  $G[S]$  has both of its endvertices in  $S$ .

# Finding a connected subgraph of a certain order (number of vertices)

**Proposition:** The vertices of a connected graph  $G$  can always be enumerated, say  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  so that  $G_i := G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$  is connected for every  $i \leq n$ .

# Finding a connected subgraph of a certain order (number of vertices)

**Proposition:** The vertices of a connected graph  $G$  can always be enumerated, say  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  so that  $G_i := G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$  is connected for every  $i \leq n$ .

**Proof:**

- Every connected graph  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T$ .



# Finding a connected subgraph of a certain order (number of vertices)

**Proposition:** The vertices of a connected graph  $G$  can always be enumerated, say  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  so that  $G_i := G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$  is connected for every  $i \leq n$ .

**Proof:**

- Every connected graph  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T$ .
- Pick a root in  $T$  and call it  $v_1$ . Label the remaining vertices  $v_2, \dots, v_n$  starting from the first level of  $T$  and continuing to the consecutive level once all vertices in a level are labelled.

# Finding a connected subgraph of a certain order (number of vertices)

**Proposition:** The vertices of a connected graph  $G$  can always be enumerated, say  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  so that  $G_i := G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$  is connected for every  $i \leq n$ .

**Proof:**

- Every connected graph  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T$ .
- Pick a root in  $T$  and call it  $v_1$ . Label the remaining vertices  $v_2, \dots, v_n$  starting from the first level of  $T$  and continuing to the consecutive level once all vertices in a level are labelled.
- This enumeration of the vertices satisfy the condition we want.

A maximal connected subgraph of a graph  $G$  is called a **component** of  $G$ .

# Vertex-connectivity

A maximal connected subgraph of a graph  $G$  is called a **component** of  $G$ .  
A graph  $G$  is  **$k$ -connected** if  $G - X$  is connected for every set  $X \subset V(G)$  with  $|X| < k$ .

# Vertex-connectivity

A maximal connected subgraph of a graph  $G$  is called a **component** of  $G$ .  
A graph  $G$  is  **$k$ -connected** if  $G - X$  is connected for every set  $X \subset V(G)$  with  $|X| < k$ .

The greatest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected is called the **connectivity** of  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa(G)$ .

# Vertex-connectivity

A maximal connected subgraph of a graph  $G$  is called a **component** of  $G$ .  
A graph  $G$  is  **$k$ -connected** if  $G - X$  is connected for every set  $X \subset V(G)$  with  $|X| < k$ .

The greatest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected is called the **connectivity** of  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa(G)$ .

**Remark:**  $\kappa(G) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  is disconnected or a  $K_1$ .  
 $\kappa(K_n) = n - 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

# Vertex-connectivity

A maximal connected subgraph of a graph  $G$  is called a **component** of  $G$ . A graph  $G$  is  **$k$ -connected** if  $G - X$  is connected for every set  $X \subset V(G)$  with  $|X| < k$ .

The greatest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected is called the **connectivity** of  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa(G)$ .

**Remark:**  $\kappa(G) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  is disconnected or a  $K_1$ .

$\kappa(K_n) = n - 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is nontrivial (not  $K_n$  or  $K_1$ ) connected graph, then  $\kappa(G) \leq \delta(G)$ .

# Vertex-connectivity

A maximal connected subgraph of a graph  $G$  is called a **component** of  $G$ .  
A graph  $G$  is  **$k$ -connected** if  $G - X$  is connected for every set  $X \subset V(G)$  with  $|X| < k$ .

The greatest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected is called the **connectivity** of  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa(G)$ .

**Remark:**  $\kappa(G) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  is disconnected or a  $K_1$ .  
 $\kappa(K_n) = n - 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is nontrivial (not  $K_n$  or  $K_1$ ) connected graph, then  
 $\kappa(G) \leq \delta(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- The neighborhood of any vertex is a cut-set of  $G$ .



# Vertex-connectivity

A maximal connected subgraph of a graph  $G$  is called a **component** of  $G$ . A graph  $G$  is  **$k$ -connected** if  $G - X$  is connected for every set  $X \subset V(G)$  with  $|X| < k$ .

The greatest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected is called the **connectivity** of  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa(G)$ .

**Remark:**  $\kappa(G) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  is disconnected or a  $K_1$ .  
 $\kappa(K_n) = n - 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is nontrivial (not  $K_n$  or  $K_1$ ) connected graph, then  $\kappa(G) \leq \delta(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- The neighborhood of any vertex is a cut-set of  $G$ .
- Since there is a vertex  $v$  with  $\delta(G)$  neighbors,  $N(v)$  is a cut-set of  $G$ .

## Example: The hypercube, $Q_k$

**Proposition:** For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\kappa(Q_k) = k$ .

## Example: The hypercube, $Q_k$

**Proposition:** For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\kappa(Q_k) = k$ .

Proof:

- The neighborhood of every vertex is a cut-set. Therefore,  $\kappa(Q_k) \leq k$ .

## Example: The hypercube, $Q_k$

**Proposition:** For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\kappa(Q_k) = k$ .

Proof:

- The neighborhood of every vertex is a cut-set. Therefore,  $\kappa(Q_k) \leq k$ .
- To show  $\kappa(Q_k) \geq k$ , use induction on  $k$ .  
Base step: For  $k = 1$ ,  $Q_1 = K_2$ , thus  $\kappa(Q_1) = 1$ , true for  $k = 1$ .

## Example: The hypercube, $Q_k$

**Proposition:** For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\kappa(Q_k) = k$ .

**Proof:**

- The neighborhood of every vertex is a cut-set. Therefore,  $\kappa(Q_k) \leq k$ .
- To show  $\kappa(Q_k) \geq k$ , use induction on  $k$ .  
**Base step:** For  $k = 1$ ,  $Q_1 = K_2$ , thus  $\kappa(Q_1) = 1$ , true for  $k = 1$ .
- **inductive step:** By the induction hypothesis (I.H.),  $\kappa(Q_{k-1}) = k - 1$ . Let  $Q$  and  $Q'$  be two vertex-disjoint “mirror” copies of  $Q_{k-1}$  and  $S$  be a vertex cut of  $Q_k$ .

## Example: The hypercube, $Q_k$

**Proposition:** For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\kappa(Q_k) = k$ .

**Proof:**

- The neighborhood of every vertex is a cut-set. Therefore,  $\kappa(Q_k) \leq k$ .
- To show  $\kappa(Q_k) \geq k$ , use induction on  $k$ .  
**Base step:** For  $k = 1$ ,  $Q_1 = K_2$ , thus  $\kappa(Q_1) = 1$ , true for  $k = 1$ .
- **inductive step:** By the induction hypothesis (I.H.),  $\kappa(Q_{k-1}) = k - 1$ . Let  $Q$  and  $Q'$  be two vertex-disjoint “mirror” copies of  $Q_{k-1}$  and  $S$  be a vertex cut of  $Q_k$ .
- We see that either  $Q - S$  or  $Q' - S$  should be disconnected, otherwise  $S$  must contain  $2^{k-1}$  vertices (a vertex cover of the matching between  $Q$  and  $Q'$ ).

## Example: The hypercube, $Q_k$

**Proposition:** For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\kappa(Q_k) = k$ .

**Proof:**

- The neighborhood of every vertex is a cut-set. Therefore,  $\kappa(Q_k) \leq k$ .
- To show  $\kappa(Q_k) \geq k$ , use induction on  $k$ .  
**Base step:** For  $k = 1$ ,  $Q_1 = K_2$ , thus  $\kappa(Q_1) = 1$ , true for  $k = 1$ .
- **inductive step:** By the induction hypothesis (I.H.),  $\kappa(Q_{k-1}) = k - 1$ . Let  $Q$  and  $Q'$  be two vertex-disjoint “mirror” copies of  $Q_{k-1}$  and  $S$  be a vertex cut of  $Q_k$ .
- We see that either  $Q - S$  or  $Q' - S$  should be disconnected, otherwise  $S$  must contain  $2^{k-1}$  vertices (a vertex cover of the matching between  $Q$  and  $Q'$ ).
- So, assume  $Q - S$  is disconnected. Thus  $S$  contains at least  $k - 1$  vertices in  $Q$ .

## Example: The hypercube, $Q_k$

**Proposition:** For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\kappa(Q_k) = k$ .

**Proof:**

- The neighborhood of every vertex is a cut-set. Therefore,  $\kappa(Q_k) \leq k$ .
- To show  $\kappa(Q_k) \geq k$ , use induction on  $k$ .  
**Base step:** For  $k = 1$ ,  $Q_1 = K_2$ , thus  $\kappa(Q_1) = 1$ , true for  $k = 1$ .
- **inductive step:** By the induction hypothesis (I.H.),  $\kappa(Q_{k-1}) = k - 1$ . Let  $Q$  and  $Q'$  be two vertex-disjoint “mirror” copies of  $Q_{k-1}$  and  $S$  be a vertex cut of  $Q_k$ .
- We see that either  $Q - S$  or  $Q' - S$  should be disconnected, otherwise  $S$  must contain  $2^{k-1}$  vertices (a vertex cover of the matching between  $Q$  and  $Q'$ ).
- So, assume  $Q - S$  is disconnected. Thus  $S$  contains at least  $k - 1$  vertices in  $Q$ .
- $S$  must contain also a vertex in  $Q'$ , otherwise all vertices in  $Q$  and  $Q'$  are connected to each other. Thus  $S$  contains at least  $k$  vertices. Done.



# Edge-connectivity

An edge-set whose removal makes a connected  $G$  disconnected, is called an **edge cut** (or a **disconnecting set** of edges) of  $G$ .

A graph  $G$  with edge-set  $E$  is called  **$\ell$ -edge-connected** if  $G - F$  is connected for every set  $F \subset E$  with fewer than  $\ell$  edges.

# Edge-connectivity

An edge-set whose removal makes a connected  $G$  disconnected, is called an **edge cut** (or a **disconnecting set** of edges) of  $G$ .

A graph  $G$  with edge-set  $E$  is called  **$\ell$ -edge-connected** if  $G - F$  is connected for every set  $F \subset E$  with fewer than  $\ell$  edges.

**Edge-connectivity** of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa'(G)$  or  $\lambda(G)$ , is the *greatest* integer  $\ell$  such that  $G$  is  $\ell$ -connected.

# Edge-connectivity

An edge-set whose removal makes a connected  $G$  disconnected, is called an **edge cut** (or a **disconnecting set** of edges) of  $G$ .

A graph  $G$  with edge-set  $E$  is called  **$\ell$ -edge-connected** if  $G - F$  is connected for every set  $F \subset E$  with fewer than  $\ell$  edges.

**Edge-connectivity** of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa'(G)$  or  $\lambda(G)$ , is the *greatest* integer  $\ell$  such that  $G$  is  $\ell$ -connected.

**Note:** If  $G$  is disconnected, then  $\kappa'(G) = 0$ .

# Edge-connectivity

An edge-set whose removal makes a connected  $G$  disconnected, is called an **edge cut** (or a **disconnecting set** of edges) of  $G$ .

A graph  $G$  with edge-set  $E$  is called  **$\ell$ -edge-connected** if  $G - F$  is connected for every set  $F \subset E$  with fewer than  $\ell$  edges.

**Edge-connectivity** of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa'(G)$  or  $\lambda(G)$ , is the *greatest* integer  $\ell$  such that  $G$  is  $\ell$ -connected.

**Note:** If  $G$  is disconnected, then  $\kappa'(G) = 0$ .

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is not empty, then  $\kappa(G) \leq \kappa'(G) \leq \delta(G)$ .

# Edge-connectivity

An edge-set whose removal makes a connected  $G$  disconnected, is called an **edge cut** (or a **disconnecting set** of edges) of  $G$ .

A graph  $G$  with edge-set  $E$  is called  **$\ell$ -edge-connected** if  $G - F$  is connected for every set  $F \subset E$  with fewer than  $\ell$  edges.

**Edge-connectivity** of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa'(G)$  or  $\lambda(G)$ , is the *greatest* integer  $\ell$  such that  $G$  is  $\ell$ -connected.

**Note:** If  $G$  is disconnected, then  $\kappa'(G) = 0$ .

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is not empty, then  $\kappa(G) \leq \kappa'(G) \leq \delta(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- The second inequality holds because removing all edges incident to a vertex disconnects a connected graph.

# Edge-connectivity

An edge-set whose removal makes a connected  $G$  disconnected, is called an **edge cut** (or a **disconnecting set** of edges) of  $G$ .

A graph  $G$  with edge-set  $E$  is called  **$\ell$ -edge-connected** if  $G - F$  is connected for every set  $F \subset E$  with fewer than  $\ell$  edges.

**Edge-connectivity** of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa'(G)$  or  $\lambda(G)$ , is the *greatest* integer  $\ell$  such that  $G$  is  $\ell$ -connected.

**Note:** If  $G$  is disconnected, then  $\kappa'(G) = 0$ .

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is not empty, then  $\kappa(G) \leq \kappa'(G) \leq \delta(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- The second inequality holds because removing all edges incident to a vertex disconnects a connected graph.
- To prove the first inequality, assume that  $F$  is a *minimal* subset of the edge-set  $E$  such that  $G - F$  is disconnected. We will show that  $\kappa(G) \leq |F|$ .

# Edge-connectivity

An edge-set whose removal makes a connected  $G$  disconnected, is called an **edge cut** (or a **disconnecting set** of edges) of  $G$ .

A graph  $G$  with edge-set  $E$  is called  **$\ell$ -edge-connected** if  $G - F$  is connected for every set  $F \subset E$  with fewer than  $\ell$  edges.

**Edge-connectivity** of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\kappa'(G)$  or  $\lambda(G)$ , is the *greatest* integer  $\ell$  such that  $G$  is  $\ell$ -connected.

**Note:** If  $G$  is disconnected, then  $\kappa'(G) = 0$ .

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is not empty, then  $\kappa(G) \leq \kappa'(G) \leq \delta(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- The second inequality holds because removing all edges incident to a vertex disconnects a connected graph.
- To prove the first inequality, assume that  $F$  is a *minimal* subset of the edge-set  $E$  such that  $G - F$  is disconnected. We will show that  $\kappa(G) \leq |F|$ .
- Let  $T$  be a vertex set that contains exactly one endpoint of each edge in  $F$ . This set is a vertex-cut of  $G$ .

## Example for $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ : 3-regular graphs

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is a 3-regular graph, then  $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ .



## Example for $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ : 3-regular graphs

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is a 3-regular graph, then  $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- Let  $S$  be a minimum vertex-cut. We only need to show that  $\kappa(G) \geq \kappa'(G)$ , since the other direction of this inequality is known.

## Example for $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ : 3-regular graphs

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is a 3-regular graph, then  $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- Let  $S$  be a minimum vertex-cut. We only need to show that  $\kappa(G) \geq \kappa'(G)$ , since the other direction of this inequality is known.
- Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two components of  $G - S$ . By the **minimality of  $S$** , each vertex  $v$  in  $S$ , has neighbors in  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ .

## Example for $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ : 3-regular graphs

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is a 3-regular graph, then  $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- Let  $S$  be a minimum vertex-cut. We only need to show that  $\kappa(G) \geq \kappa'(G)$ , since the other direction of this inequality is known.
- Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two components of  $G - S$ . By the **minimality of  $S$** , each vertex  $v$  in  $S$ , has neighbors in  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ .
- So,  $v$  has **exactly** one neighbor in one of  $H_1$  or  $H_2$ , say that single neighbor is  $u \in H_1$ . Add  $uv$  to the edge-cut. Do that for all  $v \in S$ .

## Example for $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ : 3-regular graphs

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is a 3-regular graph, then  $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- Let  $S$  be a minimum vertex-cut. We only need to show that  $\kappa(G) \geq \kappa'(G)$ , since the other direction of this inequality is known.
- Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two components of  $G - S$ . By the **minimality of  $S$** , each vertex  $v$  in  $S$ , has neighbors in  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ .
- So,  $v$  has **exactly** one neighbor in one of  $H_1$  or  $H_2$ , say that single neighbor is  $u \in H_1$ . Add  $uv$  to the edge-cut. Do that for all  $v \in S$ .
- The set of the edges  $uv$  is an edge-cut. (if some vertex  $v'$  in  $S$  has a neighbor in  $S$ , then add the edge from  $v'$  to  $H_1$  for all such  $v'$ ).

## Example for $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ : 3-regular graphs

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is a 3-regular graph, then  $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ .

Proof:

- Let  $S$  be a minimum vertex-cut. We only need to show that  $\kappa(G) \geq \kappa'(G)$ , since the other direction of this inequality is known.
- Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two components of  $G - S$ . By the **minimality of  $S$** , each vertex  $v$  in  $S$ , has neighbors in  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ .
- So,  $v$  has **exactly** one neighbor in one of  $H_1$  or  $H_2$ , say that single neighbor is  $u \in H_1$ . Add  $uv$  to the edge-cut. Do that for all  $v \in S$ .
- The set of the edges  $uv$  is an edge-cut. (if some vertex  $v'$  in  $S$  has a neighbor in  $S$ , then add the edge from  $v'$  to  $H_1$  for all such  $v'$ ).

### Corollary

For any vertex set  $S \subset V(G)$ ,  $|[S, \bar{S}]| = [\sum_{v \in S} \deg(v)] - 2e(G[S])$ .

## Example for $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ : 3-regular graphs

**Proposition:** If  $G$  is a 3-regular graph, then  $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$ .

**Proof:**

- Let  $S$  be a minimum vertex-cut. We only need to show that  $\kappa(G) \geq \kappa'(G)$ , since the other direction of this inequality is known.
- Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two components of  $G - S$ . By the **minimality of  $S$** , each vertex  $v$  in  $S$ , has neighbors in  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ .
- So,  $v$  has **exactly** one neighbor in one of  $H_1$  or  $H_2$ , say that single neighbor is  $u \in H_1$ . Add  $uv$  to the edge-cut. Do that for all  $v \in S$ .
- The set of the edges  $uv$  is an edge-cut. (if some vertex  $v'$  in  $S$  has a neighbor in  $S$ , then add the edge from  $v'$  to  $H_1$  for all such  $v'$ ).

### Corollary

For any vertex set  $S \subset V(G)$ ,  $||[S, \bar{S}]|| = [\sum_{v \in S} \deg(v)] - 2e(G[S])$ .

Moreover, for simple  $G$ , if  $||[S, \bar{S}]|| < \delta(G)$  for nonempty  $S$ , then

$|S| > \delta(G)$ .

1 Cuts and Connectivity

2  $k$ -connected Graphs

## 2-connected Graphs

Two paths between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be **internally disjoint** if they only have the endvertices  $u$  and  $v$  in common.



## 2-connected Graphs

Two paths between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be **internally disjoint** if they only have the endvertices  $u$  and  $v$  in common.

Theorem (Whitney, 1932)

*A graph  $G$  having at least three vertices is 2-connected if and only if for each pair  $u, v \in V(G)$ , there exist internally disjoint  $u, v$ -paths in  $G$ .*

## 2-connected Graphs

Two paths between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be **internally disjoint** if they only have the endvertices  $u$  and  $v$  in common.

**Theorem (Whitney, 1932)**

*A graph  $G$  having at least three vertices is 2-connected if and only if for each pair  $u, v \in V(G)$ , there exist internally disjoint  $u, v$ -paths in  $G$ .*

**Lemma (Expansion Lemma)**

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph, and  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by adding a new vertex  $y$  with at least  $k$  neighbors in  $G$ , then  $G'$  is  $k$ -connected.*

## 2-connected Graphs

Two paths between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be **internally disjoint** if they only have the endvertices  $u$  and  $v$  in common.

### Theorem (Whitney, 1932)

*A graph  $G$  having at least three vertices is 2-connected if and only if for each pair  $u, v \in V(G)$ , there exist internally disjoint  $u, v$ -paths in  $G$ .*

### Lemma (Expansion Lemma)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph, and  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by adding a new vertex  $y$  with at least  $k$  neighbors in  $G$ , then  $G'$  is  $k$ -connected.*

Proof:

- To prove this, one needs to show that any vertex cut  $S$  in  $G'$  has at least  $k$  vertices.

## 2-connected Graphs

Two paths between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be **internally disjoint** if they only have the endvertices  $u$  and  $v$  in common.

### Theorem (Whitney, 1932)

*A graph  $G$  having at least three vertices is 2-connected if and only if for each pair  $u, v \in V(G)$ , there exist internally disjoint  $u, v$ -paths in  $G$ .*

### Lemma (Expansion Lemma)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph, and  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by adding a new vertex  $y$  with at least  $k$  neighbors in  $G$ , then  $G'$  is  $k$ -connected.*

Proof:

- To prove this, one needs to show that any vertex cut  $S$  in  $G'$  has at least  $k$  vertices.
- If  $y$  is in  $S$ , then  $|S - y| \geq k$  and  $|S| \geq k + 1$ , done.

## 2-connected Graphs

Two paths between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be **internally disjoint** if they only have the endvertices  $u$  and  $v$  in common.

### Theorem (Whitney, 1932)

*A graph  $G$  having at least three vertices is 2-connected if and only if for each pair  $u, v \in V(G)$ , there exist internally disjoint  $u, v$ -paths in  $G$ .*

### Lemma (Expansion Lemma)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph, and  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by adding a new vertex  $y$  with at least  $k$  neighbors in  $G$ , then  $G'$  is  $k$ -connected.*

Proof:

- To prove this, one needs to show that any vertex cut  $S$  in  $G'$  has at least  $k$  vertices.
- If  $y$  is in  $S$ , then  $|S - y| \geq k$  and  $|S| \geq k + 1$ , done.
- If  $y \notin S$  and  $N(y) \subset S$ , again  $|S| \geq k$ .

## 2-connected Graphs

Two paths between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be **internally disjoint** if they only have the endvertices  $u$  and  $v$  in common.

### Theorem (Whitney, 1932)

*A graph  $G$  having at least three vertices is 2-connected if and only if for each pair  $u, v \in V(G)$ , there exist internally disjoint  $u, v$ -paths in  $G$ .*

### Lemma (Expansion Lemma)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph, and  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by adding a new vertex  $y$  with at least  $k$  neighbors in  $G$ , then  $G'$  is  $k$ -connected.*

Proof:

- To prove this, one needs to show that any vertex cut  $S$  in  $G'$  has at least  $k$  vertices.
- If  $y$  is in  $S$ , then  $|S - y| \geq k$  and  $|S| \geq k + 1$ , done.
- If  $y \notin S$  and  $N(y) \subset S$ , again  $|S| \geq k$ .
- Otherwise, in  $G' - S$ ,  $y$  and some vertices in  $N(y)$  must be in the same component. This implies,  $S$  also is a vertex-cut in  $G$  and  $|S| \geq k$ .

## 2-connected Graphs

### Theorem

*For a graph  $G$  with at least three vertices, TFAE (“the following are equivalent”) and characterize 2-connected graphs: A)  $G$  is connected and has no cut-vertex.*

*B) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there are internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths.*

*C) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there is a cycle through  $x$  and  $y$ .*

*D)  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , and every pair of edges in  $G$  lies on a common cycle.*

## 2-connected Graphs

### Theorem

*For a graph  $G$  with at least three vertices, TFAE (“the following are equivalent”) and characterize 2-connected graphs: A)  $G$  is connected and has no cut-vertex.*

*B) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there are internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths.*

*C) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there is a cycle through  $x$  and  $y$ .*

*D)  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , and every pair of edges in  $G$  lies on a common cycle.*

Proof:

- $A \iff B$  is shown in the theorem in the previous slide. Also,  $B \iff C$  is trivial.



### Theorem

For a graph  $G$  with at least three vertices, TFAE (“the following are equivalent”) and characterize 2-connected graphs: A)  $G$  is connected and has no cut-vertex.

B) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there are internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths.

C) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there is a cycle through  $x$  and  $y$ .

D)  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , and every pair of edges in  $G$  lies on a common cycle.

Proof:

- $A \iff B$  is shown in the theorem in the previous slide. Also,  $B \iff C$  is trivial.

So, we need  $X \implies D$  and  $D \implies Y$  for some  $X, Y \in \{A, B, C\}$ .

## 2-connected Graphs

### Theorem

For a graph  $G$  with at least three vertices, TFAE (“the following are equivalent”) and characterize 2-connected graphs: A)  $G$  is connected and has no cut-vertex.

B) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there are internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths.

C) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there is a cycle through  $x$  and  $y$ .

D)  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , and every pair of edges in  $G$  lies on a common cycle.

Proof:

- $A \iff B$  is shown in the theorem in the previous slide. Also,  $B \iff C$  is trivial.

So, we need  $X \implies D$  and  $D \implies Y$  for some  $X, Y \in \{A, B, C\}$ .

- $D \implies C$ : Since  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , no isolated vertex.

Pick any two edges  $ux$  and  $vy$ , there is a cycle containing these edges, done. If only one edge,  $xy$ , then pick any other edge and apply to them.

## 2-connected Graphs

### Theorem

*For a graph  $G$  with at least three vertices, TFAE (“the following are equivalent”) and characterize 2-connected graphs: A)  $G$  is connected and has no cut-vertex.*

*B) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there are internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths.*

*C) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there is a cycle through  $x$  and  $y$ .*

*D)  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , and every pair of edges in  $G$  lies on a common cycle.*

- $A \implies D$ : Since  $G$  is connected,  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ .

## 2-connected Graphs

### Theorem

*For a graph  $G$  with at least three vertices, TFAE (“the following are equivalent”) and characterize 2-connected graphs: A)  $G$  is connected and has no cut-vertex.*

*B) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there are internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths.*

*C) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there is a cycle through  $x$  and  $y$ .*

*D)  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , and every pair of edges in  $G$  lies on a common cycle.*

- $A \implies D$ : Since  $G$  is connected,  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ .
- Consider any two edges  $uv$  and  $xy$ . Add to  $G$  a new vertex  $w$  with neighbors  $u$  and  $v$ . Add another vertex  $z$  to  $G$  with neighbors  $x$  and  $y$ . Call this new graph  $G'$ .

## 2-connected Graphs

### Theorem

For a graph  $G$  with at least three vertices, TFAE (“the following are equivalent”) and characterize 2-connected graphs: A)  $G$  is connected and has no cut-vertex.

B) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there are internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths.

C) For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , there is a cycle through  $x$  and  $y$ .

D)  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ , and every pair of edges in  $G$  lies on a common cycle.

- $A \implies D$ : Since  $G$  is connected,  $\delta(G) \geq 1$ .
- Consider any two edges  $uv$  and  $xy$ . Add to  $G$  a new vertex  $w$  with neighbors  $u$  and  $v$ . Add another vertex  $z$  to  $G$  with neighbors  $x$  and  $y$ . Call this new graph  $G'$ .
- By **expansion lemma**,  $G'$  is 2-connected. Since  $A \iff C$ ,  $w$  and  $z$  lie on a cycle. Remove  $w$  and  $z$  from  $C$  and add the edges  $uv$  and  $xy$ , done.

## 2-connected Graphs

**subdividing an edge:** An edge  $uv$  is subdivided by replacing  $uv$  with two edges  $uw$  and  $wv$ , where  $w$  is a new vertex.

## 2-connected Graphs

**subdividing an edge:** An edge  $uv$  is subdivided by replacing  $uv$  with two edges  $uw$  and  $wv$ , where  $w$  is a new vertex.

### Corollary

*If  $G$  is 2-connected, then the graph  $G'$  obtained by subdividing an edge is 2-connected.*

## 2-connected Graphs

**subdividing an edge:** An edge  $uv$  is subdivided by replacing  $uv$  with two edges  $uw$  and  $wv$ , where  $w$  is a new vertex.

### Corollary

*If  $G$  is 2-connected, then the graph  $G'$  obtained by subdividing an edge is 2-connected.*

**Ear decomposition:** An **ear** of a graph  $G$  is a maximal path whose internal vertices have degree 2 in  $G$ . An **ear decomposition** of  $G$  is a decomposition  $P_0, \dots, P_k$  such that  $P_0$  is a cycle and  $P_i$  for  $i \geq 1$  is an ear of  $P_0 \cup \dots \cup P_i$ .



## 2-connected Graphs

**subdividing an edge:** An edge  $uv$  is subdivided by replacing  $uv$  with two edges  $uw$  and  $wv$ , where  $w$  is a new vertex.

### Corollary

*If  $G$  is 2-connected, then the graph  $G'$  obtained by subdividing an edge is 2-connected.*

**Ear decomposition:** An **ear** of a graph  $G$  is a maximal path whose internal vertices have degree 2 in  $G$ . An **ear decomposition** of  $G$  is a decomposition  $P_0, \dots, P_k$  such that  $P_0$  is a cycle and  $P_i$  for  $i \geq 1$  is an ear of  $P_0 \cup \dots \cup P_i$ .

### Theorem (Whitney, 1932)

*A graph is 2-connected iff it has an ear decomposition. Moreover, every cycle in a 2-connected graph is the initial cycle in some ear decomposition.*

A similar decomposition exists also for 2-edge-connected graphs (see the book).

## Some definitions on $k$ -connectedness

Given  $x, y \in V(G)$ , a set  $S \subseteq V(G) - \{x, y\}$  is an  $x, y$ -separator or  $x, y$ -cut if  $G - S$  has no  $x, y$ -path.

## Some definitions on $k$ -connectedness

Given  $x, y \in V(G)$ , a set  $S \subseteq V(G) - \{x, y\}$  is an  $x, y$ -separator or  $x, y$ -cut if  $G - S$  has no  $x, y$ -path.

$\kappa(x, y)$  (or  $\kappa(x, y)$ ): the minimum size of an  $x, y$ -cut in a graph  $G$ .

$\lambda(x, y)$  (or  $\lambda_G(x, y)$ ): the maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths in  $G$ .

## Some definitions on $k$ -connectedness

Given  $x, y \in V(G)$ , a set  $S \subseteq V(G) - \{x, y\}$  is an  $x, y$ -separator or  $x, y$ -cut if  $G - S$  has no  $x, y$ -path.

$\kappa(x, y)$  (or  $\kappa(x, y)$ ): the minimum size of an  $x, y$ -cut in a graph  $G$ .

$\lambda(x, y)$  (or  $\lambda_G(x, y)$ ): the maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths in  $G$ .

For  $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ , an  $X, Y$ -path is a path having first vertex in  $X$ , last vertex in  $Y$ , and no other vertex in  $X \cup Y$ .

# Some definitions on $k$ -connectedness

Given  $x, y \in V(G)$ , a set  $S \subseteq V(G) - \{x, y\}$  is an  **$x, y$ -separator** or  **$x, y$ -cut** if  $G - S$  has no  $x, y$ -path.

**$\kappa(x, y)$  (or  $\kappa(x, y)$ )**: the minimum size of an  $x, y$ -cut in a graph  $G$ .

**$\lambda(x, y)$  (or  $\lambda_G(x, y)$ )**: the maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths in  $G$ .

For  $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ , an  **$X, Y$ -path** is a path having first vertex in  $X$ , last vertex in  $Y$ , and no other vertex in  $X \cup Y$ .

**Remark:** Always,  $\kappa(x, y) \geq \lambda(x, y)$ . Why? See example on page 166.

# Connectivity and Menger's Theorem

## Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

If  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices of a graph  $G$  and  $xy \notin E(G)$ , then  
 $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y)$ .

Proof:

- Clearly,  $\kappa(x, y) \geq \lambda(x, y)$ . **Induction on  $n(G)$**  to show that  $\kappa(x, y) \leq \lambda(x, y)$ .

# Connectivity and Menger's Theorem

## Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

If  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices of a graph  $G$  and  $xy \notin E(G)$ , then  $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y)$ .

Proof:

- Clearly,  $\kappa(x, y) \geq \lambda(x, y)$ . **Induction on  $n(G)$**  to show that  $\kappa(x, y) \leq \lambda(x, y)$ .
- **Base step:**  $n(G) = 2$  Only  $x, y$  and  $xy \notin E(G)$ . Then,  $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y) = 0$ , done.

# Connectivity and Menger's Theorem

## Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

If  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices of a graph  $G$  and  $xy \notin E(G)$ , then  $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y)$ .

Proof:

- Clearly,  $\kappa(x, y) \geq \lambda(x, y)$ . **Induction on  $n(G)$**  to show that  $\kappa(x, y) \leq \lambda(x, y)$ .
- **Base step:**  $n(G) = 2$  Only  $x, y$  and  $xy \notin E(G)$ . Then,  $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y) = 0$ , done.
- **Inductive step:** Reading exercise.

## Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -connected if and only if every two vertices are connected by at least  $k$  independent paths.



# Applications of Menger's Theorem

*U*-fan: Given a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  of vertices, an  $x, U$ -fan is a set of  $x, U$ -paths such that any two of these paths have only  $x$  in common.

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

**$U$ -fan**: Given a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  of vertices, an  $x, U$ -fan is a set of  $x, U$ -paths such that any two of these paths have only  $x$  in common.

Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

*A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -connected if and only if it has at least  $k + 1$  vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \geq k$ , it has an  $x, U$ -fan of size  $k$ .*

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

**$U$ -fan**: Given a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  of vertices, an  $x, U$ -fan is a set of  $x, U$ -paths such that any two of these paths have only  $x$  in common.

Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

*A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -connected if and only if it has at least  $k + 1$  vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \geq k$ , it has an  $x, U$ -fan of size  $k$ .*

**Proof:**

*Necessity:*  $G$ ,  $k$ -connected. Pick a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  with at least  $k$  vertices, show an  $x, U$ -fan exists.

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

**$x, U$ -fan**: Given a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  of vertices, an  $x, U$ -fan is a set of  $x, U$ -paths such that any two of these paths have only  $x$  in common.

Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

*A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -connected if and only if it has at least  $k + 1$  vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \geq k$ , it has an  $x, U$ -fan of size  $k$ .*

**Proof:**

*Necessity:*  $G$ ,  $k$ -connected. Pick a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  with at least  $k$  vertices, show an  $x, U$ -fan exists.

Use **Expansion Lemma**: Add a new vertex  $y$  by connecting  $y$  to each vertex of  $U$  with an edge, call this new graph  $G'$ . By Exp. Lem.,  $G'$  is also  $k$ -connected.

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

**$U$ -fan:** Given a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  of vertices, an  $x, U$ -fan is a set of  $x, U$ -paths such that any two of these paths have only  $x$  in common.

Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

*A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -connected if and only if it has at least  $k + 1$  vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \geq k$ , it has an  $x, U$ -fan of size  $k$ .*

**Proof:**

*Necessity:*  $G$ ,  $k$ -connected. Pick a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  with at least  $k$  vertices, show an  $x, U$ -fan exists.

Use **Expansion Lemma**: Add a new vertex  $y$  by connecting  $y$  to each vertex of  $U$  with an edge, call this new graph  $G'$ . By Exp. Lem.,  $G'$  is also  $k$ -connected.

**Menger's thm.** implies  $k$  internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths exist in  $G'$ . Remove  $y$ . These paths show an  $x, U$ -fan exists.

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

**$x, U$ -fan:** Given a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  of vertices, an  $x, U$ -fan is a set of  $x, U$ -paths such that any two of these paths have only  $x$  in common.

Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

*A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -connected if and only if it has at least  $k + 1$  vertices and, for every choice of  $x, U \subset V(G)$  with  $|U| \geq k$ , it has an  $x, U$ -fan of size  $k$ .*

**Proof:**

**Necessity:**  $G$ ,  $k$ -connected. Pick a vertex  $x$  and a set  $U$  with at least  $k$  vertices, show an  $x, U$ -fan exists.

Use **Expansion Lemma**: Add a new vertex  $y$  by connecting  $y$  to each vertex of  $U$  with an edge, call this new graph  $G'$ . By Exp. Lem.,  $G'$  is also  $k$ -connected.

**Menger's thm.** implies  $k$  internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths exist in  $G'$ . Remove  $y$ . These paths show an  $x, U$ -fan exists.

## Sufficiency:

- Assume  $G$  satisfies the fan condition, show that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected.

## Sufficiency:

- Assume  $G$  satisfies the fan condition, show that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected.
- First, note that  $\delta(G) \geq k$  (consider an  $x$ ,  $U$ -fan with  $U = N(x)$ ).



## Sufficiency:

- Assume  $G$  satisfies the fan condition, show that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected.
- First, note that  $\delta(G) \geq k$  (consider an  $x$ ,  $U$ -fan with  $U = N(x)$ ).
- For any two vertices  $w$  and  $z$ , we find  $k$  internally disjoint  $w, z$ -paths. Thus **Menger's Thm.** implies  $k$ -connectedness of  $G$ .

## Sufficiency:

- Assume  $G$  satisfies the fan condition, show that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected.
- First, note that  $\delta(G) \geq k$  (consider an  $x$ ,  $U$ -fan with  $U = N(x)$ ).
- For any two vertices  $w$  and  $z$ , we find  $k$  internally disjoint  $w, z$ -paths. Thus **Menger's Thm.** implies  $k$ -connectedness of  $G$ .
- Let  $U = N(z)$ . There is a  $w, U$ -fan. By extending each of the  $k$   $w, U$ -paths to  $z$ , we are done.

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph (with  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $S$  is a set of  $k$  vertices in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a cycle that contains all vertices in  $S$ .*

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

## Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph (with  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $S$  is a set of  $k$  vertices in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a cycle that contains all vertices in  $S$ .*

### Proof Idea: Induction on $k$

- **Base step:**  $k=2$  If  $G$  is 2-connected, there are 2 internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths between any two vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , whose union is a cycle containing  $x$  and  $y$ .

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

## Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph (with  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $S$  is a set of  $k$  vertices in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a cycle that contains all vertices in  $S$ .*

### Proof Idea: Induction on $k$

- **Base step:**  $k=2$  If  $G$  is 2-connected, there are 2 internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths between any two vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , whose union is a cycle containing  $x$  and  $y$ .
- **Inductive step:**  $k > 2$  Given any set  $S$  in a  $k$ -connected graph  $G$ , we find a cycle containing every vertex in  $S$ .

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

## Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph (with  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $S$  is a set of  $k$  vertices in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a cycle that contains all vertices in  $S$ .*

### Proof Idea: Induction on $k$

- **Base step:**  $k=2$  If  $G$  is 2-connected, there are 2 internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths between any two vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , whose union is a cycle containing  $x$  and  $y$ .
- **Inductive step:**  $k > 2$  Given any set  $S$  in a  $k$ -connected graph  $G$ , we find a cycle containing every vertex in  $S$ .
- Clearly,  $G$  is also  $(k - 1)$ -connected. So, for any vertex  $x \in S$ , there is a cycle  $C$  containing  $S - \{x\}$ .

# Applications of Menger's Theorem

## Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph (with  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $S$  is a set of  $k$  vertices in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a cycle that contains all vertices in  $S$ .

### Proof Idea: Induction on $k$

- **Base step:**  $k=2$  If  $G$  is 2-connected, there are 2 internally disjoint  $x, y$ -paths between any two vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , whose union is a cycle containing  $x$  and  $y$ .
- **Inductive step:**  $k > 2$  Given any set  $S$  in a  $k$ -connected graph  $G$ , we find a cycle containing every vertex in  $S$ .
- Clearly,  $G$  is also  $(k - 1)$ -connected. So, for any vertex  $x \in S$ , there is a cycle  $C$  containing  $S - \{x\}$ .
- **Case:**  $|V(C)| = k - 1$  Because there is an  $x, V(C)$ -fan,  $x$  can be added to  $C$  to obtain a larger cycle.

## Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph (with  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $S$  is a set of  $k$  vertices in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a cycle that contains all vertices in  $S$ .*

- **Case:**  $|V(C)| \geq k$  There is an  $x$ ,  $V(C)$ -fan of size  $k$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}$  be the vertices of this fan in  $V(C)$ .



## Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

*If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph (with  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $S$  is a set of  $k$  vertices in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a cycle that contains all vertices in  $S$ .*

- **Case:**  $|V(C)| \geq k$  There is an  $x$ ,  $V(C)$ -fan of size  $k$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}$  be the vertices of this fan in  $V(C)$ .  
 $V_i$  be the segment of  $C$  from  $v_i$  to  $v_{i+1}$  but not containing it.  
(assuming cyclic order)

## Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph (with  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $S$  is a set of  $k$  vertices in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a cycle that contains all vertices in  $S$ .

- **Case:**  $|V(C)| \geq k$  There is an  $x$ ,  $V(C)$ -fan of size  $k$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}$  be the vertices of this fan in  $V(C)$ .  
 $V_i$  be the segment of  $C$  from  $v_i$  to  $v_{i+1}$  but not containing it.  
(assuming cyclic order)
- By pigeonhole principle ( $k - 1$  segments = pigeonholes and  $k$  vertices (that are not  $x$ ) of the fan = pigeons), one segment  $V_j$  contains at least two vertices.

## Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

If  $G$  is a  $k$ -connected graph (with  $k \geq 2$ ), and  $S$  is a set of  $k$  vertices in  $G$ , then  $G$  has a cycle that contains all vertices in  $S$ .

- **Case:**  $|V(C)| \geq k$  There is an  $x, V(C)$ -fan of size  $k$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}$  be the vertices of this fan in  $V(C)$ .  
 $V_i$  be the segment of  $C$  from  $v_i$  to  $v_{i+1}$  but not containing it.  
(assuming cyclic order)
- By pigeonhole principle ( $k - 1$  segments = pigeonholes and  $k$  vertices (that are not  $x$ ) of the fan = pigeons), one segment  $V_j$  contains at least two vertices.
- Say  $u, u'$  from the fan are in  $V_j$ . Replace  $u, u'$ -segment of  $C$  with the  $x, u$ -path and  $x, u'$ -path of the fan to obtain a cycle containing all of  $S$ .