illustration: detail from xkcd strip #2048

AIN311
## Fundamentals of Machine Learning

Lecture 4:
Linear Regression,
Optimization,
Generalization,
Model complexity,
Regularization

Erkut Erdem // Hacettepe University // Fall 2024

Recall from last time… **Kernel Regression**

Here, this is the closest

Here, this is the closest

Here, this is the closest

Here, this is the closest

Here, this is the closest

V

x

1-NN for Regression

$$h(\vec{x}') = \frac{\sum_{i \in knn(\vec{x}')} y_i K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}')}{\sum_{i \in knn(\vec{x}')} K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}')}$$

Weighted K-NN for Regression

$p = 2^{-2}$ = 0.25

$p = 2^{-1.5}$ = 0.354

$p = 2^{-1}$ = 0.5

$p = 2^{-0.5}$ = 0.707

$p = 2^{0}$ = 1

$p = 2^{0.5}$ = 1.414

$p = 2^{1}$ = 2

$p = 2^{1.5}$ = 2.828

$p = 2^{2}$ = 4

$p = 2^{\infty}$ = ∞

$$D = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

Distance metrics

Gaussian Kernel - noisyLinear, c = 0.5

Gaussian Kernel - noisyLinear, c = 2.0

$w_i = exp(-d(x_i, query)^2 / \sigma^2)$

Kernel width

Linear Regression

Simple 1-D Regression

- Circles are data points (i.e., training examples) that are given to us
- The data points are uniform in x, but may be displaced in y

$$t(x) = f(x) + \varepsilon$$

  with ε some noise

- In green is the "true" curve that we don't know

- Goal: We want to fit a curve to these points

slide by Sanja Fidler

4

Simple 1-D Regression

- **Key Questions:**

  - How do we parametrize the **model** (the curve)?

  - What **loss (objective) function** should we use to judge fit?

  - How do we optimize fit to unseen test data **(generalization)**?

Example: Boston House Prizes

- Estimate median house price in a neighborhood based on neighborhood statistics

- Look at first (of 13) attributes: per capita crime rate

- Use this to predict house prices in other neighborhoods

- Is this a **good input (attribute) to predict** house prices?

https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Housing 6

Represent the data

- Data described as pairs $D = \{(x_{(1)}, t_{(1)}), (x_{(2)}, t_{(2)}), ..., (x_{(N)}, t_{(N)})\}$
  - x is the **input feature** (per capita crime rate)
  - t is the **target output** (median house price)
  - (i) simply indicates the training examples (we have N in this case)

- Here t is continuous, so this is a **regression problem**

- Model outputs y, an estimate of t

$$y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$$

- What type of **model** did we choose?

- Divide the dataset into training and testing examples
  - Use the training examples to construct hypothesis, or function approximator, that maps x to predicted y
  - Evaluate hypothesis on test set

Noise

- A simple model typically does not exactly fit the data — lack of fit can be considered noise

- **Sources of noise:**
  - Imprecision in data attributes (input noise, e.g. noise in per-capita crime)
  - Errors in data targets (mislabeling, e.g. noise in house prices)
  - Additional attributes not taken into account by data attributes, affect target values (latent variables). In the example, what else could affect house prices?
 - Model may be too simple to account for data targets

Least-Squares Regression


$$y(x) = \mathrm{function}(x, \mathbf{w})$$

Least-Squares Regression

- Define a model

  Linear: $y(x) = \mathrm{function}(x, \mathbf{w})$

Least-Squares Regression

Linear Regression

- Define a model

Linear: $y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$

Least-Squares Regression

- Define a model

$$\text{Linear:} \quad y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$$

- Standard loss/cost/objective function measures the squared error between y and the true value t

$$\ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[t^{(n)} - y(x^{(n)}) \right]^2$$

12

Least-Squares Regression

- Define a model

$$\text{Linear:} \quad y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$$

- Standard loss/cost/objective function measures the squared error between y and the true value t

$$\text{Linear model:} \quad \ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[t^{(n)} - (w_0 + w_1 x^{(n)}) \right]^2$$

13

Least-Squares Regression

- Define a model

$$\text{Linear:} \quad y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$$

- Standard loss/cost/objective function measures the squared error between y and the true value t

$$\text{Linear model:} \quad \ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[t^{(n)} - (w_0 + w_1 x^{(n)}) \right]^2$$

- For a particular hypothesis ($y(x)$ defined by a choice of $\mathbf{w}$, drawn in red), what does the loss represent geometrically?

14

# Least-Squares Regression

- Define a model

$$\text{Linear:} \qquad y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$$

- Standard loss/cost/objective function measures the squared error between y and the true value t

$$\text{Linear model:} \quad \ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[t^{(n)} - (w_0 + w_1 x^{(n)}) \right]^2$$

- The loss for the red hypothesis is the **sum of the squared vertical errors** (squared lengths of green vertical lines)

15

Least-Squares Regression

- Define a model

$$\text{Linear:} \quad y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$$

- Standard loss/cost/objective function measures the squared error between y and the true value t

$$\text{Linear model:} \quad \ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[t^{(n)} - (w_0 + w_1 x^{(n)}) \right]^2$$

- How do we obtain weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1)$?

# Least-Squares Regression

- Define a model

$$\text{Linear:} \qquad y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$$

- Standard loss/cost/objective function measures the squared error between y and the true value t

$$\text{Linear model:} \quad \ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[t^{(n)} - (w_0 + w_1 x^{(n)}) \right]^2$$

- How do we obtain weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1)$? Find $\mathbf{w}$ that minimizes loss $\ell(\mathbf{w})$

17

Optimizing the Objective

- One straightforward method: gradient descent

  – initialize $\mathbf{w}$ (e.g., randomly)

  – repeatedly update $\mathbf{w}$ based on the gradient

  $$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \lambda \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

- λ is the learning rate

- For a single training case, this gives the LMS update rule:

  $$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + 2\lambda \underbrace{\left(t^{(n)} - y(x^{(n)}) \right)}_{\text{error}} x^{(n)}$$

- Note: As error approaches zero, so does the update ($\mathbf{w}$ stops changing)

Optimizing the Objective

Optimizing the Objective

Effect of learning rate λ

$\ell(\mathbf{w})$

$\mathbf{w}^0$

$\ell(\mathbf{w})$

$\mathbf{w}^0$

- Large λ => Fast convergence but larger residual error
  Also possible oscillations

- Small λ => Slow convergence but small residual error

Optimizing Across Training Set

- Two ways to generalize this for all examples in training set:

  1. **Batch updates:** sum or average updates across every example n, then change the parameter values

  $$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + 2\lambda \left(t^{(n)} - y(x^{(n)}) \right) x^{(n)}$$

  2. **Stochastic/online updates:** update the parameters for each training case in turn, according to its own gradients

---
Algorithm 1 Stochastic gradient descent

1: Randomly shuffle examples in the training set
2: **for** $i = 1$ to N **do**
3:     Update:

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + 2\lambda(t^{(i)} - y(x^{(i)}))x^{(i)} \qquad \text{(update for a linear model)}$$

4: **end for**

Optimizing Across Training Set

- Two ways to generalize this for all examples in training set:

  1. **Batch updates:** sum or average updates across every example n, then change the parameter values

  $$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + 2\lambda \left(t^{(n)} - y(x^{(n)}) \right) x^{(n)}$$

  2. **Stochastic/online updates:** update the parameters for each training case in turn, according to its own gradients

- Underlying assumption: sample is independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.)

Analytical Solution

- For some objectives we can also find the optimal solution analytically

- This is the case for linear least-squares regression

- How?

Vectorization

- Consider our model:

$$y(x) = w_0 + w_1 x$$

- Let

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{x}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \end{bmatrix}$$

- Can write the model in vectorized form as

$$y(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

Vectorization

- Consider our model with N instances: $\mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$

$$\mathbf{t} = \left[t^{(1)}, t^{(2)}, \dots, t^{(N)} \right]^T$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1, x^{(1)} \\ 1, x^{(2)} \\ \dots \\ 1, x^{(N)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbb{R}^{N \times 2}$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1}$$

- Then:

$$\ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(n)} - t^{(n)} \right]^2$$

$$= \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t})^T}_{\mathbb{R}^{1 \times N}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t})}_{\mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}}$$

26

Analytical Solution

- Instead of using GD, solve for optimal $\mathbf{w}$ analytically

  - Notice the solution is when $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \ell(\mathbf{w}) = 0$

- Derivation:

1x1

$$\ell(\mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t})^T(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t})$$
$$= \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \boxed{\mathbf{t}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}} - \boxed{\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{t}^T\mathbf{t}$$
$$= \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{t}^T\mathbf{t}$$

  - Take derivative and set equal to 0, then solve for

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}}\left(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{t} + \cancel{\mathbf{t}^T\mathbf{t}}\right) = 0$$
$$\left(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\right)\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{t} = 0$$
$$\left(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\right)\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{t}$$

Closed Form Solution: $\quad \mathbf{w} = \left(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{t}$

If $X^\mathsf{T}X$ is not invertible (i.e., singular), may need to:
- Use pseudo-inverse instead of the inverse
  - In Python, `numpy.linalg.pinv(a)`
- Remove redundant (not linearly independent) features
- Remove extra features to ensure that $d \leq N$

Multi-dimensional Inputs

- One method of extending the model is to consider other input dimensions

$$y(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2$$

- In the Boston housing example, we can look at the number of rooms

28

Linear Regression with Multi-dimensional Inputs

- Imagine now we want to predict the median house price from these multi-dimensional observations

- Each house is a data point n, with observations indexed by j:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = \left(x_1^{(n)}, \ldots, x_j^{(n)}, \ldots, x_d^{(n)} \right)$$

- We can incorporate the bias w_0 into $\mathbf{w}$, by using $x_0 = 1$, then

$$y(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_j x_j = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

- We can then solve for $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_d)$. How?

- We can use gradient descent to solve for each coefficient, or compute $\mathbf{w}$ analytically (how does the solution change?)

recall: $\mathbf{w} = \left(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{t}$

More Powerful Models?

- What if our linear model is not good? How can we create a more complicated model?

Fitting a Polynomial

- What if our linear model is not good? How can we create a more complicated model?

- We can create a more complicated model by defining input variables that are combinations of components of $\mathbf{x}$

- Example: an *M*-th order polynomial function of one dimensional feature x:

$$y(x, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j x^j$$

where x_j is the *j*-th power of x

- We can use the same approach to optimize for the weights $\mathbf{w}$

- How do we do that?

Some types of basis functions in 1-D

Sigmoids   Gaussians   Polynomials

$$\phi_j(x) = \sigma\left(\frac{x - \mu_j}{s}\right) \qquad \phi_j(x) = \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - \mu_j)^2}{2s^2}\right\}$$

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}$$

Two types of linear model that are equivalent with respect to learning

bias

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + ... = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + w_1 \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) + w_2 \phi_2(\mathbf{x}) + ... = \mathbf{w}^T \Phi(\mathbf{x})$$

- The first model has the same number of adaptive coefficients as the dimensionality of the data +1.

- The second model has the same number of adaptive coefficients as the number of basis functions +1.

- Once we have replaced the data by the outputs of the basis functions, fitting the second model is exactly the same problem as fitting the first model (unless we use the kernel trick)

General linear regression problem

- Using our new notations for the basis function linear regression can be written as

$$y = \sum_{j=0}^{n} w_j \phi_j(x)$$

  where $\phi_j(x)$ can be either x_j for multivariate regression or one of the nonlinear basis we defined

- Once again we can use "least squares" to find the optimal solution.

LMS for the general linear regression problem

$$y = \sum_{j=0}^{n} w_j \phi_j(x)$$

Our goal is to minimize the following loss function:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i} (y^i - \sum_{j} w_j \phi_j(x^i))^2$$

w – vector of dimension k+1

$\phi(x^i)$ – vector of dimension k+1

y^i – a scaler

Moving to vector notations we get:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i} (y^i - \mathbf{w}^T \phi(x^i))^2$$

We take the derivative w.r.t **w**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \sum_{i} (y^i - \mathbf{w}^T \phi(x^i))^2 = 2 \sum_{i} (y^i - \mathbf{w}^T \phi(x^i)) \phi(x^i)^T$$

Equating to 0 we get
$$2 \sum_{i} (y^i - \mathbf{w}^T \phi(x^i)) \phi(x^i)^T = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_{i} y^i \phi(x^i)^T = \mathbf{w}^T \left[\sum_{i} \phi(x^i) \phi(x^i)^T \right]$$

35

LMS for the general linear regression problem

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i (y^i - \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \phi(x^i))^2$$

We take the derivative w.r.t **w**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \sum_i (y^i - \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \phi(x^i))^2 = 2\sum_i (y^i - \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \phi(x^i))\phi(x^i)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

Equating to 0 we get

$$2\sum_i (y^i - \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \phi(x^i))\phi(x^i)^{\mathrm{T}} = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_i y^i \phi(x^i)^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\sum_i \phi(x^i)\phi(x^i)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$$

Define:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0(x^1) & \phi_1(x^1) & \cdots & \phi_m(x^1) \\ \phi_0(x^2) & \phi_1(x^2) & \cdots & \phi_m(x^2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \phi_0(x^n) & \phi_1(x^n) & \cdots & \phi_m(x^n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then deriving w we get:

$$\mathbf{w} = (\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{y}$$

LMS for the general linear regression problem

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i (y^i - \mathbf{w}^\mathrm{T} \phi(x^i))^2$$

Deriving w we get: $\mathbf{w} = (\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^T \mathbf{y}$

k+1 entries vector

n by k+1 matrix

n entries vector

This solution is also known as 'psuedo inverse'

# 0th order polynomial

1ˢᵗ order polynomial

3rd order polynomial

9th order polynomial

41

Which Fit is Best?

from Bishop

slide by Sanja Fidler

42

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n\}^2$$

$$E_{\mathrm{RMS}} = \sqrt{2E(\mathbf{w}^\star)/N}$$

The division by N allows us to compare different sizes of data sets on an equal footing, and the square root ensures that E_{RMS} is measured on the same scale (and in the same units) as the target variable t

Root Mean Square (RMS) Error

Root-Mean-Square (RMS) Error: $E_{\mathrm{RMS}} = \sqrt{2E(\mathbf{w}^\star)/N}$

$$E(w) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(t_n - \phi(x_n)^T w)^2 = \frac{1}{2}||t - \Phi w||^2$$

Generalization

- **Generalization** = model's ability to predict the held out data
- What is happening?
- Our model with M = 9 **overfits** the data (it models also noise)

Generalization

- **Generalization** = model's ability to predict the held out data
- What is happening?
- Our model with M = 9 **overfits** the data (it models also noise)
- Not a problem if we have lots of training examples

Generalization

- **Generalization** = model's ability to predict the held out data

- What is happening?

- Our model with M = 9 **overfits** the data (it models also noise)

- Let's look at the estimated weights for various M in the case of fewer examples

	$M = 0$	$M = 1$	$M = 6$	$M = 9$
$w_0^\star$	0.19	0.82	0.31	0.35
$w_1^\star$		-1.27	7.99	232.37
$w_2^\star$			-25.43	-5321.83
$w_3^\star$			17.37	48568.31
$w_4^\star$				-231639.30
$w_5^\star$				640042.26
$w_6^\star$				-1061800.52
$w_7^\star$				1042400.18
$w_8^\star$				-557682.99
$w_9^\star$				125201.43

1-D regression illustrates key concepts

- Data fits – is linear model best (**model selection**)?

  - Simplest models do not capture all the important variations (signal) in the data: **underfit**

  - More complex model may **overfit** the training data (fit not only the signal but also the **noise** in the data), especially if not enough data to constrain model

- One method of assessing fit:

  - test **generalization** = model's ability to predict the held out data

- Optimization is essential: stochastic and batch iterative approaches; analytic when available

48

Generalization

- Generalization = model's ability to predict the held out data

- What is happening?

- Our model with M = 9 overfits the data (it models also noise)

- Let's look at the estimated weights for various M in the case of fewer examples

- The weights are becoming huge to compensate for the noise

- One way of dealing with this is to encourage the weights to be small (this way no input dimension will have too much influence on prediction). This is called regularization.

Rega...

- A technique to control the overfitting phenomenon

- Add a penalty term to the error function in order to discourage the coefficients from reaching large values

$$E_D(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda E_W(\mathbf{w}) \qquad \lambda > 0$$

Ridge regression

$$\widetilde{E}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n\}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{t_n - \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)\}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{w}$$

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \equiv \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{w} = w_0^2 + w_1^2 + \ldots + w_M^2$$

which is minimized by

$$\mathbf{w} = \left(\lambda \mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{t}.$$

The effect of regularization

$\ln \lambda = -18$

$\ln \lambda = 0$

$M = 9$

51

The effect of regularization

	$\ln \lambda = -\infty$	$\ln \lambda = -18$	$\ln \lambda = 0$
$w_0^\star$	0.35	0.35	0.13
$w_1^\star$	232.37	4.74	-0.05
$w_2^\star$	-5321.83	-0.77	-0.06
$w_3^\star$	48568.31	-31.97	-0.05
$w_4^\star$	-231639.30	-3.89	-0.03
$w_5^\star$	640042.26	55.28	-0.02
$w_6^\star$	-1061800.52	41.32	-0.01
$w_7^\star$	1042400.18	-45.95	-0.00
$w_8^\star$	-557682.99	-91.53	0.00
$w_9^\star$	125201.43	72.68	0.01

The corresponding coefficients from the fitted polynomials, showing that regularization has the desired effect of reducing the magnitude of the coefficients.

A more general regularizer

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\{t_n - \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\phi(\mathbf{x}_n)\}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{M}|w_j|^q$$

$q = 0.5$ $q = 1$ $q = 2$ $q = 4$

1-D regression illustrates key concepts

- Data fits – is linear model best (**model selection**)?

  – Simplest models do not capture all the important variations (signal) in the data: **underfit**

  – More complex model may **overfit** the training data (fit not only the signal but also the **noise** in the data), especially if not enough data to constrain model

- One method of assessing fit:

  – test **generalization** = model's ability to predict the held out data

- Optimization is essential: stochastic and batch iterative approaches; analytic when available

Next Lecture:
Machine Learning Methodology