BIL 717
Image Processing
Mar. 18, 2015

Modern Image Smoothing

Erkut Erdem
Hacettepe University
Computer Vision Lab (HUCVL)

Review - Smoothing and Edge Detection

- While eliminating noise via smoothing, we also lose some of the (important) image details.
  - Fine details
  - Image edges
  - etc.
- What can we do to preserve such details?
  - Use edge information during denoising!
  - This requires a definition for image edges.

Chicken-and-egg dilemma!

- Edge preserving image smoothing

Today

- Bilateral filtering
- Non-local means denoising
- LARK filter

Today

- Bilateral filtering
- Non-local means denoising
- LARK filter

Acknowledgement: The slides are adapted from the course “A Gentle Introduction to Bilateral Filtering and its Applications” given by Sylvain Paris, Pierre Kornprobst, Jack Tumblin, and Frédo Durand (http://people.csail.mit.edu/sparis/bf_course/).

Notation and Definitions



- Image = 2D array of pixels
- Pixel = intensity (scalar) or color (3D vector)
- $I_{\mathbf{p}}$ = value of image I at position: $\mathbf{p} = (p_x, p_y)$
- $F[I]$ = output of filter F applied to image I

Strategy for Smoothing Images

- Images are not smooth because adjacent pixels are different.
- Smoothing = making adjacent pixels look more similar.
- Smoothing strategy
  pixel ~ average of its neighbors

Box Average



Equation of Box Average

$$BA[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} B_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

- $BA[I]_{\mathbf{p}}$: result at pixel $\mathbf{p}$
- $\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S}$: sum over all pixels $\mathbf{q}$
- $B_{\sigma}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})$: normalized box function
- $I_{\mathbf{q}}$: intensity at pixel $\mathbf{q}$



Square Box Generates Defects

- Axis-aligned streaks
- Blocky results

input

output

Strategy to Solve these Problems

- Use an isotropic (*i.e.* circular) window.
- Use a window with a smooth falloff.



box window



Gaussian window

Gaussian Blur



Equation of Gaussian Blur

Same idea: **weighted average of pixels**.

$$GB[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}\in S} G_{\sigma}(\| \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q} \|) I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

normalized
Gaussian function



Spatial Parameter

input

$$GB[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}\in S} G_{\sigma}(\| \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q} \|) I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

size of the window



small σ



large σ

limited smoothing

strong smoothing

How to set σ

- Depends on the application.
- Common strategy: proportional to image size
  - e.g. 2% of the image diagonal
  - property: independent of image resolution

Properties of Gaussian Blur

- Weights independent of spatial location
  - linear convolution
  - well-known operation
  - efficient computation (recursive algorithm, FFT…)

Properties of Gaussian Blur

- Does smooth images
- But smoothes too much: **edges are blurred.**
  - Only spatial distance matters
  - No edge term

$$GB[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma}(\| \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q} \|) I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

space



Blur Comes from Averaging across Edges



Same Gaussian kernel everywhere.

Bilateral Filter [Aurich 95, Smith 97, Tomasi 98]
No Averaging across Edges



The kernel shape depends on the image content.

Bilateral Filter Definition: an Additional Edge Term

Same idea: **weighted average of pixels**.

$$BF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)\, G_{\sigma_r}(|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}|)\, I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

new — not new — new

normalization factor

space weight



range weight



Illustration a 1D Image

- 1D image = line of pixels

- Better visualized as a plot



Gaussian Blur and Bilateral Filter

Gaussian blur



Bilateral filter

[Aurich 95, Smith 97, Tomasi 98]



$$GB[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)\, I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

space

$$BF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)\, G_{\sigma_r}(|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}|)\, I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

normalization — space — range

Bilateral Filter on a Height Field

$$BF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)\, G_{\sigma_r}(|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}|)\, I_{\mathbf{q}}$$



Space and Range Parameters

$$BF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)\, G_{\sigma_r}(|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}|)\, I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

- space σ_s : spatial extent of the kernel, size of the considered neighborhood.
- range σ_r : "minimum" amplitude of an edge

Influence of Pixels

Only pixels close in space and in range are considered.



Exploring the Parameter Space




Varying the Range Parameter
input
$\sigma_r = 0.1$
$\sigma_r = 0.25$
$\sigma_r = \infty$
(Gaussian blur)
$\sigma_s = 2$
$\sigma_s = 6$
$\sigma_s = 18$


input


$\sigma_r = 0.1$


$\sigma_r = 0.25$


$\sigma_r = \infty$
(Gaussian blur)


Varying the Space Parameter
input
$\sigma_r = 0.1$
$\sigma_r = 0.25$
$\sigma_r = \infty$
(Gaussian blur)
$\sigma_s = 2$
$\sigma_s = 6$
$\sigma_s = 18$


input


$\sigma_s = 2$

How to Set the Parameters

Depends on the application. For instance:

- space parameter: proportional to image size
  - e.g., 2% of image diagonal
- range parameter: proportional to edge amplitude
  - e.g., mean or median of image gradients
- independent of resolution and exposure

Bilateral Filter Crosses Thin Lines

- Bilateral filter averages across features thinner than ~$2\sigma_s$
- Desirable for smoothing: more pixels = more robust
- Different from diffusion that stops at thin lines



Iterating the Bilateral Filter

$$I_{(n+1)} = BF[I_{(n)}]$$

- Generate more piecewise-flat images
- Often not needed in computational photo.



Bilateral Filtering Color Images

For gray-level images

$$BF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)\, G_{\sigma_r}\left(|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}|\right) I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

intensity difference

$I_{\mathbf{q}}$: scalar

For color images

$$BF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)\, G_{\sigma_r}\left(\|\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{p}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{q}}\|\right) \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{q}}$$

color difference

$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{q}}$: 3D vector (RGB, Lab)



Hard to Compute

- Nonlinear $BF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) G_{\sigma_r}\left(|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}|\right) I_{\mathbf{q}}$
- Complex, spatially varying kernels
  - Cannot be precomputed, no FFT…

- Brute-force implementation is slow > 10min

Additional Reading: *S. Paris and F. Durand, A Fast Approximation of the Bilateral Filter using a Signal Processing Approach, In Proc. ECCV, 2006*

Basic denoising

Noisy input

Bilateral filter 7x7 window

Basic denoising

Bilateral filter



Median 3x3

Basic denoising

Bilateral filter



Median 5x5

Basic denoising

Bilateral filter



Bilateral filter – lower sigma



Basic denoising

Bilateral filter

Bilateral filter – higher sigma

Denoising

- Small spatial sigma (e.g. 7x7 window)
- Adapt range sigma to noise level
- Maybe not best denoising method, but best simplicity/quality tradeoff
  - No need for acceleration (small kernel)
  - But the denoising feature in e.g. Photoshop is better



Goal: Understand how does bilateral filter relates with other methods



Today

- Bilateral filtering
- Non-local means denoising
- LARK filter

Acknowledgement: The slides are adapted from the course "A Gentle Introduction to Bilateral Filtering and its Applications" given by Sylvain Paris, Pierre Kornprobst, Jack Tumblin, and Frédo Durand (http://people.csail.mit.edu/sparis/bf_course/).

New Idea: NL-Means Filter (Buades 2005)

- Same goals: 'Smooth within Similar Regions'
- KEY INSIGHT: Generalize, extend 'Similarity'
  - Bilateral:
    Averages neighbors with similar intensities;
  - NL-Means:
    Averages neighbors with similar neighborhoods!

NL-Means Method:
Buades (2005)

- For each and every pixel **p:**

NL-Means Method:
Buades (2005)



- For each and every pixel **p:**
  - Define a small, simple fixed size neighborhood;

NL-Means Method:
Buades (2005)

$$\mathbf{v}_p = \begin{bmatrix} 0.74 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.41 \\ 0.55 \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

- For each and every pixel **p:**
  - Define a small, simple fixed size neighborhood;
  - Define vector $\mathbf{v}_p$: a list of neighboring pixel values.

NL-Means Method:
Buades (2005)

'Similar' pixels p, q
→ SMALL
vector distance;

$$|| \mathbf{v}_p - \mathbf{v}_q ||^2$$



NL-Means Method:
Buades (2005)

'Dissimilar' pixels p, q
→ LARGE
vector distance;

$|| v_p - v_q ||^2$



NL-Means Method:
Buades (2005)

'Dissimilar' pixels p, q
→ LARGE
vector distance;

$|| v_p - v_q ||^2$

Filter with this!



NL-Means Method:
Buades (2005)

p, q neighbors define
a vector distance;

$|| v_p - v_q ||^2$

Filter with this:
No spatial term!

$$NLMF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_s}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) G_{\sigma_r}(\|\vec{V}_{\mathbf{p}} - \vec{V}_{\mathbf{q}}\|^2) I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

NL-Means Method:
Buades (2005)

pixels p, q neighbors
Set a vector distance;

$|| v_p - v_q ||^2$

Vector Distance to p sets
weight for each pixel q

$$NLMF[I]_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_r}(\|\vec{V}_{\mathbf{p}} - \vec{V}_{\mathbf{q}}\|^2) I_{\mathbf{q}}$$

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)



Figure 2. Display of the NL-means weight distribution used to estimate the central pixel of every image. The weights go from 1(white) to zero(black).

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)



FIG. 9. *NL-means denoising experiment with a natural image. Left: Noisy image with standard deviation* 20. *Right: Restored image.*

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

- Noisy source image:

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

- Gaussian Filter

Low noise,
Low detail

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

- Anisotropic Diffusion

(Note 'stairsteps': ~ piecewise constant)

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

- Bilateral Filter

(better, but similar 'stairsteps':

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

- NL-Means:

Sharp,
Low noise,
Few artifacts.

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)



Figure 4. Method noise experience on a natural image. Displaying of the image difference $u - D_h(u)$. From left to right and from top to bottom: original image, Gauss filtering, anisotropic filtering, Total variation minimization, Neighborhood filtering and NL-means algorithm. The visual experiments corroborate the formulas of section 2.

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

http://www.ipol.im/pub/algo/bcm_non_local_means_denoising/

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

original

http://www.ipol.im/pub/algo/bcm_non_local_means_denoising/

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

noisy

http://www.ipol.im/pub/algo/bcm_non_local_means_denoising/

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

denoised

http://www.ipol.im/pub/algo/bcm_non_local_means_denoising/

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

original

http://www.ipol.im/pub/algo/bcm_non_local_means_denoising/

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

noisy

http://www.ipol.im/pub/algo/bcm_non_local_means_denoising/

NL-Means Method: Buades (2005)

denoised

http://www.ipol.im/pub/algo/bcm_non_local_means_denoising/

Today

- Bilateral filtering
- Non-local means denoising
- LARK filter

Acknowledgement: The slides are adapted from the ones prepared by P. Milanfar.

From pixels to patches and to images



Similarities can be defined at different scales..

Pixelwise similarity metrics

- To measure the similarity of two pixels, we can consider
  - Spatial distance
  - Gray-level distance



Euclidean metrics

- Natural ways to incorporate the two Δs:
  - Bilateral Kernel [Tomasi, Manduchi, '98] (pixelwise)
  - Non-Local Means Kernel [Buades, et al. '05] (patchwise)



Bilateral Kernel (BL) [Tomasi et al. '98]

$$K(\mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}, y_l, y) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\|y_l - y\|^2}{h_r^2} - \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x}\|^2}{h_d^2}\right\}$$

Pixels

Pixel similarity

Spatial similarity



Non-local Means (NLM) [Buades et al. '05]

Patches

$$K(\mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_l, \mathbf{y}) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\|\mathbf{y}_l - \mathbf{y}\|^2}{h_r^2} - \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x}\|^2}{h_d^2}\right\}$$

Patch similarity Spatial similarity



Smoothing effect

Beyond Euclidean metrics

- Better similarity measures
- More effective ways to combine the two Δs:
  - LARK Kernel [Takeda, et al. '07]
  - Beltrami Kernel [Sochen, et al.'98]



Non-parametric Kernel Regression

- The data fitting problem Zero-mean, i.i.d noise (No other assump.)

$$y_i = z(\mathbf{x}_i) + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, P$$

Given samples The sampling position The number of samples

The regression function

- The particular form of z(x) may remain unspecified for now.



Locality in Kernel Regression

- The data model

$$y_i = z(\mathbf{x}_i) + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, P$$

- Local representation (N-term Taylor series expansion)

$$\begin{aligned} z(x_i) &\approx z(x) + z'(x)(x_i - x) + \frac{1}{2!}z''(x)(x_i - x)^2 \\ &\quad + \cdots + \frac{1}{N!}z^{(N)}(x)(x_i - x)^N \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1(x_i - x) + \beta_2(x_i - x)^2 \\ &\quad + \cdots + \beta_N(x_i - x)^N. \end{aligned}$$

- Note that with a polynomial basis, we only need to estimate the first unknown β_0

Locality in Kernel Regression

- The data model

$$y_i = z(\mathbf{x}_i) + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, P$$

- Local representation (N-term Taylor series expansion)

$$z(\mathbf{x}_i) = z(\mathbf{x}) + \{\nabla z(\mathbf{x})\}^T (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2!}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x})^T \{\mathcal{H} z(\mathbf{x})\}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}) + \cdots$$
$$= \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^T (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^T \text{vech}\left\{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x})^T\right\} + \cdots,$$

Unknowns

- Note that with a polynomial basis, we only need to estimate the first unknown β_0

Finding the unknowns via optimization

- We have a local representation with respect to each sample:

$$y_1 = \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^T (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^T \text{vech}\left\{(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x})^T\right\} + \cdots + \varepsilon_1,$$
$$y_2 = \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^T (\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^T \text{vech}\left\{(\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x})^T\right\} + \cdots + \varepsilon_2,$$
$$\vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots$$
$$y_P = \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^T (\mathbf{x}_P - \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^T \text{vech}\left\{(\mathbf{x}_P - \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}_P - \mathbf{x})^T\right\} + \cdots + \varepsilon_P,$$

- Estimate the parameters $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^N$ from the data while giving the nearby samples higher weight than samples farther away.

$$\min_{\{\beta_n\}} \sum_{i=1}^{P} \left[y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1(x_i - x) - \beta_2(x_i - x)^2 - \cdots - \beta_N(x_i - x)^N\right]^2 \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right)$$

Finding the unknowns via optimization

- We have a local representation with respect to each sample:

$$y_1 = \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^T (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^T \text{vech}\left\{(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x})^T\right\} + \cdots + \varepsilon_1,$$
$$y_2 = \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^T (\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^T \text{vech}\left\{(\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x})^T\right\} + \cdots + \varepsilon_2,$$
$$\vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots$$
$$y_P = \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^T (\mathbf{x}_P - \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^T \text{vech}\left\{(\mathbf{x}_P - \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}_P - \mathbf{x})^T\right\} + \cdots + \varepsilon_P,$$

- Optimization

N+1 terms

The regression order

$$\min_{\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^N} \sum_{i=1}^{P} \left[y_i - \beta_0 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^T(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_2^T \text{vech}\left\{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x})^T\right\} - \cdots\right]^2 K(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x})$$

This term give the estimated pixel value z(x).

The choice of the kernel function is open, e.g. Gaussian.



$$\hat{z}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{P} W_i(\mathbf{x}, K, h, N)\, y_i$$

Defining Data-Adaptive Kernels

- *Classic* Kernel: Locally Linear Filter:

$$\hat{z}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\beta}_0 = \sum_i W(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}, N)\, y_i$$

Uses distance x-x_i

- *Data-Adaptive* Kernel: Locally Non-Linear Filter:

$$\hat{z}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\beta}_0 = \sum_i W(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}, y_i, y, N)\, y_i$$

Uses x-x_i and y-y_i

Recall - Beyond Euclidean metrics

- Better similarity measures
- More effective ways to combine the two Δs:
  - LARK Kernel [Takeda, et al. '07]
  - Beltrami Kernel [Sochen, et al.'98]



LARK Kernels

$$K(\mathbf{C}_l, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}) = \exp\left\{-(\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})'\mathbf{C}_l(\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})\right\}$$



LARK Kernels



Locally Adaptive Regression Kernel: LARK

$$K(\mathbf{C}_l, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}) = \exp\left\{-(\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})'\mathbf{C}_l(\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})\right\}$$

"Structure tensor"

$$\mathbf{C}_l = \sum_{k \in \Omega_l} \begin{bmatrix} z_{x_1}^2(\mathbf{x}_k) & z_{x_1}(\mathbf{x}_k) z_{x_2}(\mathbf{x}_k) \\ z_{x_1}(\mathbf{x}_k) z_{x_2}(\mathbf{x}_k) & z_{x_2}^2(\mathbf{x}_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

Gradient Covariance Matrix and Local Geometry

Gradient matrix over a local patch:

$$\mathbf{C}_l = \sum_{k \in \Omega_l} \begin{bmatrix} z_{x_1}^2(\mathbf{x}_k) & z_{x_1}(\mathbf{x}_k) z_{x_2}(\mathbf{x}_k) \\ z_{x_1}(\mathbf{x}_k) z_{x_2}(\mathbf{x}_k) & z_{x_2}^2(\mathbf{x}_k) \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\mathbf{C}_l = \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{G}$$

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{U} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$



Capturing locally dominant orientations

Image as a Surface Embedded in the Euclidean 3-space

$$S(x_1, x_2) = \{x_1, x_2, z(x_1, x_2)\} \in \mathbb{R}^3$$



Arclength on the surface

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dz^2 \quad \text{Chain rule} \\ &= dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + (z_{x_1} dx_1 + z_{x_2} dx_2)^2 \\ &= (1 + z_{x_1}^2) dx_1^2 + 2 z_{x_1} z_{x_2} dx_1 dx_2 + (1 + z_{x_2}^2) dx_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= (dx_1 \quad dx_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 + z_{x_1}^2 & z_{x_1} z_{x_2} \\ z_{x_1} z_{x_2} & 1 + z_{x_2}^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dx_1 \\ dx_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})^T (\mathbf{C}_l + \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x}) \quad \text{Riemannian metric}$$

Regularization term

$$K(\mathbf{C}_l, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}) = \exp\left\{-(\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})' \mathbf{C}_l (\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})\right\}$$

(Dense) LARK Kernels as Visual Descriptors [Seo and Milanfar '10]

$$K(\mathbf{C}_l, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}) = \exp\left\{-(\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})' \mathbf{C}_l (\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})\right\}$$

Measure the similarity of pixels using the metric implied by the local structure of the image





Robustness of LARK Descriptors



A Variant Better-suited for Restoration [Takeda et al. '07]

$$K(\mathbf{C}_l, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\det \mathbf{C}_l} \exp\left\{-(\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})' \mathbf{C}_l (\mathbf{x}_l - \mathbf{x})\right\}$$



LARK | Edge strength | LSK

Film Grain Reduction (Real Noise)

Noisy image

Film Grain Reduction (Real Noise)

LARK

Film Grain Reduction (Real Noise)

KSVD



LARK

BM3D

Adaptive Sharpening/Denoising

- Sharpening the LARK Kernel

"Sharpness" parameter

Laplacian operator

$$S = K - \kappa L \otimes K$$



LARK-based Simultaneous Sharpening/Deblurring/Denoising

- Net effect:
  - aggressive denoising in “flat” areas
  - Selective denoising and sharpening in “edgy”



Locally adaptive denoise/deblur filters

Examples

original image

original image

LARK

state-of-the-are methods

Examples

Examples

LARK