Chapter 9: Virtual-Memory Management



Chapter 9: Virtual-Memory Management

- Background
- Demand Paging
- Copy-on-Write
- Page Replacement
- Allocation of Frames
- Thrashing
- Memory-Mapped Files
- Allocating Kernel Memory
- Other Considerations
- Operating-System Examples





Objectives

- To describe the benefits of a virtual memory system
- To explain the concepts of demand paging, page-replacement algorithms, and allocation of page frames
- To discuss the principle of the working-set model





Background

- Code needs to be in memory to execute, but entire program rarely used
 - Error code, unusual routines, large data structures
- Entire program code not needed at same time
- Consider ability to execute partially-loaded program
 - Program no longer constrained by limits of physical memory
 - Program and programs could be larger than physical memory





Background

- Virtual memory separation of user logical memory from physical memory
 - Only part of the program needs to be in memory for execution
 - Logical address space can therefore be much larger than physical address space
 - Allows address spaces to be shared by several processes
 - Allows for more efficient process creation
 - More programs running concurrently
 - Less I/O needed to load or swap processes
- Virtual memory can be implemented via:
 - Demand paging
 - Demand segmentation





Virtual Memory That is Larger Than Physical Memory



Operating System Concepts Essentials – 9th Edition



Virtual-address Space





Operating System Concepts Essentials – 9th Edition



- Enables sparse address spaces with holes left for growth, dynamically linked libraries, etc
- System libraries shared via mapping into virtual address space
- Shared memory by mapping pages read-write into virtual address space
- Pages can be shared during fork(), speeding process creation



Shared Library Using Virtual Memory







Demand Paging

- Could bring entire process into memory at load time
- Or bring a page into memory only when it is needed
 - Less I/O needed, no unnecessary I/O
 - Less memory needed
 - Faster response
 - More users
- Page is needed \Rightarrow reference to it
 - invalid reference \Rightarrow abort
 - not-in-memory \Rightarrow bring to memory
- Lazy swapper never swaps a page into memory unless page will be needed
 - Swapper that deals with pages is a pager





Transfer of a Paged Memory to Contiguous Disk Space





Valid-Invalid Bit

- With each page table entry a valid–invalid bit is associated (v ⇒ in-memory – memory resident, i ⇒ not-in-memory)
- Initially valid—invalid bit is set to i on all entries
- Example of a page table snapshot:



During address translation, if valid–invalid bit in page table entry is | ⇒ page fault





Page Table When Some Pages Are Not in Main Memory





Operating System Concepts Essentials – 9th Edition



Page Fault

If there is a reference to a page, first reference to that page will trap to operating system:

page fault

- 1. Operating system looks at another table to decide:
 - Invalid reference \Rightarrow abort
 - Just not in memory
- 2. Get empty frame
- 3. Swap page into frame via scheduled disk operation
- Reset tables to indicate page now in memory Set validation bit = v
- 5. Restart the instruction that caused the page fault





Aspects of Demand Paging

- Extreme case start process with *no* pages in memory
 - OS sets instruction pointer to first instruction of process, non-memory-resident -> page fault
 - And for every other process pages on first access
 - Pure demand paging
- Actually, a given instruction could access multiple pages -> multiple page faults
 - Pain decreased because of locality of reference
- Hardware support needed for demand paging
 - Page table with valid / invalid bit
 - Secondary memory (swap device with swap space)
 - Instruction restart







Operating System Concepts Essentials – 9th Edition



Performance of Demand Paging

- Stages in Demand Paging
- 1. Trap to the operating system
- 2. Save the user registers and process state
- 3. Determine that the interrupt was a page fault
- 4. Check that the page reference was legal and determine the location of the page on the disk
- 5. Issue a read from the disk to a free frame:
 - 1. Wait in a queue for this device until the read request is serviced
 - 2. Wait for the device seek and/or latency time
 - 3. Begin the transfer of the page to a free frame
- 6. While waiting, allocate the CPU to some other user
- 7. Receive an interrupt from the disk I/O subsystem (I/O completed)
- 8. Save the registers and process state for the other user
- 9. Determine that the interrupt was from the disk
- 10. Correct the page table and other tables to show page is now in memory
- 11. Wait for the CPU to be allocated to this process again
- 12. Restore the user registers, process state, and new page table, and then resume the interrupted instruction

Performance of Demand Paging (Cont.)

- Page Fault Rate $0 \le p \le 1$
 - if p = 0 no page faults
 - if p = 1, every reference is a fault
- Effective Access Time (EAT)
 - EAT = (1 p) x memory access
 - + *p* (page fault overhead
 - + swap page out
 - + swap page in
 - + restart overhead





Demand Paging Example

- Memory access time = 200 nanoseconds
- Average page-fault service time = 8 milliseconds
- **EAT** = $(1 p) \times 200 + p$ (8 milliseconds)
 - $= (1 p \times 200 + p \times 8,000,000)$
 - = 200 + p x 7,999,800

If one access out of 1,000 causes a page fault, then EAT = 8.2 microseconds. This is a slowdown by a factor of 40!!

- I If want performance degradation < 10 percent
 - 220 > 200 + 7,999,800 x p
 20 > 7,999,800 x p
 - p < .0000025
 - one page fault in every 400,000 memory accesses





- Copy entire process image to swap space at process load time
 - Then page in and out of swap space
 - Used in older BSD Unix
- Demand page in from program binary on disk, but discard rather than paging out when freeing frame
 - Used in Solaris and current BSD





Copy-on-Write

- Copy-on-Write (COW) allows both parent and child processes to initially share the same pages in memory
 - If either process modifies a shared page, only then is the page copied
- COW allows more efficient process creation as only modified pages are copied
- In general, free pages are allocated from a pool of zero-fill-on-demand pages
 - Why zero-out a page before allocating it?
- vfork() variation on fork() system call has parent suspend and child using copy-on-write address space of parent
 - Designed to have child call exec()
 - Very efficient

















What Happens if There is no Free Frame?

- Used up by process pages
- Also in demand from the kernel, I/O buffers, etc
- How much to allocate to each?
- Page replacement find some page in memory, but not really in use, page it out
 - Algorithm terminate? swap out? replace the page?
 - Performance want an algorithm which will result in minimum number of page faults
- Same page may be brought into memory several times





Page Replacement

- Prevent over-allocation of memory by modifying page-fault service routine to include page replacement
- Use modify (dirty) bit to reduce overhead of page transfers only modified pages are written to disk
- Page replacement completes separation between logical memory and physical memory large virtual memory can be provided on a smaller physical memory





Need For Page Replacement







Basic Page Replacement

- 1. Find the location of the desired page on disk
- 2. Find a free frame:
 - If there is a free frame, use it
 - If there is no free frame, use a page replacement algorithm to select a victim frame
 - Write victim frame to disk if dirty
- 3. Bring the desired page into the (newly) free frame; update the page and frame tables
- 4. Continue the process by restarting the instruction that caused the trap

Note now potentially 2 page transfers for page fault – increasing EAT





Page Replacement



Page and Frame Replacement Algorithms

- **Frame-allocation algorithm** determines
 - How many frames to give each process
 - Which frames to replace
- Page-replacement algorithm
 - Want lowest page-fault rate on both first access and re-access
- Evaluate algorithm by running it on a particular string of memory references (reference string) and computing the number of page faults on that string
 - String is just page numbers, not full addresses
 - Repeated access to the same page does not cause a page fault
- In all our examples, the reference string is

7,0,1,2,0,3,0,4,2,3,0,3,0,3,2,1,2,0,1,7,0,1





Graph of Page Faults Versus The Number of Frames







- Reference string: 7,0,1,2,0,3,0,4,2,3,0,3,0,3,2,1,2,0,1,7,0,1
- 3 frames (3 pages can be in memory at a time per process)
- Adding more frames can cause more page faults!
 - Belady's Anomaly
- How to track ages of pages?
 - Just use a FIFO queue





FIFO Page Replacement

reference string



page frames









Optimal Algorithm

- Replace page that will not be used for longest period of time
 - 9 is optimal for the example on the next slide
- How do you know this?
 - Can't read the future
- Used for measuring how well your algorithm performs





Optimal Page Replacement







- Use past knowledge rather than future
- Replace page that has not been used in the most amount of time
- Associate time of last use with each page

reference string



page frames

- 12 faults better than FIFO but worse than OPT
- Generally good algorithm and frequently used
- But how to implement?





LRU Algorithm (Cont.)

- Counter implementation
 - Every page entry has a counter; every time page is referenced through this entry, copy the clock into the counter
 - When a page needs to be changed, look at the counters to find smallest value
 - Search through table needed
- Stack implementation
 - Keep a stack of page numbers in a double link form:
 - Page referenced:
 - move it to the top
 - requires 6 pointers to be changed
 - But each update more expensive
 - No search for replacement
- LRU and OPT are cases of stack algorithms that don't have Belady's Anomaly





Use Of A Stack to Record The Most Recent Page References

reference string





Operating System Concepts Essentials – 9th Edition



LRU Approximation Algorithms

- LRU needs special hardware and still slow
- Reference bit
 - With each page associate a bit, initially = 0
 - When page is referenced bit set to 1
 - Replace any with reference bit = 0 (if one exists)
 - We do not know the order, however
- Second-chance algorithm
 - Generally FIFO, plus hardware-provided reference bit
 - Clock replacement
 - If page to be replaced has
 - Reference bit = 0 -> replace it
 - reference bit = 1 then:
 - set reference bit 0, leave page in memory
 - replace next page, subject to same rules



Second-Chance (clock) Page-Replacement Algorithm





Counting Algorithms

- Keep a counter of the number of references that have been made to each page
 Not common
- **LFU Algorithm:** replaces page with smallest count
- MFU Algorithm: based on the argument that the page with the smallest count was probably just brought in and has yet to be used





Allocation of Frames

- Each process needs *minimum* number of frames
- Example: IBM 370 6 pages to handle SS MOVE instruction:
 - instruction is 6 bytes, might span 2 pages
 - 2 pages to handle from
 - 2 pages to handle to
- Maximum of course is total frames in the system
- Two major allocation schemes
 - fixed allocation
 - priority allocation
- Many variations





Fixed Allocation

- Equal allocation For example, if there are 100 frames (after allocating frames for the OS) and 5 processes, give each process 20 frames
 - Keep some as free frame buffer pool

Proportional allocation – Allocate according to the size of process

• Dynamic as degree of multiprogramming, process sizes change

$$-s_i = \text{size of process } p_i$$
 $m = 64$ $-S = \sum s_i$ $s_1 = 10$ $-m = \text{total number of frames}$ $s_2 = 127$ $-a_i = \text{allocation for } p_i = \frac{s_i}{S} \times m$ $a_1 = \frac{10}{137} \times 64 \approx 5$ $a_2 = \frac{127}{137} \times 64 \approx 59$



Operating System Concepts Essentials – 9th Edition



Priority Allocation

- Use a proportional allocation scheme using priorities rather than size
- If process P_i generates a page fault,
 - select for replacement one of its frames
 - select for replacement a frame from a process with lower priority number





Global vs. Local Allocation

- Global replacement process selects a replacement frame from the set of all frames; one process can take a frame from another
 - But then process execution time can vary greatly
 - But greater throughput so more common
- Local replacement each process selects from only its own set of allocated frames
 - More consistent per-process performance
 - But possibly underutilized memory





Thrashing

- If a process does not have "enough" pages, the page-fault rate is very high
 - Page fault to get page
 - Replace existing frame
 - But quickly need replaced frame back
 - This leads to:
 - Low CPU utilization
 - Operating system thinking that it needs to increase the degree of multiprogramming
 - Another process added to the system
- Thrashing = a process is busy swapping pages in and out





Thrashing (Cont.)







Demand Paging and Thrashing

- Why does demand paging work? Locality model
 - Process migrates from one locality to another
 - Localities may overlap
- Why does thrashing occur?
 Σ size of locality > total memory size
 - Limit effects by using local or priority page replacement









Operating System Concepts Essentials – 9th Edition



Working-Set Model

- $\Delta \equiv$ working-set window \equiv a fixed number of page references Example: 10,000 instructions
- *WSS_i* (working set of Process P_i) = total number of pages referenced in the most recent Δ (varies in time)
 - if Δ too small will not encompass entire locality
 - if Δ too large will encompass several localities
 - if $\Delta = \infty \Rightarrow$ will encompass entire program
- $D = \Sigma WSS_i = \text{total demand frames}$
 - Approximation of locality
- if $D > m \Rightarrow$ Thrashing
- Policy if D > m, then suspend or swap out one of the processes





Working-set model





Operating System Concepts Essentials – 9th Edition

Keeping Track of the Working Set

- Approximate with interval timer + a reference bit
- Example: $\Delta = 10,000$
 - Timer interrupts after every 5000 time units
 - Keep in memory 2 bits for each page
 - Whenever a timer interrupts copy and sets the values of all reference bits to 0
 - If one of the bits in memory = $1 \Rightarrow$ page in working set
- Why is this not completely accurate?
- Improvement = 10 bits and interrupt every 1000 time units





Page-Fault Frequency

- More direct approach than WSS
- Establish "acceptable" page-fault frequency rate and use local replacement policy
 - If actual rate too low, process loses frame
 - If actual rate too high, process gains frame











Memory-Mapped Files

- Memory-mapped file I/O allows file I/O to be treated as routine memory access by mapping a disk block to a page in memory
- A file is initially read using demand paging
 - A page-sized portion of the file is read from the file system into a physical page
 - Subsequent reads/writes to/from the file are treated as ordinary memory accesses
- Simplifies and speeds file access by driving file I/O through memory rather than read() and write() system calls
- Also allows several processes to map the same file allowing the pages in memory to be shared
- But when does written data make it to disk?
 - Periodically and / or at file close() time
 - For example, when the pager scans for dirty pages



Memory-Mapped File Technique for all I/O

- Some OSes uses memory mapped files for standard I/O
- Process can explicitly request memory mapping a file via mmap() system call
 - Now file mapped into process address space
- For standard I/O (open(), read(), write(), close()), mmap anyway
 - But map file into kernel address space
 - Process still does read() and write()
 - Copies data to and from kernel space and user space
 - Uses efficient memory management subsystem
 - Avoids needing separate subsystem
- COW can be used for read/write non-shared pages
- Memory mapped files can be used for shared memory (although again via separate system calls)





Memory Mapped Files







Memory-Mapped Shared Memory in Windows



