Finite Automata
Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA)

- A Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA) is a quintuple
  \[ A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F) \]
  1. \( Q \) is a finite set of states
  2. \( \Sigma \) is a finite set of symbols (alphabet)
  3. \( \delta \) is a transition function \((q, a) \rightarrow p\)
  4. \( q_0 \) is the start state \((q_0 \in Q)\)
  5. \( F \) is a set of final (accepting) states \((F \subseteq Q)\)

- Transition function takes two arguments: a state and an input symbol.
- \( \delta(q, a) = \) the state that the DFA goes to when it is in state \( q \) and input \( a \) is received.
Graph Representation of DFA’s

- Nodes = states.
- Arcs represent transition function.
- Arc from state p to state q labeled by all those input symbols that have transitions from p to q.
- Arrow labeled “Start” to the start state.
- Final states indicated by double circles.
Alternative Representation: Transition Table

Final states starred

Arrow for start state

Rows = states

Columns = input symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strings Accepted By A DFA

- An DFA accepts a string $w = a_1a_2 \ldots a_n$ if its path in the transition diagram that
  1. Begins at the start state
  2. Ends at an accepting state

- This DFA accepts input: 010001

- This DFA rejects input: 011001
Extended Delta Function – Delta Hat

- The transition function $\delta$ can be extended to $\hat{\delta}$ that operates on states and strings (as opposed to states and symbols)

\[
\hat{\delta}(q, \varepsilon) = q
\]

\[
\hat{\delta}(q, xa) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(q, x), a)
\]
Language Accepted by a DFA

• Formally, the language accepted by a DFA $A$ is

$$L(A) = \{ w \mid \hat{\delta}(q_0,w) \in F \}$$

• Languages accepted by DFAs are called as regular languages.
  – Every DFA accepts a regular language, and
  – For every regular language there is a DFA accepts it
This DFA accepts all strings of 0’s and 1’s without two consecutive 1’s.

Formally,

\[ L(A) = \{ w \mid w \text{ is in } \{0,1\}^* \text{ and } w \text{ does not have two consecutive 1’s} \} \]
DFA Example

• A DFA accepting all and only strings with an even number of 0's and an even number of 1's

Tabular representation of the DFA
Proofs of Set Equivalence

- We need to prove that two descriptions of sets are in fact the same set.
- Here, one set is “the language of this DFA,” and the other is “the set of strings of 0’s and 1’s with no consecutive 1’s.”
- In general, to prove \( S = T \), we need to prove two parts: \( S \subseteq T \) and \( T \subseteq S \). That is:
  1. If \( w \) is in \( S \), then \( w \) is in \( T \).
  2. If \( w \) is in \( T \), then \( w \) is in \( S \).
- As an example, let \( S \) = the language of our running DFA, and \( T \) = “no consecutive 1’s.”

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Start} \quad 0 \\
A \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
B \quad 1 \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
C \quad 0,1 \\
\end{array} \]
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Proof Part 1: \( S \subseteq T \)

- **To prove:** if \( w \) is accepted by our DFA then \( w \) has no consecutive 1’s.

- Proof is an induction on length of \( w \).

- **Important trick:** Expand the inductive hypothesis to be more detailed than you need.
The Inductive Hypothesis

1. If \( \hat{\delta}(A, w) = A \), then \( w \) has no consecutive 1’s and does not end in 1.
2. If \( \hat{\delta}(A, w) = B \), then \( w \) has no consecutive 1’s and ends in a single 1.

• **Basis:** \( |w| = 0 \); i.e., \( w = \varepsilon \).
  – (1) holds since \( \varepsilon \) has no 1’s at all.
  – (2) holds *vacuously*, since \( \hat{\delta}(A, \varepsilon) \) is not B.

**Important concept:**
If the “if” part of “if..then” is false, the statement is true.
Inductive Step

• Need to prove (1) and (2) for \( w = xa \).

• (1) for \( w \) is: If \( \hat{\delta}(A, w) = A \), then \( w \) has no consecutive 1’s and does not end in 1.

• Since \( \hat{\delta}(A, w) = A \), \( \hat{\delta}(A, x) \) must be A or B, and \( a \) must be 0 (look at the DFA).

• By the IH, \( x \) has no 11’s.

• Thus, \( w \) has no 11’s and does not end in 1.
Inductive Step

• Now, prove (2) for $w = xa$: If $\delta(A, w) = B$, then $w$ has no 11’s and ends in 1.

• Since $\delta(A, w) = B$, $\delta(A, x)$ must be $A$, and $a$ must be 1 (look at the DFA).

• By the IH, $x$ has no 11’s and does not end in 1.

• Thus, $w$ has no 11’s and ends in 1.
Proof Part 1: \[ T \subseteq S \]

- Now, we must prove:
  
  \[
  \text{if w has no 11's, then w is accepted by our DFA}
  \]

- **Contrapositive**: If w is not accepted by our DFA then w has 11.

---

**Key idea**: contrapositive of “if X then Y” is the equivalent statement “if not Y then not X.”
Using the Contrapositive

• Every w gets the DFA to exactly one state.
  – Simple inductive proof based on:
    • Every state has exactly one transition on 1, one transition on 0.
• The only way w is not accepted is if it gets to C.
• The only way to get to C [formally: $\hat{\delta}(A,w) = C$] is if $w = x1y$, x gets to B, and y is the tail of w that follows what gets to C for the first time.
• If $\hat{\delta}(A,x) = B$ then surely $x = z1$ for some z.
• Thus, $w = z11y$ and has 11.
Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)

- A NFA can be in several states at once, or, it can "guess" which state to go to next.
- A NFA state can have more than one arc leaving from that state with a same symbol.

*Example*: An automaton that accepts all and only strings ending in 01.

- State $q_0$ can go to $q_0$ or $q_1$ with the symbol 0.
NFA – Example

• What happens when the NFA processes the input 00101

• In fact, all missing arcs go to a death state, the death state goes to itself for all symbols, and the death state is a non-accepting state.
Definition of Nondeterministic Finite Automata

• Formally, a Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA) is a quintuple
  \[ A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F) \]

1. \( Q \) is a finite set of states
2. \( \Sigma \) is a finite set of symbols (alphabet)
3. Delta (\( \delta \)) is a transition function from \( Q \times \Sigma \) to the powerset of \( Q \).
4. \( q_0 \) is the start state (\( q_0 \in Q \))
5. \( F \) is a set of final (accepting) states (\( F \subseteq Q \))

• Transition function takes two arguments: a state and an input symbol.
• \( \delta(q, a) = \) the set of the states that the DFA goes to when it is in state \( q \) and input \( a \) is received.
NFA – Table Representation

The table representation of this NFA is as follows.

NFA is \((\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, \{0, 1\}, \delta, q_0, \{q_2\})\)

its transition function is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\rightarrow q_0)</td>
<td>{q_0, q_1}</td>
<td>{q_0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q_1)</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>{q_2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\star q_2)</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Extended Transition Function for NFA – Delta Hat

- The transition function \( \delta \) can be extended to \( \hat{\delta} \) that operates on states and strings (as opposed to states and symbols)

**Basis:** \( \hat{\delta}(q, \varepsilon) = q \)

**Induction:** If \( \hat{\delta}(q, x) = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k\} \) for a string \( x \), then

\[
\hat{\delta}(q, xa) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \delta(p_i, a)
\]

For the string \( w = xa \), we compute \( \hat{\delta}(q, x) \) first, then we follow any transition from any of the states with the symbol \( a \).
Language of a NFA

- The language accepted by a NFA $A$ is

$$L(A) = \{w : \tilde{\delta}(q_0, w) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$$

- i.e. a string $w$ is accepted by a NFA $A$ iff the states that are reachable from the starting state by consuming $w$ contain at least one final state.
Language of a NFA - Example

• Let's prove formally that the NFA accepts the language \( \{x01 : x \in \Sigma^* \} \). We'll do a mutual induction on the following three statements,

\[
\begin{align*}
    w \in \Sigma^* \Rightarrow q_0 \in \tilde{\delta}(q_0, w) \\
    q_1 \in \tilde{\delta}(q_0, w) \iff w = x0 \\
    q_2 \in \tilde{\delta}(q_0, w) \iff w = x01
\end{align*}
\]
**Proof**

**BASIS:** If $|w| = 0$, then $w = \epsilon$. Statement (1) says that $\hat{\delta}(q_0, \epsilon)$ contains $q_0$, which it does by the basis part of the definition of $\hat{\delta}$. For statement (2), we know that $\epsilon$ does not end in 0, and we also know that $\hat{\delta}(q_0, \epsilon)$ does not contain $q_1$, again by the basis part of the definition of $\hat{\delta}$. Thus, the hypotheses of both directions of the if-and-only-if statement are false, and therefore both directions of the statement are true. The proof of statement (3) for $w = \epsilon$ is essentially the same as the above proof for statement (2).

**INDUCTION:** Assume that $w = xa$, where $a$ is a symbol, either 0 or 1. We may assume statements (1) through (3) hold for $x$, and we need to prove them for $w$. That is, we assume $|w| = n + 1$, so $|x| = n$. We assume the inductive hypothesis for $n$ and prove it for $n + 1$. 
1. We know that \( \hat{\delta}(q_0, x) \) contains \( q_0 \). Since there are transitions on both 0 and 1 from \( q_0 \) to itself, it follows that \( \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \) also contains \( q_0 \), so statement (1) is proved for \( w \).

2. (If) Assume that \( w \) ends in 0; i.e., \( a = 0 \). By statement (1) applied to \( x \), we know that \( \hat{\delta}(q_0, x) \) contains \( q_0 \). Since there is a transition from \( q_0 \) to \( q_1 \) on input 0, we conclude that \( \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \) contains \( q_1 \).

(Only-if) Suppose \( \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \) contains \( q_1 \). If we look at the diagram of Fig. 2.9, we see that the only way to get into state \( q_1 \) is if the input sequence \( w \) is of the form \( x0 \). That is enough to prove the “only-if” portion of statement (2).

3. (If) Assume that \( w \) ends in 01. Then if \( w = xa \), we know that \( a = 1 \) and \( x \) ends in 0. By statement (2) applied to \( x \), we know that \( \hat{\delta}(q_0, x) \) contains \( q_1 \). Since there is a transition from \( q_1 \) to \( q_2 \) on input 1, we conclude that \( \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \) contains \( q_2 \).

(Only-if) Suppose \( \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \) contains \( q_2 \). Looking at the diagram of Fig. 2.9, we discover that the only way to get to state \( q_2 \) is for \( w \) to be of the form \( x1 \), where \( \hat{\delta}(q_0, x) \) contains \( q_1 \). By statement (2) applied to \( x \), we know that \( x \) ends in 0. Thus, \( w \) ends in 01, and we have proved statement (3).
Equivalence of DFA and NFA

• NFA's are usually easier to construct.
• Surprisingly, for any NFA $N$ there is a DFA $D$, such that $L(D) = L(N)$, and vice versa.
• This involves the subset construction, an important example how an automaton $B$ can be generically constructed from another automaton $A$.
• Given an NFA $N = (Q_N, \Sigma, \delta_N, q_0, F_N)$

we will construct a DFA $D = (Q_D, \Sigma, \delta_D, \{q_0\}, F_D)$

such that $L(D) = L(N)$
Subset Construction

- \( Q_D = \{ S : S \subseteq Q_N \} \).

Note: \( |Q_D| = 2^{|Q_N|} \), although most states in \( Q_D \) are likely to be garbage.

- \( F_D = \{ S \subseteq Q_N : S \cap F_N \neq \emptyset \} \)

- For every \( S \subseteq Q_N \) and \( a \in \Sigma \),

\[
\delta_D(S, a) = \bigcup_{p \in S} \delta_N(p, a)
\]
Subset Construction - Example
Subset Construction – Accessible States

- We can often avoid the exponential blow-up by constructing the transition table for D only for accessible states S as follows:

**Basis:** \( S = \{q_0\} \) is accessible in \( D \)

**Induction:** If state \( S \) is accessible, so are the states in \( \bigcup_{a \in \Sigma} \delta_D(S, a) \).
Subset Construction – Accessible States (example)

NFA

DFA
**Equivalence of DFA and NFA - Theorem**

**Theorem:** Let $D$ be the *subset* DFA of an NFA $N$. Then $L(D) = L(N)$.

**Proof:** We show on an induction on $|w|$ that

$$\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, w) = \hat{\delta}_N(q_0, w)$$

**Basis:** $w = \varepsilon$. The claim follows from definition.

**Induction:**

$$\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, xa) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \delta_D(\hat{\delta}_D(\{q_0\}, x), a)$$

$$\overset{\text{i.h.}}{=} \delta_D(\hat{\delta}_N(q_0, x), a)$$

$$\overset{\text{cst}}{=} \bigcup_{p \in \hat{\delta}_N(q_0, x)} \delta_N(p, a)$$

$$\overset{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\delta}_N(q_0, xa)$$

So, $L(D) = L(N)$
**Equivalence of DFA and NFA – Theorem 2**

**Theorem:** A language $L$ is accepted by some DFA if and only if $L$ is accepted by some NFA.

**Proof:** The if-part is proved by the previous theorem. For the only-if-part, we note that any DFA can be converted to an equivalent NFA by modifying the $\delta_D$ to $\delta_N$ by the rule

\[
\text{If } \delta_D(q, a) = p, \text{ then } \delta_N(q, a) = \{p\}.
\]

By induction on $|w|$ it will be shown in the tutorial that if $\hat{\delta}_D(q_0, w) = p$, then $\hat{\delta}_N(q_0, w) = \{p\}$. 
A Bad Case for Subset Construction - Exponential Blow-Up

• There is an NFA N with n+1 states that has no equivalent DFA with fewer than \(2^n\) states
A NFA for Text Search

• NFA accepting the set of keywords \{ebay, web\}
Corresponding DFA for Text Search
NFA with Epsilon Transitions - $\varepsilon$-NFA

• $\varepsilon$-NFA’s allow transitions with $\varepsilon$ label.

• Formally, $\varepsilon$-NFA is a quintuple

$$A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

1. $Q$ is a finite set of states
2. $\Sigma$ is a finite set of symbols (alphabet)
3. $\delta$ is a transition function from $Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\})$ to the powerset of $Q$.
4. $q_0$ is the start state ($q_0 \in Q$)
5. $F$ is a set of final (accepting) states ($F \subseteq Q$)
**ε-NFA Example**

- An ε-NFA accepting decimal numbers consisting of:
  1. An optional + or - sign
  2. A string of digits
  3. a decimal point
  4. another string of digits

- One of the strings in (2) and .(4) are optional
**ε-NFA Example - Transition Table**

Transition Table

\[ E = (\{q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_5\}, \{\cdot, +, -, 0, 1, \ldots, 9\}, \delta, q_0, \{q_5\}) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\varepsilon)</th>
<th>(\cdot, +, -)</th>
<th>(0, \ldots, 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\rightarrow q_0)</td>
<td>{q_1}</td>
<td>{q_1}</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q_1)</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>{q_2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q_2)</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q_3)</td>
<td>{q_5}</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q_4)</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>{q_3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(*q_5)</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
<td>\emptyset</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Epsilon Closure

• We close a state by adding all states reachable by a sequence $\varepsilon \varepsilon \ldots \varepsilon$

• Inductive definition of $\text{ECLOSE}(q)$

  **Basis:** $q \in \text{ECLOSE}(q)$

  **Induction:** $p \in \text{ECLOSE}(q)$ and $r \in \delta(p, \varepsilon) \implies r \in \text{ECLOSE}(q)$
Epsilon Closure

ECLOSE(1) = \{1,2,3,4,6\}
ECLOSE(2) = \{2,3,6\}
ECLOSE(3) = \{3,6\}
ECLOSE(4) = \{4\}
ECLOSE(5) = \{5,7\}
ECLOSE(6) = \{6\}
ECLOSE(7) = \{7\}
Extended Delta for ε-NFA

- Inductive definition $\hat{\delta}$ of for ε-NFA

Basis: $\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(q)$

Induction: $\hat{\delta}(q, xa) = \bigcup_{p \in \delta(\hat{\delta}(q, x), a)} \text{ECLOSE}(p)$
Equivalence of DFA and $\varepsilon$-NFA

- Given an $\varepsilon$-NFA

$$E = (Q_E, \Sigma, \delta_E, q_0, F_E)$$

we will construct a DFA

$$D = (Q_D, \Sigma, \delta_D, q_D, F_D)$$

such that $L(D) = L(E)$
Equivalence of DFA and $\varepsilon$-NFA
Subset Construction

$$Q_D = \{S : S \subseteq Q_E \text{ and } S = \text{ECLOSE}(S)\}$$

$$q_D = \text{ECLOSE}(q_0)$$

$$F_D = \{S : S \in Q_D \text{ and } S \cap F_E \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$\delta_D(S, a) = \bigcup \{\text{ECLOSE}(p) : p \in \delta(t, a) \text{ for some } t \in S\}$$
Equivalence of DFA and $\varepsilon$-NFA

Subset Construction - Example
Equivalence of DFA and $\varepsilon$-NFA - Theorem

**Theorem:** A language $L$ is accepted by some $\varepsilon$-NFA $E$ if and only if $L$ is accepted by some DFA $D$.

**Proof:** We use $D$ constructed using subset-construction and show by induction that $\hat{\delta}_D(q_0, w) = \hat{\delta}_E(q_D, w)$

**Basis:** $\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, \varepsilon) = \text{ECLOSE}(q_0) = q_D = \hat{\delta}(q_D, \varepsilon)$

**Induction:**

\[
\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, xa) = \bigcup_{p \in \delta_E(\hat{\delta}_E(q_0, x), a)} \text{ECLOSE}(p) \\
= \bigcup_{p \in \delta_D(\hat{\delta}_D(q_D, x), a)} \text{ECLOSE}(p) \\
= \bigcup_{p \in \delta_D(q_D, xa)} \text{ECLOSE}(p) \\
= \hat{\delta}_D(q_D, xa)
\]