

Secure Programming

Shell and Environment Flaws

1

Ahmet Burak Can
Hacettepe University

Learning objectives

- Understand how shells interpret commands, launch and provide environments for processes
 - Understand how setuid or LocalSystem scripts and programs are risky
- Understand how environments affect the security of applications
- Understand how configuration issues affect the security of applications

Operations Management and Best Practices

- ▶ **Shells**
- ▶ Environment
- ▶ Configuration
- ▶ Logging
- ▶ Calling External Programs

Shells: Outline

- What is a shell?
- Relative path vulnerabilities and mini-lab
- Substitutions
- Setuid scripts and programs

What is a shell?

- Launches programs, including other shells
- Provides
 - Capabilities to applications
 - A user interface
- Windows Explorer shell
- Replacement Windows shells
 - Geoshell
 - Aston, etc...
 - Norton Desktop for Windows
- UNIX shells
 - bash
 - tcsh, etc...

Capabilities provided by Windows shells

- ▶ Custom url handlers
 - ▶ Clicking on a url "outlook://" starts outlook
 - ▶ Buffer overflow when handling custom urls of improperly removed applications (CVE-2002-0070; MS02-014)
- ▶ UI preferences
 - ▶ Buffer overflow when handling desktop.ini (CVE-2003-0306; MS03-27)
- ▶ Path resolution and handling
 - ▶ Relative shell path vulnerability in Windows 2000 and NT (CVE-2000-0663)
 - ▶ Run Explorer.exe trojan from another user
- ▶ Various means of launching other programs
 - ▶ Buffer overflows (CVE-2002-1327, CVE-2003-0503)

Capabilities of UNIX shells

- ▶ Substitutions
 - ▶ Filename substitution (wildcard expansion, a.k.a. globbing)
 - ▶ Command substitution
 - ▶ bash and tcsh interpret backticks in names (CVE-1999-1383)
 - ▶ Arbitrary command execution in GhostView handling of file names (CVE-2002-1569) (BID 5840)
 - ▶ Several other applications invoke shell capabilities!
- ▶ Environment variables
 - ▶ PATH search variable
- ▶ File system path resolution
- ▶ Launching applications

Relative Path Vulnerabilities

- ▶ Relative paths trigger a search for the actual file. Is it:
 - ▶ In the current directory?
 - ▶ In some other directory specified by the PATH environment variable?
 - ▶ Which one of the above two should be done first?
 - ▶ Insecure default in Windows (see next slide)
- ▶ Common misconfiguration of UNIX accounts
- ▶ Mini-Lab

Windows PATH

- ▶ Different behavior depending on version of Windows
 - ▶ Old behavior: current directory was searched first for DLLs
 - ▶ New behavior: search all system locations first
 - ▶ XP SP1
 - ▶ Windows 2003
 - ▶ More secure, but...
- ▶ The current directory is searched even if "." is not in your PATH, and searched *before* your PATH!
 - ▶ Insecure default
 - ▶ You may not get the DLL (dynamically loaded library) you wanted!

Windows Filename Extensions

- What if you didn't specify the extension?
- The environment variable PATHEXT decides the order (.com, .exe, .bat, .cmd, ...)
- What if PATHEXT is changed by a malicious user, so a trojan would run instead?
- Other ambiguities
 - Trailing dot, slash in filename
 - Long vs short name
- Solution: Use the absolute path and complete name

Shell Mini-Lab (Windows)

- ▶ Open a command prompt
 - ▶ Type "command" within the window you opened. Which shell is running now? Now type "exit"
 - ▶ Type "cd" or "set %CD%". What is the current directory?
 - ▶ Type "set PATH". What is the meaning of the output?
 - ▶ Create a cmd file named "cmd.cmd":
 - ▶ `echo @echo gotcha > cmd.cmd`
 - ▶ Compare the execution of "cmd" and ".\cmd". What is the difference, if any?
 - ▶ Type "set PATH=%PATH%;.". What effect does it have when you run "cmd"?
 - ▶ Create a batch file named "cmd.bat":
 - ▶ `echo @echo hello > cmd.bat`

Shell Mini-Lab (Windows continued)

- ▶ What happens when you run “cmd” now?
 - ▶ How can you change the behavior?
- ▶ Compare the results of running “cmd” with the results of running “%SYSTEMROOT%\system32\cmd”.
- ▶ What kind of path is “%SYSTEMROOT%\system32\cmd”?
- ▶ Type “echo %SYSTEMDRIVE%”
- ▶ Type “cd %SYSTEMROOT%\system32”
- ▶ Compare the results of running “cmd” and “.\cmd”.

Shell Mini-Lab (UNIX)

- ▶ Get into the UNIX shell provided for the class
 - ▶ Type `"/bin/sh"`. Which shell is running now?
 - ▶ Type `"pwd"`. What is the current directory?
 - ▶ Type `"echo $PATH"`. What is the meaning of the output?
 - ▶ Create a script named `"ls"`:
 - ▶ `echo "echo gotcha" > ls`
 - ▶ Allow execution by running `"chmod a+rx ls"`
 - ▶ Compare the execution of `"ls"` and `"./ls"`. Why is the output different?
 - ▶ Type `"PATH=./bin:/sbin:/usr/bin:/usr/sbin"`. What is the effect when you run `"ls"`?

Shell Mini-Lab (UNIX continued)

- ▶ Compare the execution of `ls` with `/bin/ls`.
- ▶ What kind of path is `/bin/ls`?
- ▶ Type `cd /bin`
- ▶ Compare the results of running `ls` and `./ls`.

Question

- ▶ A "relative path" is relative to:
 - a) Your home directory
 - b) The current working directory
 - c) The root (top) directory (e.g. "C:\\" or "/")

Question

- ▶ Why is the PATH environment variable important?
It specifies the order of directories in which a shell looks for a file, when a relative path has been specified
- ▶ Why is the PATHEXT environment variable important?

Question

- ▶ In a UNIX shell, when an application runs `./filename`, which file is run?
 - a) The file of the same name ("filename") in the same directory as the application
 - b) The file of the same name ("filename") in the current working directory of the application
 - c) The file of the same name ("filename") in a directory specified by the PATH environment variable

Question

- ▶ In a Windows shell, when an application runs "filename", which file is run? Choose the best answer.
 - a) The file of the same name ("filename") in a directory specified by the PATH environment variable
 - b) The file of the same name ("filename") in the current working directory of the application
 - c) The first file in the current directory that matches the first extension in the PATHEXT environment variable
 - d) The file of the same name ("filename") in the same directory as the application

Question

- ▶ Which is more secure to run?
 - a) ./filename or .\filename
 - b) filename
 - c) /bin/filename or c:\WINNT\system32\filename.exe
- Comment: Because ./filename refers to the current path, it has a level of indirection that can be exploited.
 - Always specify absolute paths unless impossible.
 - Explicitly set the PATH and any other important environment variables.

Substitutions: Outline

- What are substitutions?
- Vulnerability due to substitutions
- Mini-Lab 2: A shell exploit

What can be substituted?

- ▶ Filename substitutions (wildcards, a.k.a. globbing)
 - ▶ Directory stack substitution
 - ▶ Command substitution
 - ▶ Subshells
 - ▶ Other substitutions
-
- ▶ UNIX Example: `ls /var/*/log*`
 - ▶ `/var/log/boot.log`
 - ▶ `/var/log/prelink.log`
 - ▶ `/var/log/Xorg.0.log`
 - ▶ `/var/run/klogd.pid`
 - ▶ `/var/run/syslogd.pid`

GNU 'bash' prompt parsing vulnerability CVE-1999-0491, BID 119

- ▶ UNIX back-tick (command substitution)
 - ▶ Typing "``command``" on the command line executes the command, even if it should have been an argument for another command
- ▶ Mallory runs: `mkdir "\`command\`"`
 - ▶ Create directory with a command inside back-ticks
- ▶ Alice runs: `cd "\`command\`"`
- ▶ Mallory's command executed by Alice
 - ▶ This could happen when moving around directories with symlinks
- ▶ Code injection due to full shell interpretation of directory name

Basic Concepts of UNIX Access Control: Users, Groups, Files, Processes

- ▶ Each user has a unique UID
- ▶ Users belong to multiple groups
- ▶ Processes are subjects
 - ▶ associated with uid/gid pairs, e.g., (euid, egid), (ruid, rgid), (suid, sgid)
- ▶ Objects are files: each file has the following information
 - ▶ owner
 - ▶ group
 - ▶ 12 permission bits
 - ▶ read/write/execute for user, group, and others,
 - ▶ suid, sgid

Basic Permissions Bits on Files (Non-directories)

- ▶ Read bit controls reading the content of a file
 - ▶ i.e., the read system call
- ▶ Write bit controls changing the content of a file
 - ▶ i.e., the write system call
- ▶ Execute controls loading the file in memory and execute
 - ▶ i.e., the `execv` system call

The Three Sets of Permission Bits

- ▶ UNIX classifies three sets of permission bits for files:
 - ▶ **user, group, other**
- ▶ When a user wants to access a file:
 - ▶ if the user is the owner of a file, then the r/w/x bits for owner apply
 - ▶ otherwise, if the user belongs to the group the file belongs to, then the r/w/x bits for group apply
 - ▶ otherwise, the r/w/x bits for others apply

UNIX Permission Bits for Files

➤ Example:

```
$ ls -l
```

```
-rwxr-xr--+ 2 abc akd 4096 May 3 11:54 a.txt
```

➤ Permissions for a.txt:

- User has r/w/x permissions
- Group has r/x permissions
- Others has r permission

Process User ID Model in Modern UNIX Systems

- ▶ Each process has two user IDs
 - ▶ **real user ID** (ruid): owner of the process
 - ▶ **effective user ID** (euid): user ID which affects the most access control decisions
- ▶ and two group IDs
 - ▶ **real group ID**: original group of the process
 - ▶ **effective group ID**: group ID which affects the most access control decisions

The Need for suid/sgid Bits

- ▶ System integrity requires more than controlling who can write, but also how it is written
- ▶ Some operations are not modeled as files and require user id = 0
 - ▶ halting the system
 - ▶ bind/listen on “privileged ports” (TCP/UDP ports below 1024)
 - ▶ changing password

Mini-Lab 2: UNIX

An exploit to gain shell access

- ▶ Most exploits are aimed at giving an attacker *shell access*
 - ▶ "Execute arbitrary code" usually means starting a shell
- ▶ Next, a back door is installed.
- ▶ **Warning:** your account could get compromised by doing this mini-lab
 - ▶ don't perform the "**chmod**" operations (comment them out)
 - ▶ instructor will demo some student solutions in a throw-away account
 - ▶ *or* don't use a university account
- ▶ In this lab, you will create a back door with a setuid "C" program
 - ▶ setuid scripts are now disabled by default in many OSes

Mini-Lab 2: UNIX Answers

- Create a file named `/tmp/ls` with the following content:

```
cp /bin/sh /tmp/.xxsh
chmod u+s,o+x /tmp/.xxsh
rm ./ls
ls $*
```

- When a victim runs `"ls"` command in `/tmp` directory, what would happen?

Discussion

- ▶ Windows: Services that run as System
 - ▶ Change the account associated with a service ("Log On As" setting), from LocalSystem to a lower privilege account (you need to configure that account carefully, or use LocalService or NetworkService)
- ▶ Sometimes, users can't figure out why their software doesn't work so they make it run with an administrator account, which is even worse!
 - ▶ Principle of psychological acceptability: "This is too hard, so let's open it and grant it all privileges so it works!"

Discussion

- ▶ UNIX: This is mitigated by configuring services to run as "nobody" or separate accounts with limited privileges for each service
- ▶ How can you configure OS services to mitigate the consequences of a vulnerability?
 - ▶ Hint: Apply the principle of least privilege

Question

- ▶ Why is a secure configuration difficult to achieve?
 - a) Operating systems are complex
 - b) Users will break security (if they can) to get their services to run
 - c) There are many services to secure
 - d) All of the above

Environment: Outline

- What is the environment?
- Can you trust the environment?
- Environment pollution attacks

What is the environment?

- ▶ File System
 - ▶ Correct permissions/ACLs on files
 - ▶ Partitions
- ▶ Operating System, sandboxes
- ▶ Services
- ▶ Accounts
 - ▶ Correct account permissions
- ▶ Environment variables
 - ▶ e.g., PATH
- ▶ Other defaults
 - ▶ e.g., umask (for new file default permissions)
- ▶ Logging facilities

Trusting the Environment?

- ▶ As the developer of an application, you (should) know how to secure the environment
- ▶ Configure files with the correct permissions during installation
- ▶ Umask: Create files with correct permissions
- ▶ Environment variables are typically under the control of untrusted users

Environment Pollution

- A program can get values from the environment
- Some variables are used automatically
 - Win32 process hooking, dll injection, Microsoft research detours library
 - UNIX
 - LD_LIBRARY_PATH, LD_PRELOAD
 - Function interception (library interposition)
 - **Before** you get control!
- An attacker can influence environment:
 - For code injection attacks
 - To create buffer overflows
 - To bypass access controls
 - Denial of service (crashes for various reasons)

Example: Comments on PHP Poisoning

- ▶ PHP was designed for power and ease-of-use in CGI programming, *not* security
- ▶ CGI parameters automatically become variables within PHP scripts
 - ▶ Attacker can control logical flow of program
 - ▶ Option turned off by default in new versions of PHP
 - ▶ Used to be ON by default

Example PHP Poisoning

- ▶ PHP: Variables inside the program can be initialized with values supplied by the remote client (register_globals option)!
- ▶

```
<php
if ($username == $allowed && $password == $secret)
    $authorized = "yes";
...
if ($authorized == "yes") {
...
}
?>
```
- ▶ Enter on the address bar of the browser
"url?authorized=yes" to bypass authentication

Configuration: Outline

- Default accounts
- Hard-coded passwords and backdoors

Configuration Best Practice

- ▶ All dangerous configuration options should be turned off by default (SD3 – secure by design, secure by default, secure in deployment)
- ▶ The developer should know what options are dangerous
- ▶ Customer doesn't know any better so the installation and configuration program should provide guarantees
 - ▶ Exceptions should provide warnings

Discussion Sample Answers

- ▶ What can your software do instead of using accounts with default passwords?
 - ▶ Functionality could be blocked until accounts are set properly (with appropriate notices so customer doesn't think that the program or equipment is broken)
 - ▶ If the default account can't be avoided, most functionality could be disabled until the default account is removed by the administrator.

Hard-Coded Passwords

- ▶ Open design security principle
- ▶ Hard-coded passwords are a failure in the application of that principle
 - ▶ revealed password may result in a catastrophic failure
- ▶ OEM requirements and ease-of-use may be at odds with this principle

Calling External Programs

- ▶ Calling paradigms
 - ▶ Shell
 - ▶ Special calls
 - ▶ Custom environment
- ▶ File descriptors

UNIX "system" Call

```
int system(const char *command);
```

- ▶ Program and arguments are interpreted by a shell!
 - ▶ very difficult to model and sanitize
 - ▶ Also available on Windows!
- ▶ Exec calls
 - ▶ `execle` allow the separation of path, arguments, and environment
 - ▶ Fewer risks

```
int execle(const char *path, char *const  
argv[], char *const envp[]);
```

Question

```
int execlp(const char *path, char *const argv[]);
```

```
int execlp(const char *file, const char *arg0, ..., const  
char *argn, (char *)0);
```

```
int execlp(const char *file, char *const argv[]);
```

- ▶ `execlp` and `execlp` search the PATH like a shell does if the specified path is not absolute. Are they:
 - a) As safe as `execv`
 - b) Less safe than `execv`
 - c) More safe than `execv`

Question

➤ Rank the following calls in order of safety (high to low):

➤ `system`

➤ `execle`

➤ `execv`

➤ `execvp`

a) `execv`, `execvp`, `execle`, `system`

b) `execle`, `execv`, `execvp`, `system`

c) `execvp`, `execle`, `execv`, `system`

File Descriptors

- ▶ UNIX: forked and `exec`'ed processes inherit file descriptors
- ▶ Remember the principle of complete mediation
- ▶ Close all unneeded file descriptors (before calling `exec`)