BBM401-Lecture 9: Context-Free Grammars

Lecturer: Lale Özkahya

Resources for the presentation: https://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs373/fa2010/

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

メロト メ都 トメ 君 トメ 君 トー

准

 $2Q$

Context-Free Grammars

Definition

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

4 0 8

- ∢ n →

重き Ε

Þ. \rightarrow 200

Context-Free Grammars

Definition

A context-free grammar (CFG) is $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ where

 \bullet V is a finite set of variables/non-terminals.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

 \leftarrow \Box

 Ω

Context-Free Grammars

Definition

- \bullet V is a finite set of variables/non-terminals.
- \bullet Σ is a finite set of terminals. Σ is disjoint from V.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

 2990

Context-Free Grammars

Definition

- \bullet V is a finite set of variables/non-terminals.
- \bullet Σ is a finite set of terminals. Σ is disjoint from V.
- R is a finite set of rules or productions of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha$ where $A \in V$ and $\alpha \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

つくへ

Context-Free Grammars

Definition

- \bullet V is a finite set of variables/non-terminals.
- \bullet Σ is a finite set of terminals. Σ is disjoint from V.
- R is a finite set of rules or productions of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha$ where $A \in V$ and $\alpha \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$
- \bullet $S \in V$ is the start symbol

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

重

メロト メ都 トメ 君 トメ 君 トー

 299

Example: Palindromes

Example

A string w is a palindrome if $w = w^R$.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

> 重 医间周的

 \rightarrow \oplus \rightarrow \rightarrow

4 D F

Ε

 200

Example: Palindromes

Example

A string w is a palindrome if $w = w^R$. $G_{\text{pal}} = (\{S\}, \{0, 1\}, R, S)$ defines palindromes over $\{0, 1\}$, where R is

$$
S \rightarrow \epsilon
$$

\n
$$
S \rightarrow 0
$$

\n
$$
S \rightarrow 1
$$

\n
$$
S \rightarrow 050
$$

\n
$$
S \rightarrow 151
$$

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

→ イ冊 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

 \leftarrow \Box

 Ω

Example: Palindromes

Example

A string w is a palindrome if $w = w^R$. $G_{\text{pal}} = (\{S\}, \{0, 1\}, R, S)$ defines palindromes over $\{0, 1\}$, where R is

$$
S \rightarrow \epsilon
$$

\n
$$
S \rightarrow 0
$$

\n
$$
S \rightarrow 1
$$

\n
$$
S \rightarrow 0S0
$$

\n
$$
S \rightarrow 1S1
$$

Or more briefly, $R = \{S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 0S0 \mid 1S1\}$

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

重き

4 D F

 Ω

Language of a CFG

Derivations

Expand the start symbol using one of its rules. Further expand the resulting string by expanding one of the variables in the string, by the RHS of one of its rules. Repeat until you get a string of terminals.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Language of a CFG **Derivations**

Expand the start symbol using one of its rules. Further expand the resulting string by expanding one of the variables in the string, by the RHS of one of its rules. Repeat until you get a string of terminals. For the grammar $G_{\text{pal}} = (\{S\}, \{0, 1\}, \{S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 0.00 \mid 1.51\}, S)$ we have

 $S \Rightarrow 0S0 \Rightarrow 00S00 \Rightarrow 001S100 \Rightarrow 0010100$

 Ω

イヨメ イヨメー

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

メロト メ何 トメ ヨ トメ ヨ トー

重

 200

Formal Definition

Definition

Let $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ be a CFG. We say $\alpha A \beta \Rightarrow_G \alpha \gamma \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ and $A \in V$ if $A \rightarrow \gamma$ is a rule of G.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

4 D F

 2990

ヨ ト

Formal Definition

Definition

Let $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ be a CFG. We say $\alpha A \beta \Rightarrow_G \alpha \gamma \beta$, where $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in (\mathsf{V}\cup \Sigma)^*$ and $A\in \mathsf{V}$ if $A\to \gamma$ is a rule of $G.$ We say $\alpha \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}_{\mathsf{G}} \beta$ if either $\alpha = \beta$ or there are $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_n$ such that

$$
\alpha = \alpha_0 \Rightarrow_G \alpha_1 \Rightarrow_G \alpha_2 \Rightarrow_G \cdots \Rightarrow_G \alpha_n = \beta
$$

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Formal Definition

Definition

Let $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ be a CFG. We say $\alpha A \beta \Rightarrow_G \alpha \gamma \beta$, where $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in (\mathsf{V}\cup \Sigma)^*$ and $A\in \mathsf{V}$ if $A\to \gamma$ is a rule of $G.$ We say $\alpha \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}_{\mathsf{G}} \beta$ if either $\alpha = \beta$ or there are $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_n$ such that

$$
\alpha = \alpha_0 \Rightarrow_G \alpha_1 \Rightarrow_G \alpha_2 \Rightarrow_G \cdots \Rightarrow_G \alpha_n = \beta
$$

Notation

When G is clear from the context, we will write \Rightarrow and $\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}$ instead of \Rightarrow and $\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}$ $\stackrel{.}{\le}$.

 2990

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

4 D F

 Ω

Context-Free Language

Definition

The language of CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$, denoted $L(G)$ is the collection of strings over the terminals derivable from S using the rules in R . In other words,

$$
L(G) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w\}
$$

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Context-Free Language

Definition

The language of CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$, denoted $L(G)$ is the collection of strings over the terminals derivable from S using the rules in R . In other words,

$$
L(G) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w\}
$$

Definition

A language L is said to be context-free if there is a CFG G such that $L = L(G)$.

つくへ

Proving Properties Parse Trees

メロメ メ御 メメ きょく ミメー

准

 $2Q$

Palindromes Revisited

Recall, $L_{\text{pal}} = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w = w^R\}$ is the language of palindromes.

Proving Properties Parse Trees

メロメ メ部メ メきメ メきメー

重

 299

Palindromes Revisited

Recall,
$$
L_{\text{pal}} = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* | w = w^R\}
$$
 is the language of
palindromes.
Consider $G_{\text{pal}} = (\{S\}, \{0, 1\}, R, S)$ defines palindromes over
 $\{0, 1\}$, where $R = \{S \rightarrow \epsilon | 0 | 1 | 0S0 | 1S1\}$

Proving Properties Parse Trees

メロメ メ部メ メミメ メミメ

重

 299

Palindromes Revisited

Recall,
$$
L_{\text{pal}} = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* | w = w^R\}
$$
 is the language of
palindromes.
Consider $G_{\text{pal}} = (\{S\}, \{0, 1\}, R, S)$ defines palindromes over
 $\{0, 1\}$, where $R = \{S \rightarrow \epsilon | 0 | 1 | 0S0 | 1S1\}$

Proposition

$$
L(\mathit{G}_{\mathrm{pal}}) = L_{\mathrm{pal}}
$$

Proving Properties Parse Trees

 299

准

メロメ メ御 メメ きょく ミメー

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \subseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof.

Let $w \in L_{\text{pal}}$. We prove that $S \overset{*}{\Rightarrow} w$

Proving Properties Parse Trees

 299

准

メロメ メ御 メメ きょく ミメー

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \subseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

メロト メ御 トメ 君 トメ 君 トー

重

 200

Proving Correctness of CFG $\overline{L_{\rm pal}} \subseteq L(\overline{G}_{\rm pal})$

Proof.

Let $w \in L_{\text{pal}}$. We prove that $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$ by induction on $|w|.$

• Base Cases: If $|w| = 0$ or $|w| = 1$ then $w = \epsilon$ or 0 or 1. And $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ | 0 | 1.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

一本 語 (を) …

 2990

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \subseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof.

- Base Cases: If $|w| = 0$ or $|w| = 1$ then $w = \epsilon$ or 0 or 1. And $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ | 0 | 1.
- Induction Step: If $|w| \ge 2$ and $w = w^R$ then it must begin and with the same symbol.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

医尿囊的

 2990

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \subseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof.

- Base Cases: If $|w| = 0$ or $|w| = 1$ then $w = \epsilon$ or 0 or 1. And $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ | 0 | 1.
- Induction Step: If $|w| \ge 2$ and $w = w^R$ then it must begin and with the same symbol. Let $w = 0x0$.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

メロト メ母 トメ ミト メミトー

 2990

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \subseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof.

- Base Cases: If $|w| = 0$ or $|w| = 1$ then $w = \epsilon$ or 0 or 1. And $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ | 0 | 1.
- Induction Step: If $|w| \ge 2$ and $w = w^R$ then it must begin and with the same symbol. Let $w = 0x0$. Now, $w^R = 0x^R0 = w = 0x0$; thus, $x^R = x$.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \subseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof.

Let $w \in L_{\text{pal}}$. We prove that $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$ by induction on $|w|.$

- Base Cases: If $|w| = 0$ or $|w| = 1$ then $w = \epsilon$ or 0 or 1. And $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ | 0 | 1.
- Induction Step: If $|w| \ge 2$ and $w = w^R$ then it must begin and with the same symbol. Let $w = 0x0$. Now, $w^R = 0x^R0 = w = 0x0$; thus, $x^R = x$. By induction hypothesis, $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} x$. Hence $S \Rightarrow 0S0 \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} 0x0$. If $w = 1x1$ the argument is similar. \rightarrow

メロト メ母 トメ ミト メミトー

 Ω

Proving Properties Parse Trees

K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K 手

あい 重める

准

 299

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \supseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof (contd).

Let $w \in L(G)$, i.e., $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$. We will show $w \in L_{\text{pal}}$

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

一 4 (国) ト

 \rightarrow

 \leftarrow \Box

-∢ n →

Ε

 Ω

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \supseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof (contd).

Let $w \in L(G)$, i.e., $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$. We will show $w \in L_{\text{pal}}$ by induction on the number of derivation steps.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \supseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof (contd).

Let $w \in L(G)$, i.e., $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$. We will show $w \in L_{\text{pal}}$ by induction on the number of derivation steps.

• Base Case: If the derivation has only one step then the derivation must be $S \Rightarrow \epsilon$, $S \Rightarrow 0$ or $S \Rightarrow 1$. Thus $w = \epsilon$ or 0 or 1 and is in L_{Pa1} .

つへへ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \supseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof (contd).

Let $w \in L(G)$, i.e., $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$. We will show $w \in L_{\text{pal}}$ by induction on the number of derivation steps.

- Base Case: If the derivation has only one step then the derivation must be $S \Rightarrow \epsilon$, $S \Rightarrow 0$ or $S \Rightarrow 1$. Thus $w = \epsilon$ or 0 or 1 and is in L_{Pa1} .
- Induction Step: Consider an $(n + 1)$ -step derivation of w. It must be of the form $S \Rightarrow 0S0 \overset{*}{\Rightarrow} 0x0 = w$ or $S \Rightarrow 1S1 \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} 1x1 = w.$

つくへ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Proving Correctness of CFG $L_{\text{pal}} \supseteq L(G_{\text{pal}})$

Proof (contd).

Let $w \in L(G)$, i.e., $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$. We will show $w \in L_{\text{pal}}$ by induction on the number of derivation steps.

- Base Case: If the derivation has only one step then the derivation must be $S \Rightarrow \epsilon$, $S \Rightarrow 0$ or $S \Rightarrow 1$. Thus $w = \epsilon$ or 0 or 1 and is in L_{Pa1} .
- Induction Step: Consider an $(n + 1)$ -step derivation of w. It must be of the form $S \Rightarrow 0 S 0 \overset{*}{\Rightarrow} 0 x 0 = w$ or $S \Rightarrow 1S1 \overset{*}{\Rightarrow} 1x1 = w$. In either case $S \overset{*}{\Rightarrow} x$ in *n*-steps. Hence $x \in L_{\text{Pal}}$ and so $w = w^R$. R .

つくへ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Parse Trees

For CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$, a parse tree (or derivation tree) of G is a tree satisfying the following conditions:

Example Parse Tree with yield 011110

 299

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Parse Trees

For CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$, a parse tree (or derivation tree) of G is a tree satisfying the following conditions:

• Each interior node is labeled by a variable in V

Example Parse Tree with yield 011110

 QQ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Parse Trees

For CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$, a parse tree (or derivation tree) of G is a tree satisfying the following conditions:

- Each interior node is labeled by a variable in V
- Each leaf is labeled by either a variable, a terminal or ϵ ; a leaf labeled by ϵ must be the only child of its parent.

Example Parse Tree with yield 011110

 QQ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Parse Trees

For CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$, a parse tree (or derivation tree) of G is a tree satisfying the following conditions:

- Each interior node is labeled by a variable in V
- Each leaf is labeled by either a variable, a terminal or ϵ ; a leaf labeled by ϵ must be the only child of its parent.
- \bullet If an interior node labeled by A with children labeled by $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_k$ (from the left), then $A \rightarrow X_1 X_2 \cdots X_k$ must be a rule.

Example Parse Tree with yield 011110

つくへ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Parse Trees

For CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$, a parse tree (or derivation tree) of G is a tree satisfying the following conditions:

- Each interior node is labeled by a variable in V
- Each leaf is labeled by either a variable, a terminal or ϵ ; a leaf labeled by ϵ must be the only child of its parent.
- \bullet If an interior node labeled by A with children labeled by $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_k$ (from the left), then $A \rightarrow X_1 X_2 \cdots X_k$ must be a rule.

0 S 1 S 1 S ϵ 1 1 0

S

Example Parse Tree with yield 011110

 QQ

Yield of a parse tree is the concatenation of leaf labels (left–right)
Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

ヨッ

 \leftarrow \Box

 Ω

Parse Trees and Derivations

Proposition

Let $G=(V,\Sigma, R,S)$ be a CFG. For any $A\in V$ and $\alpha\in (V\cup \Sigma)^*.$ $A\overset{*}{\Rightarrow}\alpha$ iff there is a parse tree with root labeled A and whose yield is α .

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Parse Trees and Derivations

Proposition

Let $G=(V,\Sigma, R,S)$ be a CFG. For any $A\in V$ and $\alpha\in (V\cup \Sigma)^*.$ $A\overset{*}{\Rightarrow}\alpha$ iff there is a parse tree with root labeled A and whose yield is α .

Proof.

 (\Rightarrow) : Proof by induction on the number of steps in the derivation.

• Base Case: If $A \Rightarrow \alpha$ then $A \rightarrow \alpha$ is a rule in G. There is a tree of height 1, with root A and leaves the symbols in α . \longrightarrow

$$
\begin{array}{c}\nA \\
\alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \cdots \quad \alpha_n\n\end{array}
$$

Parse Tree for Base Case

つくへ

Proving Properties Parse Trees

 \leftarrow

₿

 Ω

Parse Trees for Derivations

Proof (contd).

 (\Rightarrow) : Proof by induction on the number of steps in the derivation.

Induction Step: Let $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$ in $k+1$ steps.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

つへへ

Parse Trees for Derivations

Proof (contd).

 (\Rightarrow) : Proof by induction on the number of steps in the derivation.

- Induction Step: Let $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$ in $k+1$ steps.
- Then $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_1 X \alpha_2 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 \gamma \alpha_2 = \alpha$, where $X \to X_1 \cdots X_n = \gamma$ is a rule

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Parse Trees for Derivations

Proof (contd).

 (\Rightarrow) : Proof by induction on the number of steps in the derivation.

- Induction Step: Let $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$ in $k+1$ steps.
- Then $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_1 X \alpha_2 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 \gamma \alpha_2 = \alpha$, where $X \to X_1 \cdots X_n = \gamma$ is a rule
- By ind. hyp., there is a tree with root A and yield $\alpha_1X\alpha_2$.

Parse Tree for Induction Step

つくへ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Parse Trees for Derivations

Proof (contd).

 (\Rightarrow) : Proof by induction on the number of steps in the derivation.

- Induction Step: Let $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$ in $k+1$ steps.
- Then $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_1 X \alpha_2 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 \gamma \alpha_2 = \alpha$, where $X \to X_1 \cdots X_n = \gamma$ is a rule
- By ind. hyp., there is a tree with root A and yield $\alpha_1X\alpha_2$.
- Add leaves X_1, \ldots, X_n and make them children of X . New tree is a parse tree with desired yield. \longrightarrow

Parse Tree for Induction Step

つくへ

Proving Properties Parse Trees

··→

Ε

 $2Q$

メメ 高々

K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K 手

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) : Assume that there is a parse tree with root A and yield α . Need to show that $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

 \leftarrow \Box

··→

 Ω

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) : Assume that there is a parse tree with root A and yield α . Need to show that $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$. Proof by induction on the number of internal nodes in the tree.

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) : Assume that there is a parse tree with root A and yield α . Need to show that $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$. Proof by induction on the number of internal nodes in the tree.

• Base Case: If tree has only one internal node, then it has the form as in picture

Parse Tree with one internal node

··→

つくへ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) : Assume that there is a parse tree with root A and yield α . Need to show that $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$. Proof by induction on the number of internal nodes in the tree.

• Base Case: If tree has only one internal node, then it has the form as in picture

• Then, $\alpha = X_1 \cdots X_n$ and $A \rightarrow \alpha$ is a rule. Thus, $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$.

··→

つくへ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) Induction Step: Suppose α is the yield of a tree with $k+1$ interior nodes. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be the children of the root ordered from the left. Not all X_i are leaves, and $A \to X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n$ must be a rule.

Tree with $k+1$ internal nodes

 QQ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) Induction Step: Suppose α is the yield of a tree with $k+1$ interior nodes. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be the children of the root ordered from the left. Not all X_i are leaves, and $A \to X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n$ must be a rule.

• Let α_i be the yield of the tree rooted at X_i ; so X_i is a leaf $\alpha_i = X_i$

Tree with $k+1$ internal nodes

 Ω

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) Induction Step: Suppose α is the yield of a tree with $k+1$ interior nodes. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be the children of the root ordered from the left. Not all X_i are leaves, and $A \to X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n$ must be a rule.

- Let α_i be the yield of the tree rooted at X_i ; so X_i is a leaf $\alpha_i = X_i$
- Now if $j < i$ then all the descendents of X_i are to the left of the descendents of X_i . So

$$
\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n. \qquad \qquad \cdots
$$

Tree with $k+1$ internal nodes

つへへ

Proving Properties Parse Trees

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) Induction Step: Suppose α is the yield of a tree with $k+1$ interior nodes.

つへへ

-b

4 0 8

∢ ⊕ \rightarrow

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) Induction Step: Suppose α is the yield of a tree with $k+1$ interior nodes.

• Each subtree rooted at X_i has at most k internal nodes. So if X_i is a leaf $X_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_i$ and if X_i is not a leaf then $X_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_i$ (ind. hyp.).

つくへ

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

Derivations for Parse Trees

Proof (contd).

 (\Leftarrow) Induction Step: Suppose α is the yield of a tree with $k+1$ interior nodes.

- Each subtree rooted at X_i has at most k internal nodes. So if X_i is a leaf $X_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_i$ and if X_i is not a leaf then $X_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_i$ (ind. hyp.).
- **o** Thus

$$
A \Rightarrow X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_1 X_2 \cdots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n = \alpha \qquad \Box
$$

4 O F

つくへ

Proving Properties Parse Trees

医间面的

∍

活

 $2Q$

For a CFG G with variable A the following are equivalent $\mathbf{A} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$ \bullet There is a parse tree with root A and yield w

Definitions Proving Properties Parse Trees

4 0 8

ヨッ

 Ω

For a CFG G with variable A the following are equivalent $\mathbf{A} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w$ ² There is a parse tree with root A and yield w

Context-free-ness

CFGs have the property that if $X\overset{*}{\Rightarrow} \gamma$ then $\alpha X\beta \overset{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha\gamma\beta$

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

4 0 8

4 伊 ト

∍

 \sim \rightarrow ヨッ Ε 299

Example: English Sentences

English sentences can be described as

$$
\begin{array}{l} \langle S \rangle \rightarrow \langle NP \rangle \langle VP \rangle \\ \langle NP \rangle \rightarrow \langle CN \rangle \mid \langle CN \rangle \langle PP \rangle \\ \langle VP \rangle \rightarrow \langle CV \rangle \mid \langle CV \rangle \langle PP \rangle \\ \langle PP \rangle \rightarrow \langle P \rangle \langle CN \rangle \\ \langle CN \rangle \rightarrow \langle A \rangle \langle N \rangle \\ \langle CV \rangle \rightarrow \langle V \rangle \mid \langle V \rangle \langle NP \rangle \\ \langle A \rangle \rightarrow \text{a} \mid \text{the} \\ \langle N \rangle \rightarrow \text{boy} \mid \text{girl} \mid \text{bat} \\ \langle V \rangle \rightarrow \text{hits} \mid \text{likes} \mid \text{sees} \\ \langle P \rangle \rightarrow \text{with} \end{array}
$$

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

Multiple Parse Trees Example 1

The sentence "the girl hits the boy with the bat" has the following parse tree

4 0 8 ∢母 ∍

 \sim × Þ. × Þ

Þ

₿

 299

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

Multiple Parse Trees Example 1

The sentence "the girl hits the boy with the bat" has the following parse trees

Agha-Viswanathan CS373

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

 \sim \sim

 Ω

Example: Arithmetic Expressions

Consider the language of all arithmetic expressions (E) built out of integers (N) and identifiers (I), using only + and $*$

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

→ イラン イヨン イヨン

 2990

Example: Arithmetic Expressions

Consider the language of all arithmetic expressions (E) built out of integers (N) and identifiers (I), using only + and $*$ $G_{\text{exp}} = (\{E, I, N\}, \{a, b, 0, 1, (,), +, *, -\}, R, E)$ where R is $E \to I \mid N \mid -N \mid E + E \mid E * E \mid (E)$ $I \rightarrow a \mid b \mid Ia \mid Ib$ $N \rightarrow 0$ | 1 | $N0$ | $N1$

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

一个问

 \sim

4 D F

Þ

 \rightarrow

 \sim

Ε

 299

Multiple Parse Trees Example 2

The parse tree $% \mathbb{R}$ for expression $a+b*a$ in the grammar G_{exp} is

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

(□) (母)

佳

 \rightarrow

 \rightarrow

Ε

つへへ

Multiple Parse Trees Example 2

The parse trees for expression $a + b * a$ in the grammar G_{exp} is

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

4 0 8

∢ ⊕ \rightarrow ∍ \rightarrow ×. 299

ヨッ Ε

Ambiguity

Definition

A grammar $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ is said to be ambiguous if there is $w \in \Sigma^*$ for which there are two different parse trees.

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

∢⊡

 2990

Ambiguity

Definition

A grammar $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ is said to be ambiguous if there is $w \in \Sigma^*$ for which there are two different parse trees.

Warning!

Existence of two derivations for a string does not mean the grammar is ambiguous!

Removing Ambiguity

4 0 8

 \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow 重 おす 高々 重

 299

Removing Ambiguity

Ambiguity maybe removed either by

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

4 0 8

同

14 \sim ∍

Þ. ×. Þ \rightarrow Ε 299

Removing Ambiguity

Ambiguity maybe removed either by

• Using the semantics to change the rules.

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

つくへ

Removing Ambiguity

Ambiguity maybe removed either by

Using the semantics to change the rules. For example, if we knew who had the bat (the girl or the boy) from the context, we would know which is the right interpretation.

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

つくへ

Removing Ambiguity

Ambiguity maybe removed either by

- Using the semantics to change the rules. For example, if we knew who had the bat (the girl or the boy) from the context, we would know which is the right interpretation.
- Adding precedence to operators.

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

つくへ

Removing Ambiguity

Ambiguity maybe removed either by

- Using the semantics to change the rules. For example, if we knew who had the bat (the girl or the boy) from the context, we would know which is the right interpretation.
- Adding precedence to operators. For example, ∗ binds more tightly than $+$, or "else" binds with the innermost "if".

Removing Ambiguity

重

K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K

活 おす 高々 299

An Example

Recall, G_{exp} has the following rules

$$
E \rightarrow I \mid N \mid -N \mid E + E \mid E * E \mid (E)
$$

\n
$$
I \rightarrow a \mid b \mid Ia \mid Ib
$$

\n
$$
N \rightarrow 0 \mid 1 \mid N0 \mid N1
$$

Removing Ambiguity

An Example

Recall, G_{\exp} has the following rules

$$
E \rightarrow I \mid N \mid -N \mid E + E \mid E * E \mid (E)
$$

\n
$$
I \rightarrow a \mid b \mid Ia \mid Ib
$$

\n
$$
N \rightarrow 0 \mid 1 \mid N0 \mid N1
$$

New CFG $\mathit{G}^\prime_{\mathrm{exp}}$ has the rules

$$
I \rightarrow a | b | Ia | Ib
$$

\n
$$
N \rightarrow 0 | 1 | N0 | N1
$$

\n
$$
F \rightarrow I | N | - N | (E)
$$

\n
$$
T \rightarrow F | T * F
$$

\n
$$
E \rightarrow T | E + T
$$

B

 \rightarrow

一 (語)

K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K

 299

活

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

4 0 8

→ 何 →

ヨメ メヨメ

Ε

 200

Ambiguity: Computational Problems

Removing Ambiguity

Problem: Given CFG G, find CFG G $^\prime$ such that $L(G)=L(G^\prime)$ and G' is unambiguous.

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

メス 国家

 \leftarrow \Box

 \sim

 Ω

Ambiguity: Computational Problems

Removing Ambiguity

Problem: Given CFG G, find CFG G $^\prime$ such that $L(G)=L(G^\prime)$ and G' is unambiguous.

There is no algorithm that can solve the above problem!
Context Free Grammars Ambiguity

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

 2990

Ambiguity: Computational Problems

Removing Ambiguity

Problem: Given CFG G, find CFG G $^\prime$ such that $L(G)=L(G^\prime)$ and G' is unambiguous.

There is no algorithm that can solve the above problem!

Deciding Ambiguity

Problem: Given CFG G, determine if G is ambiguous.

Context Free Grammars Ambiguity

The Concept Removing Ambiguity

 2990

Ambiguity: Computational Problems

Removing Ambiguity

Problem: Given CFG G, find CFG G $^\prime$ such that $L(G)=L(G^\prime)$ and G' is unambiguous.

There is no algorithm that can solve the above problem!

Deciding Ambiguity

Problem: Given CFG G, determine if G is ambiguous.

The problem is undecidable.

Problem: Is it the case that for every CFG G, there is a grammar G' such that $L(G) = L(G')$ and G' is unambiguous, even if G' cannot be constructed algorithmically?

€⊡

 Ω

Inherently Ambiguous Languages

Problem: Is it the case that for every CFG G, there is a grammar G' such that $L(G) = L(G')$ and G' is unambiguous, even if G' cannot be constructed algorithmically? No! There are context-free languages L such that every grammar for L is ambiguous.

つくへ

Inherently Ambiguous Languages

Problem: Is it the case that for every CFG G, there is a grammar G' such that $L(G) = L(G')$ and G' is unambiguous, even if G' cannot be constructed algorithmically? No! There are context-free languages L such that every grammar for L is ambiguous.

Definition

A context-free language L is said to be inherently ambiguous if every grammar G for L is ambiguous.

つくへ

Context Free Grammars Ambiguity

Removing Ambiguity

重

K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶

B \mathbf{p} 一 (語)

 \sim 4.

 299

Inherently Ambiguous Languages An Example

Consider

$$
L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } j = k\}
$$

Context Free Grammars Ambiguity The Concept Removing Ambiguity

Inherently Ambiguous Languages An Example

Consider

$$
L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } j = k\}
$$

One can show that any CFG G for L will have two parse trees on $a^n b^n c^n$, for all but finitely many values of n

重

 \rightarrow

4 D F

 Ω

Context Free Grammars Ambiguity The Concept Removing Ambiguity

Inherently Ambiguous Languages An Example

Consider

$$
L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } j = k\}
$$

One can show that any CFG G for L will have two parse trees on $a^n b^n c^n$, for all but finitely many values of n

 \bullet One that checks that number of $a's =$ number of b's

 \leftarrow

 Ω

Context Free Grammars Ambiguity The Concept Removing Ambiguity

Inherently Ambiguous Languages An Example

Consider

$$
L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } j = k\}
$$

One can show that any CFG G for L will have two parse trees on $a^n b^n c^n$, for all but finitely many values of n

- \bullet One that checks that number of $a's =$ number of b's
- Another that checks that number of $b's =$ number of $c's$

つへへ