TOR : THE SECOND GENERATION ONION ROUTER

Özlem Kahraman ER

What is Onion Routing?

- Creates a random route from source to destination
- Each router is only aware of it's adjacent hops
- The route through the "onion field" is determined by the client
- Data is encrypted, including next and previous hop info (header)

What is Tor?

- Second Generation Onion Routing Network
- Provides a client / proxy for interfacing with Onion Routers
- Speaks SOCKS to the local operating system
- Speaks TLS to the Onions Routers

Design Goals and Assumptions-1

• Goals

- Deployability conformant for real word use
- Usability more usable , more users , more anonymity , no platform change needed
- Flexiblity- is a base for future desing
- Simple desing

*Main goal is to frustrate attackers from linking communicating partners.

Design Goals and Assumptions-2

- Non Goals
 - Not peer-to-peer
 - Thousends of short lived servers, many controlled by adversary
 - Not secure against end-to-end attack
 - Connection between OP and entry node is the weak point

The TOR Design 1



The Tor Desing(cells and used keys)

2 1			509 bytes				
CircI	D	CMD	DATA				
2		1	2	6	2	1	498
CircI	D	Relay	StreamID	Digest	Len	CMD	DATA

• Cells

- 512 byte cells
- Command types:control ,relay
 - control cell types:padding ,create-created , destroy
 - Relay cell types: relay begin, relay connected, relay extend , relay extended, relay data, relay end , relay truncate , relay
- 1-create cell to construct circuit

2- relay cell

Sign digest + (header-payload) encyrpted with shared Diffie Hellman key

Onion routers (OR)

- Maintains TLS connection with each node
 - long term identity key
 - Router discription , directoryies.
 - Short term
 - Onion key (the private key for Public key cryptography) in TLS .
 - Shared secret key with other ORs(Diffie Hellman handshake) shared by TLS .

The Tor Desing(constructing a circuit)



Tor Features

- Congestion Control
- Directory Servers
- Integrity Checking
- Configurable Exit Policies
- Perfect forward Secrecy
- Location-Hidden services, "Rendezvous Points"

Congestion Control

- Enough user choose the same OR1-OR2 connection for their circuits.
 - Methods:

1- circuit level throttling

*OR keeps two window:

packaging window , delivery window

*if packaging window = 0 then wait relay sendme cell 2-stream level throttling

packaging window , delivery window

*if pending bytes > 10 send relay sendme cell , not after every enough data.

Directory Servers

- In Original Onion Routing each router floods its state to network periodically.
 - Because of delays Directory Servers are not syncron at a time. This helps attacker
- TOR uses trusthworthy routes as directory servers.
 - DS signs the directory , OR sends signed statement and download the directory periodically.
 - OR who has invalid key are not in directory
- Variety of attacks remain
 - Attacker can control DS.
 - Gives only nodes he controls,
 - Differences between DS.

Directory Servers Assumptions

- All participants aggree on the set of Directory Servers
- Needs a threshold consensus of the current state of the network.
- When a consensus directory cannot be reached then human administration is needed.

Integrity Checking on streams

- Any integrity checking in Original Onion Routing
- TOR uses TLS , public key private key cryptography together and attacker cant modify data.
- Integrity is checked at the edges.Only exit node can control the digest.

- 1. Server Bob creates onion routes to Introduction Points (IP)
- 2. Bob gets Service Descriptor incl. Intro Pt. addresses to Alice
 - In this example gives them to Service Lookup Server



2'. Alice obtains Service Descriptor (including Intro Pt. address) at Lookup Server



- 3. Client Alice creates onion route to Rendezvous Point (RP)
- 4. Alice sends RP addr. and any authorization through IP to Bob



If Bob chooses to talk to Alice, connects to Rendezvous Point
Rendezvous point mates the circuits from Alice and Bob



Attacks on TOR

- Traffic analysis attacks
- Compromise keys (perfect secrecy)
- Run on onion proxy
- Replace contents of unouthenticated protocols
 - Don't use HTTP
- Run a hostile OR
 - Make itself trustworhty to a Directory Server
- Destroy directory servers
- Make many interaction nodes as a Rendezvous Point
 - Defence:Filtering in Introduction Points
- Disrupt an introduction point
 - New introduction point will be published and Introduction points published only for trusthworthy clients.
- Compromise an introduction point
 - Flood interaction requests to bob
 - Bob recognise a flood and close the related circuit.

Open questions

- What would be period of refreshing the circuits.
- What would be the hop count in a circuit.
- Is random path length is neccesary.
- Hydra topology could be used.
 - Many inputs and few exit nodes.

Future Directions

- Bandwith
 - ORs have good bandwith and latency,
 - ORs can advertise their bandwith and selecting nodes could be done according to this info.
- Incentives(teşvik)
 - Reward users with better anonymity, more nodes means more anonymity.
- Better directory distribution
 - Entire network state downloaded every 15 minutes. Only updates could be downloaded.
- Caching at exit nodes
 - exit nodes should run a caching proxy forward secrecy is weakened
- Wider-scale deployment
 - Having more users , evaluation of design principles will be more realistic(robustness latency tradeoff , abuse preventation)