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## Decision Trees

## You Dropped Food on the Floor

Do You Frat It?


## Synonyms

- Decision Trees
- Classification and Regression Trees (CART)
- Algorithms for learning decision trees:
- ID3
- C4.5
- Random Forests
- Multiple decision trees


## Machine Learning in the ER



MD comments (free text)

Physician documentation


Lab results
(Continuous valued)

## Can we predict infection?



## Can we predict infection

- Previous automatic approaches based on simple criteria:
- Temperature $<96.8^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ or $>100.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
- Heart rate > 90 beats $/ \mathrm{min}$
- Respiratory rate > 20 breaths/min
- Too simplified... e.g., heart rate depends on age!



## Can we predict infection?

- These are the attributes we have for each patient:
- Temperature
- Heart rate (HR)
- Respiratory rate (RR)
- Age
- Acuity and pain level
- Diastolic and systolic blood pressure (DBP, SBP)
- Oxygen Saturation (SaO2)
- We have these attributes + label (infection) for 200,000 patients!
亳• Let's learn to classify infection


## Predicting infection using decision trees



## Example: Image Classification


[Criminisi et al, 2011]

## Example: Mushrooms

Mushroom cap shapes


convex

bell-shaped


flat


Mushroom cap sufaces


Annular rings

pendant

flaring

sheathing

double

cobwebby

ring zone
http://www.usask.ca/biology/fungi/

## Mushroom features

1. cap-shape: bell=b, conical=c, convex=x, flat=f, knobbed=k sunken=s
2. cap-surface: fibrous=f, grooves=g, scaly=y, smooth=s
3. cap-color: brown=n, buff=b, cinnamon=c, gray=g, green=r, pink=p,purple=u, red=e, white=w, yellow=y
4. bruises?: bruises=t,no=f
5. odor: almond=a, anise=l, creosote=c, fishy=y, foul=f, musty $=m$, none $=n$, pungent $=p$, spicy $=s$
6. gill-attachment: attached=a, descending=d, free=f, notched=n
7. ...

## Two mushrooms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=x, s, n, t, p, f, c, n, k, e, e, s, s, w, w, p, w, o, p, k, s, u \\
& y_{1}=p \\
& x_{2}=x, s, y, t, a, f, c, b, k, e, c, s, s, w, w, p, w, o, p, n, n, g \\
& y_{2}=e
\end{aligned}
$$

1. cap-shape: bell=b,conical=c,convex=x,flat=f, knobbed=k,sunken=s
2. cap-surface: fibrous=f,grooves=g,scaly=y,smooth=s
3. cap-color:
brown=n,buff=b,cinnamon=c,gray=g,green=r, pink=p,purple=u,red=e,white=w,yellow=y
4. ...

## Pose Estimation

- Random Forests!
- Multiple decision trees
- http://youtu.be/HNkbG3KsY84



## Learning Decision Trees

Decision trees provide a very popular and efficient hypothesis space.

- Variable Size. Any boolean function can be represented.
- Deterministic.
- Discrete and Continuous Parameters.


## Decision Tree Decision Boundaries

Decision trees divide the feature space into axis-parallel rectangles, and label each rectangle with one of the $K$ classes.



## Decision Trees Can Represent Any Boolean Function




The tree will in the worst case require exponentially many nodes, however.

## Decision Trees Provide Variable-Size Hypothesis Space

As the number of nodes (or depth) of tree increases, the hypothesis space grows

- depth 1 ("decision stump") can represent any boolean function of one feature.
- depth 2 Any boolean function of two features; some boolean functions involving three features (e.g., $\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}\right) \vee\left(\neg x_{1} \wedge \neg x_{3}\right)$
- etc.


## A small dataset: Miles Per Gallon

## Suppose we want to predict MPG

| mpg | cylinders | displacement | horsepower | weight | acceleration | modelyear | maker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| good | 4 | low | low | low | high | 75to78 | asia |
| bad | 6 | medium | medium | medium | medium | 70to74 | america |
| bad | 4 | medium | medium | medium | low | $75 \mathrm{to78}$ | europe |
| bad | 8 | high | high | high | low | 70to74 | america |
| bad | 6 | medium | medium | medium | medium | 70to74 | america |
| bad | 4 | low | medium | low | medium | 70to74 | asia |
| bad | 4 | low | medium | low | low | 70to74 | asia |
| bad | 8 | high | high | high | low | 75to78 | america |
| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| bad | 8 | high | high | high | low | 70to74 | america |
| good | 8 | high | medium | high | high | 79to83 | america |
| bad | 8 | high | high | high | low | 75 to 78 | america |
| good | 4 | low | low | low | low | 79t083 | america |
| bad | 6 | medium | medium | medium | high | 75to78 | america |
| good | 4 | medium | low | low | low | 79t083 | america |
| good | 4 | low | low | medium | high | 79to83 | america |
| bad | 8 | high | high | high | low | 70to74 | america |
| good | 4 | low | medium | low | medium | 75to78 | europe |
| bad | 5 | medium | medium | medium | medium | 75to78 | europe |

40 Records

From the UCI repository (thanks to Ross Quinlan)

## Hypotheses: decision trees $f: X \rightarrow Y$

- Each internal node tests an attribute $x_{i}$
- Each branch assigns an attribute value $x_{i}=v$
- Each leaf assigns a class $y$
- To classify input $x$ : traverse the tree from root to leaf, output the labeled $y$


Human interpretable!

## A Decision Stump

| mpg values: bad good |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | root <br> $22 \quad 18$ |  |  |
| cylinders $=3$ | cylinders $=4$ | cylinders $=5$ | cylinders $=6$ | cylinders |
| $00$ | $4 \quad 17$ | $10$ | $80$ | 91 |
| Predict bad | Predict good | Predict bad | Predict bad | Predict bad |



## Comments

- Not all features/attributes need to appear in the tree.
- A features/attribute $X_{i}$ may appear in multiple branches.
- On a path, no feature may appear more than once.
- Not true for continuous features. We'll see later.
- Many trees can represent the same concept
- But, not all trees will have the same size!
- e.g., $Y=\left(A^{\wedge} B\right) \vee\left(\neg A^{\wedge} C\right) \quad(A$ and $B)$ or (not $A$ and $\left.C\right)$


## Learning decision trees is hard!!!

- Learning the simplest (smallest) decision tree is an NP-complete problem [Hyafil \& Rivest '76]
- Resort to a greedy heuristic:
- Start from empty decision tree
- Split on next best attribute (feature)
- Recurse
- "Iterative Dichotomizer" (ID3)
- C4.5 (ID3+improvements)


## Recursion Step



## Recursion Step



Records in which cylinders
$=4$

Records in which cylinders
$=5$


## Second level of tree




## What functions can be represented?

- Decision trees can represent any function of the input attributes!
- For Boolean functions, path to leaf gives truth table row
- But, could require exponentially many nodes...

(Figure from Stuart Russell]

cyl=3 $\vee($ cyl=4 $\wedge($ maker=asia $\vee$ maker=europe $)) \vee \ldots$


## Are all decision trees equal?

- Many trees can represent the same concept
- But, not all trees will have the same size
- e.g., $\Phi=(A \wedge B) \vee(\neg A \wedge C)-((A$ and $B)$ or (not $A$ and $C)$.

- Which tree do we prefer?



## Choosing a good attribute

| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |

## Splitting: Choosing a good attribut

- Would we prefer to split on $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ ?


Idea: use counts at leaves to

| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F | define probability distributions, so we can measure uncertainty!

## Measuring uncertainty

- Good split if we are more certain about classification after split
- Deterministic good (all true or all false)
- Uniform distribution bad



| $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{F} \mid \mathrm{X}_{2}=\mathrm{F}\right)=$ | $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{T} \mid \mathrm{X}_{2}=\mathrm{F}\right)=$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ |



## Entropy

Entropy $H(X)$ of a random variable $Y$

$$
H(Y)=-\sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(Y=y_{i}\right) \log _{2} P\left(Y=y_{i}\right)
$$

More uncertainty, more entropy!
Information Theory interpretation: $H(Y)$ is the expected number of bits needed to encode a randomly drawn value of $Y$ (under most efficient code)


## High, Low Entropy

- "High Entropy"
- Y is from a uniform like distribution
- Flat histogram
- Values sampled from it are less predictable
- "Low Entropy"
- Y is from a varied (peaks and valleys) distribution
- Histogram has many lows and highs
- Values sampled from it are more predictable


## Entropy Example

$$
H(Y)=-\sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(Y=y_{i}\right) \log _{2} P\left(Y=y_{i}\right)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(Y=t)=5 / 6 \\
& P(Y=f)=1 / 6
\end{aligned}
$$

$H(Y)=-5 / 6 \log _{2} 5 / 6-1 / 6 \log _{2} 1 / 6$ $=0.65$

| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

## Conditional Entropy

Conditional Entropy $H(Y \mid X)$ of a random variable $Y$ conditioned on a random variable $X$

$$
H(Y \mid X)=-\sum_{j=1}^{v} P\left(X=x_{j}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(Y=y_{i} \mid X=x_{j}\right) \log _{2} P\left(Y=y_{i} \mid X=x_{j}\right)
$$

Example:

$$
P\left(X_{1}=t\right)=4 / 6
$$

$$
Y=f: 0 \quad Y=f: 1
$$

$P\left(X_{1}=f\right)=2 / 6$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H\left(Y \mid X_{1}\right)=- & 4 / 6\left(1 \log _{2} 1+0 \log _{2} 0\right) \\
& -2 / 6\left(1 / 2 \log _{2} 1 / 2+1 / 2 \log _{2} 1 / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

$$
=2 / 6
$$

## Information gain

- Decrease in entropy (uncertainty) after splitting

$$
I G(X)=H(Y)-H(Y \mid X)
$$

In our running example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{IG}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right) & =\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y})-\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X}_{1}\right) \\
& =0.65-0.33
\end{aligned}
$$

IG $\left(X_{1}\right)>0 \rightarrow$ we prefer the split!

| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

## Information gain

- Advantage of attribute - decrease in uncertainty
- Entropy of Y before you split
- Entropy after split
- Weight by probability of following each branch, i.e., normalized number of records

$$
H(Y \mid X)=-\sum_{j=1}^{v} P\left(X=x_{j}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(Y=y_{i} \mid X=x_{j}\right) \log _{2} P\left(Y=y_{i} \mid X=x_{j}\right)
$$

- Information gain is difference $I G(X)=H(Y)-H(Y \mid X)$
- (Technically it's mutual information; but in this context also referred to as information gain)


## Learning decision trees

- Start from empty decision tree
- Split on next best attribute (feature)
- Use, for example, information gain to select attribute
- Split on $\arg \max _{i} I G\left(X_{i}\right)=\arg \max _{i} H(Y)-H\left(Y \mid X_{i}\right)$
- Recurse

Information gains using the training set ( 40 records)

## Look at all the

 information gains...

## When do we stop?



## Base Case Two:

 No attributes can distinguish

## Base Cases

- Base Case One: If all records in current data subset have the same output then don't recurse
- Base Case Two: If all records have exactly the same set of input attributes then don't recurse


## Base Cases: An idea

- Base Case One: If all records in current data subset have the same output then don't recurse
- Base Case Two: If all records have exactly the same set of input attributes then don't recurse

-Is this a good idea?


## The problem with Base Case 3

| a | b | $y$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $y=a \operatorname{COR} b$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

The information gains:

```
Information gains using the training set (4 records)
y values: 0 1
Input Value Distribution Info Gain
```




The resulting decision tree:

```
y values: 0 1
root
2
Predict 0
```


## If we omit Base Case 3:

| a | b | $y$ | $y=a \operatorname{loR} b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| O | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

The resulting decision tree:


## Basic Decision Tree Building Summarized

BuildTree(DataSet,Output)

- If all output values are the same in DataSet, return a leaf node that says "predict this unique output"
- If all input values are the same, return a leaf node that says "predict the majority output"
- Else find attribute $X$ with highest Info Gain
- Suppose $X$ has $n_{X}$ distinct values (i.e. $X$ has arity $n_{X}$ ).
- Create and return a non-leaf node with $n_{X}$ children.
- The $i$ th child should be built by calling

$$
\text { BuildTree(DS }{ }_{i} \text { Output) }
$$

Where $D S_{i}$ built consists of all those records in DataSet for which $\mathrm{X}=\boldsymbol{i t h}$ distinct value of $X$.

## output $=$ DecisionTree(data)

-If(data.out is all one label) then return that label.
-If(data.in are identical) then return majority label.
-Split on next best feature (call it $\mathbf{x}^{\star}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}^{*}=\arg \max I G\left(X_{i}\right)=\arg \max _{i} H(Y)-H\left(Y \mid X_{i}\right) \\
& H(Y \mid X)=-\sum_{j=1}^{v} P\left(X=x_{j}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(Y=y_{i} \mid X=x_{j}\right) \log _{2} P\left(Y=y_{i} \mid X=x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

-For each value a of $x^{*}$ create a node and recur:
DecisionTree(data.in(data.in. $\left.x^{*}==a\right)$ )

| Will this mushroom kill me? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cap Shape | Odor | Habitat | Cap Color | Stalk Shape | Poison |
| convex | pungent | urban | brown | enlarging | Yes |
| convex | almond | grass | yellow | enlarging | No |
| bell | anise | meadows | white | enlarging | No |
| convex | none | urban | white | enlarging | Yes |
| convex | none | grass | gray | tapering | No |
| convex | almond | grass | yellow | enlarging | No |
| bell | almond | meadows | white | enlarging | Yes |
| bell | anise | meadows | white | enlarging | No |
| convex | pungent | grass | white | tapering | Yes |

## Decision trees will overfit

- Standard decision trees have no prior
- Training set error is always zero!
- (If there is no label noise)
- Lots of variance
- Will definitely overfit!!!
- Must bias towards simpler trees
- Many strategies for picking simpler trees:
- Fixed depth
- Fixed number of leaves
- Or something smarter... (chi2 tests)


## Remember: Error Decomposition



## Decision trees will overfit



## Avoiding Overfitting

How can we avoid overfitting?

- Stop growing when data split not statistically significant
- Grow full tree, then post-prune

How to select "best" tree:

- Measure performance over training data
- Measure performance over separate validation data set
- Add complexity penalty to performance measure


## Reduced-Error Pruning

Split data into training and validation set

Do until further pruning is harmful:

1. Evaluate impact on validation set of pruning each possible node (plus those below it)
2. Greedily remove the one that most improves validation set accuracy

## Pruning Decision Trees

- Demo
- http://webdocs.cs.ualberta.ca/~aixplore/learning/DecisionTre es/Applet/DecisionTreeApplet.html


## Effect of Reduced-Error Pruning



## Rule Post-Pruning

1. Convert tree to equivalent set of rules
2. Prune each rule independently of others
3. Sort final rules into desired sequence for use

Perhaps most frequently used method (e.g., C4.5)

## Converting A Tree to Rules



# IF $\quad($ Outlook $=$ Sunny $)$ AND $($ Humidity $=$ High $)$ <br> THEN PlayTennis $=$ No 

IF $\quad($ Outlook $=$ Sunny $) A N D($ Humidity $=$ Normal $)$
THEN PlayTennis $=$ Yes

## Real-Valued inputs

- What should we do if some of the inputs are real-valued?

| mpg | cylinders | displacemen horsepower | weight | acceleration modelyear maker |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| good | 4 | 97 | 75 | 2265 | 18.2 | 77 | asia |
| bad | 6 | 199 | 90 | 2648 | 15 | 70 | america |
| bad | 4 | 121 | 110 | 2600 | 12.8 | 77 | europe |
| bad | 8 | 350 | 175 | 4100 | 13 | 73 | america |
| bad | 6 | 198 | 95 | 3102 | 16.5 | 74 | america |
| bad | 4 | 108 | 94 | 2379 | 16.5 | 73 | asia |
| bad | 4 | 113 | 95 | 2228 | 14 | 71 | asia |
| bad | 8 | 302 | 139 | 3570 | 12.8 | 78 | america |
| $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |  | $:$ |  | $:$ | $:$ |

Infinite number of possible split values!!!
Finite dataset, only finite number of relevant splits!
Idea One: Branch on each possible real value

## "One branch for each numeric value" idea:



Hopeless: with such high branching factor will shatter the dataset and overfit

## Threshold splits

- Binary tree, split on attribute $X$
- One branch: X < t
- Other branch: $\mathrm{X}>=\mathrm{t}$


## Threshold splits

- Binary tree: split on attribute $X$ at value $t$
- One branch: $\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{t}$
- Other branch: $\mathrm{X} \geq \mathrm{t}$
- Requires small change
- Allow repeated splits on same variable
 along a path


## The set of possible thresholds

- Binary tree, split on attribute $X$
- One branch: $X<t$
- Other branch: $X \geq t$
- Search through possible values of $t$
- Seems hard!!!
- But only a finite number of $t$ 's are important:
- Consider split points of the form $x_{i}+\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right) / 2$
- Moreover, only splits between examples from different classes matter!



## Choosing threshold split

- Binary tree, split on attribute $X$
- One branch: $\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{t}$
- Other branch: $\mathrm{X}>=\mathrm{t}$
- Search through possible values of $t$
- Seems hard!!!
- But only finite number of $\ell$ 's are important
- Sort data according to $X$ into $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$
- Consider split points of the form $x_{i}+\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right) / 2$


## A better idea: thresholded splits

- Suppose $X$ is real valued
- Define $I G(Y \mid X: t)$ as $H(Y)-H(Y \mid X: t)$
- Define $H(Y \mid X: t)=$

$$
\dot{H}(Y \mid X<t) P(X<t)+H(Y \mid X>=t) P(X>=t)
$$

- $I G(Y \mid X: t)$ is the information gain for predicting Y if all you know is whether X is greater than or less than $t$
- Then define $I G^{*}(Y \mid X)=\max _{t} I G(Y \mid X: t)$
- For each real-valued attribute, use $I G^{*}(Y \mid X)$ for assessing its suitability as a split
- Note, may split on an attribute multiple times, with different thresholds


## Example with MPG



## Example tree for our continuous dataset



## Decision Trees

- Demo
- http://www.cs.technion.ac.il/~rani/LocBoost/


## Regression Trees



Examples of leaf (predictor) models


## Regression Trees



## Decision Forests



Learn many trees \& Average Outputs
Will formally visit this in Bagging lecture

## What you need to know about decision trees

- Decision trees are one of the most popular data mining tools
- Easy to understand
- Easy to implement
- Easy to use
- Computationally cheap (to solve heuristically)
- Information gain to select attributes (ID3, C4.5,...)
- Presented for classification, can be used for regression and density estimation too.
- Decision trees will overfit!!!
- Zero bias classifier $\rightarrow$ Lots of variance
- Must use tricks to find "simple trees", e.g.,
- Fixed depth/Early stopping
- Pruning
- Hypothesis testing

