

BIL694-Lecture 3: Connectivity

Lecturer: Lale Özkahya

Resources for the presentation:

“Introduction to Graph Theory” by Douglas B. West

Outline

1 Cuts and Connectivity

2 k -connected Graphs

1 Cuts and Connectivity

2 k -connected Graphs

Vertex-connectivity

A non-empty graph G is called **connected** if any two of its vertices are connected by a path. Instead of saying a graph is not connected, we say a graph is **disconnected**.

A vertex-set whose removal makes a connected G disconnected, is called a **cut-set** (or **separating set** or **vertex cut**) of G .

For a set $S \subset V(G)$, the subgraph $G[S]$ is the subgraph of G **induced by S** . In other words, the vertex set of the subgraph $G[S]$ is S and each edge in $G[S]$ has both of its endvertices in S .

Finding a connected subgraph of a certain order (number of vertices)

Proposition: The vertices of a connected graph G can always be enumerated, say v_1, \dots, v_n so that $G_i := G[v_1, \dots, v_i]$ is connected for every $i \leq n$.

Proof:

- Every connected graph G has a spanning tree T .
- Pick a root in T and call it v_1 . Label the remaining vertices v_2, \dots, v_n starting from the first level of T and continuing to the consecutive level once all vertices in a level are labelled.
- This enumeration of the vertices satisfy the condition we want.

Vertex-connectivity

A maximal connected subgraph of a graph G is called a **component** of G . A graph G is **k -connected** if $G - X$ is connected for every set $X \subset V(G)$ with $|X| < k$.

The greatest integer k such that G is k -connected is called the **connectivity** of G , denoted by $\kappa(G)$.

Remark: $\kappa(G) = 0$ if and only if G is disconnected or a K_1 .

$\kappa(K_n) = n - 1$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proposition: If G is nontrivial (not K_n or K_1) connected graph, then $\kappa(G) \leq \delta(G)$.

Proof:

- The neighborhood of any vertex is a cut-set of G .
- Since there is a vertex v with $\delta(G)$ neighbors, $N(v)$ is a cut-set of G .

Example: The hypercube, Q_k

Proposition: For any $k \geq 1$, $\kappa(Q_k) = k$.

Proof:

- The neighborhood of every vertex is a cut-set. Therefore, $\kappa(Q_k) \leq k$.
- To show $\kappa(Q_k) \geq k$, use induction on k .
Base step: For $k = 1$, $Q_1 = K_2$, thus $\kappa(Q_1) = 1$, true for $k = 1$.
- **inductive step:** By the induction hypothesis (I.H.), $\kappa(Q_{k-1}) = k - 1$. Let Q and Q' be two vertex-disjoint “mirror” copies of Q_{k-1} and S be a vertex cut of Q_k .
- We see that either $Q - S$ or $Q' - S$ should be disconnected, otherwise S must contain 2^{k-1} vertices (a vertex cover of the matching between Q and Q').
- So, assume $Q - S$ is disconnected. Thus S contains at least $k - 1$ vertices in Q .
- S must contain also a vertex in Q' , otherwise all vertices in Q and Q' are connected to each other. Thus S contains at least k vertices. Done.

Edge-connectivity

An edge-set whose removal makes a connected G disconnected, is called an **edge cut** (or a **disconnecting set** of edges) of G .

A graph G with edge-set E is called **ℓ -edge-connected** if $G - F$ is connected for every set $F \subset E$ with fewer than ℓ edges.

Edge-connectivity of a graph G , denoted by $\kappa'(G)$ or $\lambda(G)$, is the *greatest* integer ℓ such that G is ℓ -connected.

Note: If G is disconnected, then $\kappa'(G) = 0$.

Proposition: If G is not empty, then $\kappa(G) \leq \kappa'(G) \leq \delta(G)$.

Proof:

- The second inequality holds because removing all edges incident to a vertex disconnects a connected graph.
- To prove the first inequality, assume that F is a *minimal* subset of the edge-set E such that $G - F$ is disconnected. We will show that $\kappa(G) \leq |F|$.
- Let T be a vertex set that contains exactly one endpoint of each edge in F . This set is a vertex-cut of G .

Example for $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$: 3-regular graphs

Proposition: If G is a 3-regular graph, then $\kappa(G) = \kappa'(G)$.

Proof:

- Let S be a minimum vertex-cut. We only need to show that $\kappa(G) \geq \kappa'(G)$, since the other direction of this inequality is known.
- Let H_1 and H_2 be two components of $G - S$. By the **minimality of S** , each vertex v in S , has neighbors in H_1 and H_2 .
- So, v has **exactly** one neighbor in one of H_1 or H_2 , say that single neighbor is $u \in H_1$. Add uv to the edge-cut. Do that for all $v \in S$.
- The set of the edges uv is an edge-cut. (if some vertex v' in S has a neighbor in S , then add the edge from v' to H_1 for all such v').

Corollary

For any vertex set $S \subset V(G)$, $||[S, \bar{S}]|| = [\sum_{v \in S} \deg(v)] - 2e(G[S])$.

Moreover, for simple G , if $||[S, \bar{S}]|| < \delta(G)$ for nonempty S , then

$|S| > \delta(G)$.

1 Cuts and Connectivity

2 k -connected Graphs

2-connected Graphs

Two paths between vertices u and v are said to be **internally disjoint** if they only have the endvertices u and v in common.

Theorem (Whitney, 1932)

A graph G having at least three vertices is 2-connected if and only if for each pair $u, v \in V(G)$, there exist internally disjoint u, v -paths in G .

Lemma (Expansion Lemma)

If G is a k -connected graph, and G' is obtained from G by adding a new vertex y with at least k neighbors in G , then G' is k -connected.

Proof:

- To prove this, one needs to show that any vertex cut S in G' has at least k vertices.
- If y is in S , then $|S - y| \geq k$ and $|S| \geq k + 1$, done.
- If $y \notin S$ and $N(y) \subset S$, again $|S| \geq k$.
- Otherwise, in $G' - S$, y and some vertices in $N(y)$ must be in the same component. This implies, S also is a vertex-cut in G and $|S| \geq k$.

2-connected Graphs

Theorem

For a graph G with at least three vertices, TFAE (“the following are equivalent”) and characterize 2-connected graphs: A) G is connected and has no cut-vertex.

B) For all $x, y \in V(G)$, there are internally disjoint x, y -paths.

C) For all $x, y \in V(G)$, there is a cycle through x and y .

D) $\delta(G) \geq 1$, and every pair of edges in G lies on a common cycle.

Proof:

- $A \iff B$ is shown in the theorem in the previous slide. Also, $B \iff C$ is trivial.

So, we need $X \implies D$ and $D \implies Y$ for some $X, Y \in \{A, B, C\}$.

- $D \implies C$: Since $\delta(G) \geq 1$, no isolated vertex.

Pick any two edges ux and vy , there is a cycle containing these edges, done. If only one edge, xy , then pick any other edge and apply to them.

2-connected Graphs

Theorem

For a graph G with at least three vertices, TFAE (“the following are equivalent”) and characterize 2-connected graphs: A) G is connected and has no cut-vertex.

B) For all $x, y \in V(G)$, there are internally disjoint x, y -paths.

C) For all $x, y \in V(G)$, there is a cycle through x and y .

D) $\delta(G) \geq 1$, and every pair of edges in G lies on a common cycle.

- $A \implies D$: Since G is connected, $\delta(G) \geq 1$.
- Consider any two edges uv and xy . Add to G a new vertex w with neighbors u and v . Add another vertex z to G with neighbors x and y . Call this new graph G' .
- By **expansion lemma**, G' is 2-connected. Since $A \iff C$, w and z lie on a cycle. Remove w and z from C and add the edges uv and xy , done.

2-connected Graphs

subdividing an edge: An edge uv is subdivided by replacing uv with two edges uw and wv , where w is a new vertex.

Corollary

If G is 2-connected, then the graph G' obtained by subdividing an edge is 2-connected.

Ear decomposition: An **ear** of a graph G is a maximal path whose internal vertices have degree 2 in G . An **ear decomposition** of G is a decomposition P_0, \dots, P_k such that P_0 is a cycle and P_i for $i \geq 1$ is an ear of $P_0 \cup \dots \cup P_i$.

Theorem (Whitney, 1932)

A graph is 2-connected iff it has an ear decomposition. Moreover, every cycle in a 2-connected graph is the initial cycle in some ear decomposition.

A similar decomposition exists also for 2-edge-connected graphs (see the book).

Some definitions on k -connectedness

Given $x, y \in V(G)$, a set $S \subseteq V(G) - \{x, y\}$ is an **x, y -separator** or **x, y -cut** if $G - S$ has no x, y -path.

$\kappa(x, y)$ (or $\kappa(x, y)$): the minimum size of an x, y -cut in a graph G .

$\lambda(x, y)$ (or $\lambda_G(x, y)$): the maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint x, y -paths in G .

For $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, an **X, Y -path** is a path having first vertex in X , last vertex in Y , and no other vertex in $X \cup Y$.

Remark: Always, $\kappa(x, y) \geq \lambda(x, y)$. Why? See example on page 166.

Connectivity and Menger's Theorem

Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

If x and y are vertices of a graph G and $xy \notin E(G)$, then $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y)$.

Proof:

- Clearly, $\kappa(x, y) \geq \lambda(x, y)$. **Induction on $n(G)$** to show that $\kappa(x, y) \leq \lambda(x, y)$.
- **Base step:** $n(G) = 2$ Only x, y and $xy \notin E(G)$. Then, $\kappa(x, y) = \lambda(x, y) = 0$, done.
- **Inductive step:** Reading exercise.

Theorem (Menger's Theorem)

A graph G is k -connected if and only if every two vertices are connected by at least k independent paths.

Applications of Menger's Theorem

x, U -fan: Given a vertex x and a set U of vertices, an x, U -fan is a set of x, U -paths such that any two of these paths have only x in common.

Theorem (Fan Lemma, Dirac, 1960)

A graph G is k -connected if and only if it has at least $k + 1$ vertices and, for every choice of $x, U \subset V(G)$ with $|U| \geq k$, it has an x, U -fan of size k .

Proof:

Necessity: G , k -connected. Pick a vertex x and a set U with at least k vertices, show an x, U -fan exists.

Use **Expansion Lemma**: Add a new vertex y by connecting y to each vertex of U with an edge, call this new graph G' . By Exp. Lem., G' is also k -connected.

Menger's thm. implies k internally disjoint x, y -paths exist in G' . Remove y . These paths show an x, U -fan exists.

Sufficiency:

- Assume G satisfies the fan condition, show that G is k -connected.
- First, note that $\delta(G) \geq k$ (consider an x , U -fan with $U = N(x)$).
- For any two vertices w and z , we find k internally disjoint w, z -paths. Thus **Menger's Thm.** implies k -connectedness of G .
- Let $U = N(z)$. There is a w, U -fan. By extending each of the k w, U -paths to z , we are done.

Applications of Menger's Theorem

Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

If G is a k -connected graph (with $k \geq 2$), and S is a set of k vertices in G , then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S .

Proof Idea: Induction on k

- **Base step:** $k=2$ If G is 2-connected, there are 2 internally disjoint x, y -paths between any two vertices x and y , whose union is a cycle containing x and y .
- **Inductive step:** $k > 2$ Given any set S in a k -connected graph G , we find a cycle containing every vertex in S .
- Clearly, G is also $(k - 1)$ -connected. So, for any vertex $x \in S$, there is a cycle C containing $S - \{x\}$.
- **Case:** $|V(C)| = k - 1$ Because there is an $x, V(C)$ -fan, x can be added to C to obtain a larger cycle.

Theorem (Dirac, 1960)

If G is a k -connected graph (with $k \geq 2$), and S is a set of k vertices in G , then G has a cycle that contains all vertices in S .

- **Case:** $|V(C)| \geq k$ There is an $x, V(C)$ -fan of size k . Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1} be the vertices of this fan in $V(C)$.
 V_i be the segment of C from v_i to v_{i+1} but not containing it.
(assuming cyclic order)
- By pigeonhole principle ($k - 1$ segments = pigeonholes and k vertices (that are not x) of the fan = pigeons), one segment V_j contains at least two vertices.
- Say u, u' from the fan are in V_j . Replace u, u' -segment of C with the x, u -path and x, u' -path of the fan to obtain a cycle containing all of S .